

SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY



3 1223 10055 7207



5/S

San Francisco Public Library

Government Information Center
San Francisco Public Library
100 Larkin Street, 5th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94102

REFERENCE BOOK

Not to be taken from the library

3 1223 10055 7207

(Government Funding)

APPROPRIATING \$10,000 FOR PROFESSIONAL AND SPECIAL SERVICES, BOARD OF

SUPERVISORS, FOR FISCAL YEAR 1988-89; AND PROVIDING RATIFICATION OF ACTION

PREVIOUSLY TAKEN.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1988-89

as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT	
		DEBIT	CREDIT
01-001	General Fund		
G.L. 496 (000026)	Unappropriated Revenue Reserved		
Subsidiary 000006	General Reserve	\$10,000	
01-01-00	Board of Supervisors		
10-1001 (300012)	Professional & Special Services		\$10,000

Section 2.

Although the City has incurred no legal obligation under the Charter, the Board of Supervisors does hereby appropriate the funds necessary for the obligation and does hereby ratify the action previously taken.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

BY:

DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

CONTROLLER

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading

March 27, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh
Maher Ward

Noes: Supervisors Nelder

Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Walker

\$ Finally Passed

\$ April 3, 1989

\$ Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Maher
Walker

\$ Noes: Supervisors Nelder

\$ Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Ward
Kennedy

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

APR 5 1989

File No.
101-88-89

Date Approved

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

APR 24 1989

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 102-88-29

ORDINANCE NO. 102-89

SAL. ORD. AMEND. NO. 28
SAL. ORD. AMEND. NO. 27

PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT

1 AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 269-88 (ANNUAL SALARY ORDINANCE, 1988-89) REFLECTING THE
2 ADDITION OF SIX POSITIONS AND THE DELETION OF ONE POSITION IN THE SUPERIOR COURT AND
3 ADDITION OF THREE POSITIONS AND A SALARY RANGE ADJUSTMENT FOR THREE POSITIONS IN THE
4 MUNICIPAL COURT.

5 Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

6 Section 1. The hereinafter designated items and section of Ordinance No. 269-88
7 (Annual Salary Ordinance, 1988-89) are hereby amended so that the same shall read as
8 follows:

(Amend- ment)	Mo. Class & Pos. Item No.	Class Title	Compensation Schedule
1 added	10	Superior Court	
	01	Superior Court	
	00	Superior Court	
	1707	Superior Court Services	
	01001	General Fund	
	316083	Superior Court Exp.	
	000/00	Unassigned Title	
	001	Permanent Salaries-Miscellaneous	Note

1 added	1	0697 B Judicial Clerk.....	SC81770M2014	02
1 added	1	0680 B Judicial Secretary, Superior Court.....	SC 2467M2759	02
2 added	2	0676 A Research Assistant, Superior Court....	SC 2543M2922	02
1 added	1	0821 A Superior Court Computer Coordinator....	SC 3748M4337	
1 added	1	0647 A Director, Probate.....	SC 3550M4062	02
1 abolished 0	0	0648 M Court Investigator, Superior Court.....	3271M3684	02
Classes 0647 Director, Probate and 0821 Superior Court Computer Coordinator have four steps. The minimum and maximum are listed above. The intermediate steps are as follows:				

102 Superior Court Classes

Classification	Second Step	Third Step
0647	3684	3868
0821	3935	4130

Section 2. The hereinafter designated items and section of Ordinance No. 269-88 (Annual Salary Ordinance, 1988-89) are hereby amended so that the same shall read as follows:

(Amend- ment)	Mo. Class & Pos. Item No.	Class Title	Compensation Schedule
	11	Municipal Court	
	00	Municipal Court	
	00	Municipal Court	
	1701	Municipal Court Services	
	01001	General Fund	
	317016	Muni Court-Exp.	
	000/00	Unassigned Title	
	001	Permanent Salaries-Miscellaneous	Note

1 added	1	0297 A Deputy Clerk.....	SC2426M2725	03
2 added	2	0360 A Deputy Clerk.....	SC 2889M3247	03
3 abolished 0	0	0250 A Traffic Referee.....	3226M4838	03
3 added	3	0250 A Traffic Referee.....	3548M5161	03
Classes 0250 Traffic Referee and 0297 Deputy Clerk have three steps. The minimum and maximum are listed above. The intermediate steps are as follows:				

03 Municipal Court Classes

Classification	Second Step
0297	2572
0250	4516

APR 24 1989
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

APPROVED AS TO CLASSIFICATION:
CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

See File 102-88-29
and File 102-88-30
for signature

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. KENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

By: Theodore R. Kelley
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Passed for Second Reading

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco


February 27, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto, ██████ Gonzalez,
Hongisto, Hsieh, Kennedy, Maher,
Nelder, ██████

Noes: Supervisors BRITT BALLMAN WALKER

Absent: Supervisors WAAD

File No. 102-88-29 APR 5 1989
Approved


Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco


March 27, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto, ██████ Gonzalez,
Hallinan, Hongisto, Hsieh, ██████ Maher,
Nelder, ██████ Ward.

Noes: Supervisors BRITT

Absent: Supervisors KENNEDY WALKER

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was
finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the
City and County of San Francisco.


Clerk


Mayor

1 AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 269-88 (ANNUAL SALARY ORDINANCE, 1988-89) REFLECTING THE
2 RECLASSIFICATION OF FOURTEEN POSITIONS AND THE ADDITION OF THREE POSITIONS
3 OFFICE OF THE COUNTY CLERK.
4 Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:
5 Section 1. The hereinafter designated items and section of Ordinance No.

6 269-88 (Annual Salary Ordinance 1988-89) are hereby amended so that the same shall
7 read as follows:

(Amend- ment)	No. Pos.	Class & Item No.	Class Title	Compensation Schedule
		Dept.: 15 County Clerk		
		Division: 01 Clerk Sup CT		
		Section: 00 Clerk Sup CT		
		Program: 1705 County Clerk Services		
		Fund: 01001 General Fund		
		Index: 322016 Clerk Superior CT-EXP		
		Proj/Phase: 000/00 Unassigned Title		
		Object: 001 Perm Sal-Misc.		

14	5	abolished	0	8115 A Asst. Supervising Court Clerk.....	\$126181528
15	5	added	5	8164 A Senior Law Clerk.....	SC 135581642
16	7	abolished	0	8112 A Asst. Court Clerk.....	109381323
17	7	added	7	8113 A Court Clerk.....	SC 120381457
18	2	abolished	0	4320 A Cashier I.....	7288878
19	2	added	2	4322 A Cashier III.....	SC 97081174
20	1	added	1	8106 N Legal Process Clerk.....	SC 7588916
21	1	added	1	8106 N Legal Process Clerk	SC 7588916
22	1	added	1	8108 N Sr. Legal Process Clerk	SC 83081003
23	1	added	1	8113 N Court Clerk	SC 120381457

24 APPROVED AS TO CLASSIFICATION:
25 CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION
26
27 APPROVED AS TO FORM:
28 LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY
29
30 By: *Louise H. Renne*
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading \$ Finally Passed
March 20, 1989 \$ March 27, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Gonzalez \$
Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Maher Ward \$
Nelder \$
Noes: Supervisors Britt Hallinan \$
Walker \$
Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Walker \$

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Mayor

APR 5 1989
File No. 102-88-31
Date Approved

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
APR 24 1989
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY



Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2014

https://archive.org/details/ordinance1989sanf_0

1 AMENDING PART II, CHAPTER XI, SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE
 2 (TRAFFIC CODE) BY ADDING SECTION 28.5.36 THERETO RESTRICT-
 3 ING TRUCKS OVER 6000 POUNDS ON YERBA BUENA AVENUE BETWEEN
 4 SANTA CLARA AVENUE AND MIRALOMA AVENUE.
 5 Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San
 6 Francisco:

7 Section 1. Article 3, Part II, Chapter XI of the
 8 San Francisco Municipal Code (Traffic Code) is hereby
 9 amended by adding Section 28.5.36 as follows:

10 Section 28.5.36. Yerba Buena Avenue, between
 11 Santa Clara Avenue and Miraloma Avenue.

APPROVED AS TO FORM

LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

By:

Louise R. Renne
 Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

John L. Taylor
 Director of Public Works

APPROVED:

John L. Taylor
 Chief Administrative Officer

DESCRIPTION APPROVED:

Seamus
 Deputy Director, Operations

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading \$ Finally Passed

March 27, 1989 \$

\$ April 3, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt \$

Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh \$

Maher Nelder Ward \$

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt \$

Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Maher \$

Nelder Walker \$

Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Walker \$

Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Ward \$
Kennedy \$

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
 was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors
 of the City and County of San Francisco

APR 5 1989

File No.
124-89-2

Date Approved

Clerk

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

APR 14 1989

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

1 (Fees)

2 AMENDING ARTICLE 15, PART II, CHAPTER XI OF THE SAN FRANCISCO
 3 MUNICIPAL CODE (TRAFFIC CODE) BY AMENDING SECTION 314 THEREOF TO
 4 DELETE THE PERMIT PARKING ENGINEERING FUND AND PROVIDE THAT PORTIONS
 5 OF THE RESIDENTIAL PARKING PERMIT FEES BE DEPOSITED IN THE ROAD FUND
 6 TO OFFSET COSTS THE CITY INCURS IMPLEMENTING THE RESIDENTIAL PERMIT
 7 PARKING PROGRAM.

8 Note: Additions or substitutions are underlined
 9 deletions are in ((double parentheses)).

10 Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco
 11 Section 1. Article 15, Part II of the San Francisco
 12 Municipal Code (Traffic Code) is hereby amended by amending Section
 13 314 to read as follows:

14 SEC. 314. Permit Fees.

15 (a) A "new area" shall be defined as any residential
 16 parking permit area not approved by the Board of Supervisors prior
 17 to the approval of this ordinance.

18 (b) A "continuing area" shall be defined as any residential
 19 parking permit area already approved by the Board of Supervisors
 20 prior to the approval of this ordinance.

21 (c) For the purposes of this Section, an extension of a
 22 continuing area shall be treated as a new area.

23 (d) The initial fee for the issuance of a permit for a new
 24 area shall be \$16 for each permit if purchased in the first six
 25 months of the permit year or \$8 if purchased in the last six months
 26 of the permit year. The fee for issuance of a permit purchased in
 27 the last six months of all subsequent permit years shall be \$13 or
 28 \$6.50 if purchased in the last six months of the permit year.

29 (e) The fee for the issuance of a permit for continuing area
 30 shall be \$13 for each permit if purchased in the first six months of

1 of the permit year or \$6.50 if purchased in the last six months of
 2 the permit year.

3 (f) The fee for a rental car permit shall be \$1. The fee
 4 for a visitor's permit shall be \$2. There shall be a \$1 transfer
 5 charge for those applicants with permits in one residential
 6 parking permit area who move to another residential parking permit
 7 area and apply for a permit in the new area of residence. In such
 8 cases the new permit shall expire at the time designated for the
 9 new zone.

10 (g) The annual fee for commercial permits as provided in
 11 Section 308(b)(3) shall be \$28 for each permit if purchased during
 12 the first six months of the permit year and \$16.50 if purchased
 13 during the last six months of the permit year.

14 (h) ((There is hereby created, a Permit Parking Engineering
 15 Fund wherein))((t)) Three dollars (\$3.00) of the fee for each permit
 16 purchased in the first six months of the permit year and one dollar
 17 and fifty cents (\$1.50) of the fee for each permit purchased in the
 18 last six months of the permit year, for those permits issued under
 19 subsections (d), (e) and (g) of this section shall be deposited
 20 in the Road Fund. All ((expenditures from)) deposits to the

21 ((fund)) Road Fund from the residential parking permit fee shall be
 22 ((for)) used to offset the costs related to the engineering studies,
 23 sign installations and sign maintenance associated with the
 24 Residential Permit Parking Program. ((Balances remaining in the
 25 Permit Parking Engineering Fund at the close of any fiscal year
 26 shall be carried forward in such fund. The monies in this fund
 27 shall be budgeted in the annual budget process and shall be
 28 appropriated either in the Annual Appropriation Ordinance or by
 29 supplemental appropriation.))

1 APPROVED AS TO FORM:

2 LOUISE H. RENNE, City Attorney

3 By: Robert Foley
4 Deputy City Attorney

5 RECOMMENDED:

6
7 Stanley Levy
8 Director of Public Works

DESCRIPTION APPROVED:

9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
APPROVED: William W. Shoop
Deputy Director for Operations

APPROVED:

William W. Shoop
Chief Administrative Officer

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading

March 27, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Brito
Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh
Maher Weider Ward

Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Walker

Finally Passed

April 3, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Brito
Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Maher
Weider Walker

Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Ward
Kennedy

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors
of the City and County of San Francisco.

File No.
126-89-3

APR 5 1989

Date Approved

John H. Suter
Mayor

FILE NO. 188-88-21

ORDINANCE NO. 106-89


1 (Street Vacation)
2
3 ORDERING VACATION OF AN UNNAMED ALLEY IN ASSESSOR'S BLOCK 629.
4 Be it Ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:
5 Section 1. On February 21, 1989 The Board of Supervisors adopted
6 Resolution No. 132-89, approved by the Mayor on February 24, 1989
7 being a Resolution of a Declaration of Intention to order the vacation of an
8 unnamed alley in Assessor's Block 629 as shown on Department of Public Works'
9 Drawing SUR 586, a copy of which is on file in the office of the Clerk of the
10 Board of Supervisors.
11 Section 2. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors did transmit to the
12 Department of Public Works a certified copy of said Resolution, and said Director
13 did cause to be posted in the manner and as required by law, notice of the passage
14 of said Resolution.
15 Section 3. When said matter was considered as scheduled by the Board of
16 Supervisors at its regular meeting held in the City Hall, San Francisco, on
17 March 27, 1989, beginning at 3:00 P.M., said Board heard all persons interested in
18 said vacation.
19 Section 4. The public interest and convenience require the vacation to be done
20 as declared in said Resolution No. 132-89, and the unnamed alley is unnecessary for present or
21 prospective public street purposes; and
22 August 18, 1988
23 Section 5. The Department of City Planning reported on March 5, 1986, that
24 said vacation is in conformity with the Master Plan and consistent with the
25 priority policies of Proposition M.
26 Section 6. The Board of Supervisors has adopted the findings made by
27 the Department of City Planning, and has found that the vacation of said portion
28 of said Street is consistent with the Master Plan and the eight priority policies
29 of City Planning Code Section 101.1.
30 Section 7. The Board of Supervisors has acquired jurisdiction to order said
vacation subject to the conditions as set forth in the Declaration of Intention to


Section 8. The owners of the abutting property have agreed to pay the City \$16,000 for the City's interest in said property, which is the market value of said interest as determined by the Director of Property.
Section 9. The said unnamed alley in Assessor's Block 629 is hereby ordered vacated.
Section 10. The Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute and deliver to the owners of the abutting property a quitclaim deed to said vacated street area.
Section 11. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to transmit to the Recorder and the Director of Public Works a certified copy of this Ordinance, and the Recorder and the Director of Public Works are hereby directed to proceed thereafter as required by law.


APPROVED AND RECOMMENDED:

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE RENNE, City Attorney



Director of Property

by 
Deputy City Attorney


Director of Public Works

DESCRIPTION APPROVED


City Engineer


Chief Administrative Officer

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

24 1989

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading

March 27, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Brito
Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh
Nelder
Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Maher
Walker Ward

§ Finally Passed

§ April 3, 1989


§ Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Brito
Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy
Maher Nelder Walker
§ Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Ward
§

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors
of the City and County of San Francisco

APR 5 1989

Date Approved

File No.
188-88-7.1


John F. Taylor
Mayor

[MacBride Principles -- Northern Ireland]

AMENDING THE SAN FRANCISCO ADMINISTRATIVE CODE BY ADDING CHAPTER 12F
THERETO, IMPLEMENTING THE POLICY OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO IN SUPPORT OF AND IN FURTHERANCE OF THE MACBRIDE
PRINCIPLES, WHICH ARE AIMED AT REDUCING EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION IN
COMPANIES DOING BUSINESS IN NORTHERN IRELAND.

Note: This entire chapter is new.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The San Francisco Administrative Code is hereby
amended by adding Chapter 12F thereto, to read as follows:

Chapter 12F.

Implementing the MacBride Principles--Northern Ireland

SEC. 12F.1 Intent.

This ordinance is set forth in order to accomplish the
following objectives:

A. To declare the City and County of San Francisco's
opposition to any form of religious discrimination.

B. To influence any discriminatory practices of American
corporations doing business in Northern Ireland.

C. To support efforts to effect peaceful change with regard
to the situation in Northern Ireland by means that are prudent and
responsible.

D. To send legislation to the government of the United
Kingdom stating that the people of San Francisco oppose religious
discrimination and encouraging the government of the United Kingdom
to take more pro-active measures in support of affirmative action.

E. To express the support of the Board of Supervisors of the
City and County of San Francisco for the implementation of the
MacBride Principles by companies from the United States who conduct
business in Northern Ireland.

SEC. 12F.2 Findings.

The Board of Supervisors hereby makes the following findings.

A. The people of the City and County of San Francisco
recognize the moral responsibility of communities to continually
take political steps towards insuring that full human rights are
obtained for all people and that religious discrimination is
abolished.

B. Northern Ireland is a sectarian state in which the rights
of the Irish Catholic minority citizens have been severely
restricted, particularly in terms of equal employment
opportunities. The result of this religious discrimination has been
an unemployment rate for Catholics which is more than twice that of
the majority Protestants.

C. The internationally recognized MacBride Principles are
aimed at reducing employment discrimination in companies doing
business in Northern Ireland, currently twenty-six of which are
American firms. These MacBride Principles have been formally
adopted by numerous state and local governments, including the
States of New York, Connecticut, New Jersey, Massachusetts, Rhode
Island and the cities of New York, Philadelphia, Worcester,
Hartford, Cleveland, Detroit, Chicago, Providence and Wilmington.
D. The MacBride Principles are authored by Dr. Sean MacBride,
distinguished Irish statesman, Nobel Peace Prize laureate and
founder of Amnesty International.

//

SUPERVISOR BRITT

PAGE NO. 2

4050g

02/27/89

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

APR 24 1989

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

1 E. The City of San Francisco has a tradition of regulating
2 the use of public monies to ensure that purchases, deposits and
3 investments are made consistent with moral standards in a manner
4 which promotes public welfare.

5 F. In keeping with this tradition, the Board of Supervisors
6 seeks, through City policy, to influence those who do business in
7 Northern Ireland.

8 G. This legislation should not be construed to discourage
9 future investment in Northern Ireland.

10 H. A peaceful solution of the troubles in Northern Ireland
11 depends on elimination of discrimination.

12 SEC. 12F.3. The MacBride Principles.

13 The MacBride Principles call for affirmative action to be
14 taken by institutions and companies doing business in Northern
15 Ireland, by:

16 A. Increasing the representation of individuals from
17 underrepresented religious groups in the work force, including
18 managerial, supervisory, administrative, clerical and technical
19 jobs.

20 A work force that is severely unbalanced may indicate
21 prime facie that full equality of opportunity is not being afforded
22 all segments of the community in Northern Ireland. Each signatory
23 to the MacBride Principles must make every reasonable, lawful effort
24 to increase the representation of underrepresented religious groups
25 at all levels of its operations in Northern Ireland.

26 B. Providing adequate security for the protection of minority
27 employees both at the workplace and while traveling to and from
28 work.

29 While total security can be guaranteed nowhere today in
30

SUPERVISOR BRITT

40509

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

PAGE NO. 3

02/27/89

1 Northern Ireland, each signatory to the MacBride Principles must
2 make reasonable, good faith efforts to protect workers against
3 intimidation and physical abuse at the workplace. Signatories must
4 also make reasonable, good faith efforts to ensure that applicants
5 are not deterred from seeking employment because of fear for
6 personal safety at the workplace or while traveling to and from
7 work.

8 C. Banning provocative religious or political emblems from
9 the workplace.

10 Each signatory to the MacBride Principles must make
11 reasonable, good faith efforts to prevent the display of provocative
12 sectarian emblems at their plants in Northern Ireland.

13 D. Publicly advertising all job openings and making special
14 recruitment efforts to attract applicants from underrepresented
15 religious groups.

16 Signatories to the MacBride Principles must exert special
17 efforts to attract employment applications from the sectarian
18 community that is substantially underrepresented in the work force.
19 This should not be construed to imply a diminution of opportunity
20 for other applications.

21 E. Providing that layoff, recall and termination procedures
22 should not, in practice, favor particular religious groups.

23 Each signatory to the MacBride Principles must make
24 reasonable, good faith efforts to ensure that layoff, recall and
25 termination procedures do not penalize a particular religious group
26 disproportionately. Layoff and termination practices that involve
27 seniority solely can result in discrimination against a particular
28 religious group if the bulk of employees with greatest seniority are
29 disproportionately from another religious group.
30

/ / /

SUPERVISOR BRITT

40509

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

PAGE NO. 4

02/27/89

F. Abolishing job reservations, apprenticeship restrictions and differential employment criteria which discriminate on the basis of religious or ethnic origin.

Signatories to the MacBride Principles must make reasonable, good faith efforts to abolish all differential employment criteria whose effect is discrimination on the basis of religion. For example, job reservations and apprenticeship regulations that favor relatives of current or former employees can, in practice, promote religious discrimination if the company's work force has historically been disproportionately drawn from another religious group.

G. Developing training programs that will prepare substantial numbers of current minority employees for skilled jobs, including the expansion of existing programs and the creation of new programs to train, upgrade and improve the skills of minority employees.

This does not imply that such programs should not be open to all members of the work force equally.

H. Establishing procedures to assess, identify and actively recruit minority employees with potential for further advancement.

This section does not imply that such procedures should not apply to all employees equally.

I. Appointing a senior management staff member to oversee the company's affirmative action efforts and the setting up of timetables to carry out affirmative action principles.

In addition to the above, each signatory to the MacBride Principles is required to report annually to an independent monitoring agency on its progress in the implementation of these principles.

/ / /
/ / /

SUPERVISOR BRITT

4050g

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

PAGE NO. 5

02/27/89

SEC. 12F.4. Retirement Board and System.

The Retirement Board of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby commended for its efforts in support of the MacBride Principles (with the exception of Principle E, as listed in Section 12.F.3 of this Code) and the addition of the Principles to the Social Investment Policy of the Retirement Board. The Retirement Board is encouraged to take further steps to support these Principles by moving to the next level of the Social Investment Procedures which will be to actively promote proxies which would conform with the policy position of the Retirement Board, and by encouraging businesses with whom it deals, and in whom it invests, likewise to support the MacBride Principles.

SEC. 12F.5. City Contracts.

All contracts entered into by a department head or officer empowered by law to enter into contracts on behalf of the City and County for public works or improvements to be performed, for a franchise, concession or lease of property, or for goods and services or supplies to be purchased at the expense of the City and County, or to be paid out of monies deposited in the treasury or out of trust monies under the control or collected by the City and County, shall contain a statement urging companies doing business in Northern Ireland to move towards resolving employment inequities, and encouraging them to abide by the MacBride Principles. Each of these statements shall also urge San Francisco companies to do business with corporations that abide by the MacBride Principles. Above the signature line for use by contractors on each contract shall be placed an acknowledgment confirming that they have read and understood the City's statement urging companies doing business in Northern Ireland to move towards resolving employment inequities,

SUPERVISOR BRITT

4050g

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

PAGE NO. 6

02/27/89

1 encouraging compliance with the MacBride Principles, and urging San
2 Francisco companies to do business with corporations that abide by
3 the MacBride Principles.

4
5 SEC. 12F.6. Research and Education.

6 A. The Board of Supervisors shall send, and the Mayor is
7 asked to join in, a letter to all United States corporations listed
8 by the Investment Responsibility Research Center as doing business
9 in Northern Ireland. This letter shall state the City's support for
10 the MacBride Principles and urge the corporations to abide by the
11 Principles. The letter shall ask that each recipient company sign
12 and return to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors a statement
13 agreeing to the Principles. Each letter will clearly state that the
14 Board shall evaluate the issue further in one year, and may amend
15 the City's policies regarding the implementation of the MacBride
16 Principles in light of the progress made with regard to the
17 elimination of employment inequities in Northern Ireland.

18 B. The Clerk of the Board shall report to the Board of
19 Supervisors by July 1, 1989 and by January 1, 1990 on the letters
20 that were sent as required in the immediately preceding paragraph,
21 and on any responses received. The Controller shall report to the
22 Board of Supervisors by July 1, 1989 and by January 1, 1990 on the
23 number of companies with whom the City is doing business who are
24 conducting business in Northern Ireland.

25 C. The City Treasurer shall send a statement to banks with
26 whom the City has deposits and encourage them to do business with
27 corporations that abide by the MacBride Principles.

28 D. The Board of Supervisors, through the Clerk of the Board,
29 shall send a letter to the government of the United Kingdom
30 / / /

SUPERVISOR BRITT

4050g
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

PAGE NO. 7
02/27/89

1 conveying a copy of this legislation and expressing opposition to
2 religious discrimination.

3
4 SEC. 12F.7. Severability.

5 The provisions of this Ordinance are declared to be separate
6 and severable. The invalidity of any clause, sentence, paragraph,
7 subdivision, section or portion of this Ordinance, or the invalidity
8 of the application thereof to any person or circumstances shall not
9 affect the validity of the remainder of this Ordinance, or the
10 validity of its application to other persons or circumstances.

11 APPROVED AS TO FORM:

12 LOUISE H. REXME, City Attorney

13 By: Robert R. Jolley
14 Deputy City Attorney

15 SUPERVISOR BRITT

16 4050g
17 BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

18 PAGE NO. 8
19 02/27/89

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading

March 20, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Ward
Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Melder
Kennedy Walker

Noes: Supervisors Haileh Maher

§ Finally Passed

§ March 27, 1989

§ Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
§ Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Melder
§ Ward

§ Noes: Supervisors Haileh Maher


§ Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Walker

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors
of the City and County of San Francisco

APR 7 1989

Date Approved

File No.
169-86-3


Mayor

FILE NO 284-88-2.1

ORDINANCE NO 108-89

1 (Minority, Women and Local Business Enterprises)

2 AMENDING THE SAN FRANCISCO ADMINISTRATIVE CODE BY AMENDING

3 SECTION 12D.15. THERETO, EXTENDING THE EXPIRATION DATE OF THE

4 MINORITY/WOMEN/LOCAL BUSINESS UTILIZATION ORDINANCE TO JUNE 30,

5 1989.

6 Note: Additions or substitutions are indicated by
7 underlining. Deletions are indicated by ((double
8 parentheses))

9 Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San
10 Francisco:

11 Section 1. The San Francisco Administrative Code is hereby
12 amended by amending Section 12D.15. thereto, to read as follows:

13 Sec. 12D.15. Review.

14 (A) The Commission shall review and report to the Board of
15 Supervisors, the Chief Administrative Officer and the Mayor
16 annually on the extent of MBE and WBE participation in City and
17 County prime contracts and procurements, including but not
18 limited to: the purchasing of materials, supplies, equipment,
19 insurance and contractual services, contracts, franchises,
20 leases, concessions or other agreements involving real or
21 personal property; and contracts involving the repair or
22 construction of public works and improvements. If in the opinion
23 of the Commission, the objectives of this Ordinance have been
24 achieved in whole or in part, the Commission shall recommend to
25 the Board of Supervisors that those sections of the Ordinance
26 which have met their objectives be repealed.

27 This Ordinance shall expire on June 30, 1989 ((five years
28 from its effective date)) unless the Human Rights Commission,
29 after conducting hearings, finds that the purposes identified in
30 Section 12D.3 have not yet been achieved, in which case it shall

certify said finding to the Board of Supervisors no later than
200 days prior to the effective expiration date. Thereafter by
following the above described procedure the Ordinance may be
extended for additional three year periods.

Section 2. The Board of Supervisors hereby makes the
following findings in support of the extension of the expiration
date of the Minority/Women/Local Business Utilization Ordinance
from May 11, 1989 to June 30, 1989.

1. The ordinance was adopted by the Board of Supervisors on
April 2, 1984. It was signed by the Mayor on April 11, 1984.
Pursuant to Charter Section 2.304, the ordinance became effective
on May 11, 1984. The ordinance will expire May 11, 1989 unless
extended by this Board. City departments did not begin
implementing the ordinance until the Human Rights Commission
adopted the implementing regulations on August 10, 1984. When it
adopted the ordinance in 1984 this Board intended that the
ordinance would be implemented by City departments for 5 years
before the Board next would consider the need to extend it.
Extending the ordinance from May 11, 1989 to June 30, 1989 does
not contravene this Board's intention because by June 30, 1989
City departments will have implemented the ordinance for
approximately four years and ten months.

2. On October 23, 1988 the Board of Supervisors received
the Human Rights Commission's report entitled "Investigation into
Minority and Women Business Participation in City Contracting,
Comprehensive Edition: Findings, Recommendations and Support
Documentation." This report concludes that the objectives of the
ordinance have not been met and that minorities and women
continue to suffer from discrimination that adversely affects
their ability to obtain awards of City contracts and recommends

SUPERVISORS KENNEDY, WARD, MAHER

Page No. 2

19880628

3/20/89

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

APR 24 1989

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

1 that the ordinance be extended.

2 3. On January 23, 1989 the United States Supreme Court
3 decided City of Richmond v. Croson ("Croson"). Croson addresses
4 the constitutionally acceptable quantum of evidence that enables
5 a municipality to adopt a race-conscious remedial ordinance in
6 public contracting. The Croson case has required municipalities
7 considering such an ordinance to examine closely its evidentiary
8 basis.

9 4. In view of Croson the Board of Supervisors requested the
10 Budget Analyst on January 30, 1989 to examine the Human Rights
11 Commission's October 23, 1988 report, further to study the
12 participation of minority, women and locally owned businesses in
13 City contracts and to compare this participation with minority
14 and women businesses' shares of their respective local
15 industries. On March 8, 1989 the Budget Analyst submitted his
16 report to this Board and on March 17, 1989, submitted a revised report.
17 4/3/89
18 4/3/89
19 4/3/89
20 4/3/89

21 5. The Budget Analyst's report and testimony and
22 documentary evidence submitted to this Board's Human Services
23 Committee hearing on March 9, 1989 and his revised report of March 17, 1989
24 and his revised report of March 17, 1989 raise many questions that
25 require further study before that report may be relied upon by
26 this Board in fashioning a race and gender-conscious remedial
27 program for the City's contracts. Such a review will require a
28 study of the data in the Budget Analyst's report and a search for
29 further evidence to substantiate the claims made to the Human
30 Services Committee at that hearing.

6. The testimony and evidence presented at the March 9,
1989 Human Services Committee of this Board, the Budget Analyst's
report, and the Human Rights Commission's report show that racial
and gender discrimination continues to prevent minority and
women-owned businesses from gaining a foothold in the San

SUPERVISORS KENNEDY, WARD, MAHER

Page No. 3

4/3/89

3/20/89

1 Francisco marketplace and continues to impede their ability to
2 obtain that share of business opportunities with the City and
3 other business entities that they would have obtained in the
4 absence of discrimination. In light of this evidence and the
5 findings made by this Board in 1984 the extension of the
6 ordinance for approximately six weeks is necessary to serve the
7 ordinance's objectives, to continue remedying discrimination and
8 to enable the Board and its staff to complete its data gathering
9 and analyses.

10 7. The public interest would be better served by extending
11 the current ordinance approximately six weeks than by allowing
12 the ordinance to expire and adopting a new ordinance sometime in
13 the future. City departments and businesses bidding on City
14 contracts and leases have incorporated the ordinance into their
15 contracting practices since August 10, 1984 when the Human Rights
16 Commission adopted the rules and regulations implementing the
17 ordinance. A lapse in the operation of the ordinance between the
18 date of expiration of the current ordinance and the effective
19 date of a new ordinance would be administratively burdensome for
20 City departments and would cause uncertainty, disruption and
21 confusion among the City's prospective contractors. A short-term
22 extension of the ordinance would allow City departments to
23 continue the procurement process without interruption thereby
24 avoiding any confusion or uncertainty that would result among the
25 City's prospective contractors caused by the disruption of the
26 current program attending the expiration followed shortly
27 thereafter by a new ordinance.

8. The Board requests the Budget Analyst, City Attorney and
Human Rights Commission to study the Budget Analyst's report,
review the testimony presented to the Human Services Committee on

SUPERVISORS KENNEDY, WARD, MAHER

Page No. 4


4/3/89

3/20/89

March 9, 1989 and Government Operations Committee hearings of
March 20 and March 27, 1989, determine whether additional
evidence is necessary or available and based on that evidence
make recommendations to this Board as to remedial measures that
are appropriate in light of Croson.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE
CITY ATTORNEY


Deputy City Attorney

SUPERVISORS KENNEDY, WARD, MAHER

4286g

Page No. 5

3/20/89

Passed for Second Reading


Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

April 3, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto, Britt, Gonzalez,
Hallinan, Hongisto, Hsieh, Kennedy, Maher,
Nelder, Walker, Ward.

Noes: Supervisors _____

Absent: Supervisors _____


John L. Taylor, Clerk
APR 11 1989

284-88-2.1

File No.

Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco


April 10, 1989


Ayes: Supervisors Alioto, , Gonzalez,
Hallinan, Hongisto, Hsieh, Kennedy, Maher,
, Walker, Ward.

Noes: Supervisors _____

Absent: Supervisors Britt, Nelder

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was
finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the
City and County of San Francisco.


John M. Taylor, Clerk
Mayor



1 APPROPRIATING \$231,501 FOR OVERTIME AND RESCINDING \$70,675 FROM PERMANENT SALARIES
2 - MISCELLANEOUS, SHERIFF DEPARTMENT, FOR FISCAL YEAR 1988-89.

3 Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:
4

5 Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1988-89 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT	
		DEBIT	CREDIT
01-001	General Fund		
G.L. 496(000026)	Unappropriated Revenue Reserved		
Subsidiary 000006	General Reserve	\$ 160,826	\$
06-00-00	Sheriff		
01-0010 (306589)	Permanent Salaries - Miscellaneous	70,675	
03-0100 (306589)	County Jail - Overtime		133,700
03-0100 (306597)	Court Security - Overtime		97,801
	Total	\$ 231,501	\$ 231,501

18 APPROVED AS TO FORM:
19 LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

20 BY: Ludwig R. Salvo
21 DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY
22 Samuel D. Gubensky
23 CONTROLLER

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading \$ Finally Passed \$

April 3, 1989 \$ April 10, 1989 \$

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Maher
Nelder Walker

\$ Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Gonzalez
Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy
Maher Walker Ward

Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Ward
Kennedy

\$ Absent: Supervisors Britt Nelder
Kennedy

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors
of the City and County of San Francisco

John H. Taylor
Deputy City Clerk

File No.
101-88-94

APR 14 1989

Date Approved

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

APR 24 1989

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 101-88-95

ORDINANCE NO. 110-89

RO #8229 SA #122

(Government Funding)

742,163

APPROPRIATING ~~FOR~~ FOR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS (YOUTH GUIDANCE CENTER

MASTER PLAN), PROFESSIONAL SERVICES - PROP J/CSC AND SERVICES OF OTHER

DEPARTMENTS-MISCELLANEOUS DEPARTMENTS, JUVENILE COURT, FOR FISCAL YEAR 1988-89;
PLACING \$694,420 ON RESERVE.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1988-89 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT	DEBIT	CREDIT
--------------------------	---	--------	-------	--------

02-0450	Special Revenue Fund Construction Inspection Fund			
---------	--	--	--	--

G.L.495(008623)	Year End Surplus			
-----------------	------------------	--	--	--

Project 601-01	AB1024 Reconstruction and Renovation - YGC	742,163	\$ -742,163	\$
----------------	---	---------	-------------	----

12-01-00	Juvenile Court			
----------	----------------	--	--	--

20-2030(318246)	Capital Improvement Project			
-----------------	-----------------------------	--	--	--

Project 601-01	YGC Master Plan	222,220		
----------------	-----------------	---------	--	--

10-1020 601-13	Professional Services-Prop J/CSC	484,383		
----------------	----------------------------------	---------	--	--

38-3890 601-13	Services of Other Departments- Miscellaneous Departments	35,560		
----------------	---	--------	--	--

Total

742,163	742,163	35,560
---------	---------	--------

Section 2. Funds in the amount of \$694,420 are to be reserved and be released by the Finance Committee pending submission of details as to consultants' selected and their estimated hours, hourly rates and plans for fulfilling City's HBE/WBE guidelines.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. REMNE, CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

BY: Samuel D. Hockley
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

CONTROLLED

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading \$ Finally Passed

April 3, 1989 \$ April 10, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Ward
Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh
Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker

\$ Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Gonzalez
Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy
Maher Walker Ward

\$ Absent: Supervisors Britt Nelder

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors
of the City and County of San Francisco

John Taylor
Clerk
John Taylor
Mayor

APR 14 1989


File No.
101-88-95

Date Approved

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

APR 24 1989

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY



FILE NO. 101-88-95.1

ORDINANCE NO. 111-89

(Government Funding)

APPROPRIATING \$54,000 FOR PROFESSIONAL SERVICES - PROP J/CSC,

JUVENILE COURT, FOR FISCAL YEAR 1988-89;

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1988-89

as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	DEBIT	AMOUNT	CREDIT
02-0450	Special Revenue Fund			
	Construction Inspection Fund			
G.L. 495(008623)	Year End Surplus			
Project 601-01	AB1024 Reconstruction and Renovation - YGC	\$54,000		
12-01-00	Juvenile Court			
20-2030(318246)	Capital Improvement Project			
10-1020 601-13	Professional Services- Prop J/CSC			\$54,000
Total		\$54,000		\$54,000

APPROVED AS TO FORM

LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

BY:

Frederic R. Soley
Deputy City Attorney

Samuel B. Underhill
Controller

0278a
e:jp

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading \$ Finally Passed

April 3, 1989 \$ April 10, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Ward \$ Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Gonzalez
Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Maher \$ Hallinan Hongisto Kennedy Maher
Kennedy Walker \$ Walker Ward

Noes: Supervisors Hsieh \$ Noes: Supervisors Hsieh

Absent: Supervisors Britt Nelder \$ Absent: Supervisors Britt Nelder

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors
of the City and County of San Francisco

APR 14 1989


File No.
101-88-95.1

Date Approved

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

APR 14 1989

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY



(Government Funding)
\$20,510
APPROPRIATING \$20,510 FOR PERMANENT SALARIES - MISCELLANEOUS, AND RELATED
MANDATORY FRINGE BENEFITS AND DEPARTMENT AND DIVISION OVERHEAD FOR THE CREATION OF
ONE (1) POSITION, DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS FOR FISCAL YEAR 1988-89.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:
Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1988-89 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	DEBIT	AMOUNT	CREDIT
02-093 G.L. 490(006037)	Building Inspection Unappropriated Revenue	20,510	\$ 20,510	
09-03-00	Department of Public Works - Building Inspection			
01-0010(774281)	Permanent Salaries - Miscellaneous			11,485
04-0600(774281)	Retirement			17,486
04-0606(774281)	Social Security			1,537
04-0610(774281)	Health Service			8,940
04-0620(774281)	Unemployment Insurance			722
09-0900(774281)	Department Overhead			3,180
09-0900(774281)	Division Overhead			359
	Total	20,510		549
				23
				95
				1,495
				8,878
				4,889
				3,442
		20,510		20,510
				31,833
09-099	DPM Personnel Fund			
90-03-00	Public Works - Building Inspection			
01-0010(777042)	Permanent Salaries			11,485
04-0600	Retirement			17,486
04-0606	Social Security			1,537
04-0610	Health Service			8,940
04-0620	Unemployment Insurance			722
39-3900	Interdepartmental Recovery			359
	Total			23
				95
				1,495
				8,878
				4,889
				3,442
				20,510
				31,833

Section 2. Funds are hereby appropriated for one (1) position created by amendment to the Annual Salary Ordinance (Board of Supervisor's File No. 102-88-36) as follows:

Fund:	DPM Personnel Fund
Department:	Public Works - Building Inspection

CLASSIFICATION
6335 A Disability Access Coordinator
APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. NEMER, CITY ATTORNEY
BT: Deputy City Attorney
APPROVED:
CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION
BT: General Manager, Personnel
1011P-346

DATE
\$ 1890 \$ 2297
FUNDS AVAILABLE:
San Francisco
Controller

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
APR 24 1989
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading

April 3, 1989

Finally Passed

April 10, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Brilt
Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh
Kennedy Nelder Walker Ward

Absent: Supervisor Maher


Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Gonzalez
Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy
Maher Walker Ward
Absent: Supervisor Brilt Nelder

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors
of the City and County of San Francisco

APR 14 1989

File No.
101-88-96

Date Approved


John L. Maher
Mayor

PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT

SAL. ORD. AMEND. NO. 32

1 AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 269-88 (ANNUAL SALARY ORDINANCE, 1988-89) REFLECTING THE
 2 ADDITION OF ONE POSITION IN THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS.

3 Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

4 Section 1. The hereinafter designated items and section of Ordinance No.
 5 269-88 (Annual Salary Ordinance, 1988-89) are hereby amended so that the same shall

6 read as follows:

(Amend- ment)	No. Pos.	Class & Item No.	Class Title	Compensation Schedule
		Dept.: 90 Public Works		
		Division: 03 Building Inspection		
		Section: 00 Building Inspection		
		Program: 0000 No Program Defined		
		Fund: 09999 DPW Personnel Fund		
		Index: 774042 DPW Pers Fd-881		
		Proj/Phase: 000/00 Unassigned Title		
		Object: 001 Permanent Salaries-Misc.		

13 I added 1 6335 A Disability Access Coordinator.....J,Z \$189082297

APPROVED AS TO CLASSIFICATION:
CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

GENERAL MANAGER PERSONNEL

By: *Theresa R. Jolley*
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

NO. 17

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading § Finally Passed
 April 3, 1989 § April 10, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Ward §
 Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Haieh §
 Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker §

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Gonzalez §
 Hallinan Hongisto Haieh Kennedy §
 Maher Walker Ward §
 Absent: Supervisors Britt Nelder §

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
 was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors
 of the City and County of San Francisco

APR 14 1989

File No.
102-88-36


Date Approved

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

APR 24 1989

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY



(City Planning)
AMENDING ARTICLE 6 OF THE SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING CODE BY AMENDING SECTION 603 THEREOF, TO PERMIT ADVERTISING ON EITHER A TRANSIT SHELTER OR ASSOCIATED ADVERTISING KIOSK WITHIN THE MARKET STREET SPECIAL SIGN DISTRICT, AND ADOPTING FINDINGS PURSUANT TO CITY PLANNING CODE SECTION 101.1.
Note: Additions or substitutions are underlined; deletions are indicated by ((double parentheses)).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:
Section 1: The San Francisco Planning Code is hereby amended by amending Section 603 thereof to read as follows:
SEC. 603. EXEMPTED SIGNS. Nothing in this Article 6 shall apply to any of the following signs:
(a) Official public notices, and notices posted by public officers in performance of their duties;
(b) Governmental signs for control of traffic and other regulatory purposes, street signs, danger signs, railroad crossing signs, and signs of public service companies indicating danger and aids to service or safety;
(c) Temporary display posters, without independent structural support, in connection with political campaigns and with civic noncommercial health, safety and welfare campaigns, provided that in R districts such posters shall be removed within 60 days following the conclusion of the campaign;
(d) Flags, emblems, insignia and posters of any nation or political subdivision, and temporary displays of a patriotic, religious, charitable or other civic character;
(e) House numbers, whether illuminated or not, "no trespassing," "no parking," and other warning signs;
(f) Commemorative plaques placed by recognized historical agencies;

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
MAY 2 1989
SAN FRANCISCO
CIVIL SERVICE

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

(AIRPORT-LEASE AND USE AGREEMENT)

APPROVING THE "MODIFICATION TO LEASE AND USE AGREEMENT NO. 82-0111" BETWEEN AMERICAN AIRLINES, INC., AND THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO ACTING BY AND THROUGH ITS AIRPORTS COMMISSION.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE PEOPLE OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO: SECTION 1: Pursuant to Section 7.402-1 of the Charter, the Board of Supervisors hereby approves the "Modification to Lease and Use Agreement No. 82-0111" between American Airlines, Inc., and the City and County of San Francisco acting through its Airports Commission. The Modification of Lease and Use Agreement No. 82-0111 was approved by the Airports Commission Resolution No. 89-0024, adopted February 7, 1989.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE

CITY ATTORNEY



DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

MAY 8 1989

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading \$ Finally Passed

April 10, 1989 \$ April 17, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Gonzalez
Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy
Maher Walker Ward

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Maher
Nelder Walker Ward

Absent: Supervisors Britt Nelder


Absent: Supervisors Hongisto
Kennedy

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors
of the City and County of San Francisco


File No.
27-89-3

APR 21 1989

Date Approved



ACTING CLERK



Mayor

MAY 8 1989

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

1 AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF CITY OF MOUNTAIN VIEW AGAINST
2 THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR \$550,000 BY PAYMENT FROM
3 THE IMPOUND ACCOUNT.

4
5 Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:
6 Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle
7 the action entitled "CITY OF MOUNTAIN VIEW v. CITY AND COUNTY OF
8 SAN FRANCISCO, et al.," Santa Clara Superior Court No. P47923, by
9 the payment from the impound account the sum of \$550,000.

10
11 APPROVED AS TO FORM:

12 LOUISE H. RENNE
13 City Attorney

14 By: *Robert A. Kenealey*
15 ROBERT A. KENEALEY
16 Assistant Chief
17 Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

By: *Richard J. Evans*
18 RICHARD J. EVANS
19 Director of Public Works

APPROVED: *Rudolf Nothenberg*
20 RUDOLF NOTENBERG
21 Chief Administrative Officer

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading \$ Finally Passed
April 10, 1989 \$ April 17, 1989 \$

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Gonzalez \$
Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy \$
Maher Walker Ward \$

Absent: Supervisors Britt Nelder \$

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt \$
Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Maher \$
Nelder Walker Ward \$

Absent: Supervisors Hongisto \$
Kennedy \$

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors
of the City and County of San Francisco

APR 21 1989

File No.
45-89-13

Date Approved

Mayor
Mayor
City Clerk
City Clerk

FILE NO. 101-88-97

ORDINANCE NO. 117-89

RO # 8235 SA #139

(Government Funding)

APPROPRIATING \$3,000,000 FOR PAYMENTS TO OTHER GOVERNMENTS (PURCHASE OF WATER), WATER DEPARTMENT, FOR FISCAL YEAR 1988-89; PLACING \$500,000 IN RESERVE.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1988-89 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT	
		DEBIT	CREDIT
32-001	Water Operating Fund		
90-9201(044446)	Sale of Water - Consumers	\$3,000,000	
14-1420(387019)	Payments to Other Governments (Purchase of Water)		3,000,000

Total \$3,000,000 \$3,000,000

Section 2. Funds in the amount of \$500,000 are hereby held in reserve until released by the Board of Supervisors' Finance Committee.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

BY: [Signature]
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

[Signature]
CONTROLLER

1011P-9

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

MAY 8 1989

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading § Finally Passed

April 10, 1989 § April 17, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Gonzalez §
Hallinan Hongisto Haieh Kennedy §
Maher Walker Ward §

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt §
Gonzalez Haieh Maher Walker Ward §

Absent: Supervisors Britt Nelder §
Supervisors Hallinan Nelder §
Supervisors Hongisto §
Kennedy §

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco


[Signature]
Acting Clerk

APR 21 1989

File No.
101-88-97

Date Approved

[Signature]
Mayor



RO #8237 SA #129

(Government Funding)

\$130

APPROPRIATING \$2,500 FOR PERMANENT SALARIES - CRAFT AND RESCINDING \$2,500 FROM

SUBSCRIPTIONS, PUBLIC LIBRARY, FOR FISCAL YEAR 1988-89 FOR THE IMMEDIATE

FILLING OF CLASSIFICATION 7335 SENIOR STATIONARY ENGINEER.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1988-89

as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT	
		DEBIT	CREDIT
01-001	General Fund		
41-00-00	Public Library	130	
10-1235 (615120)	Subscription	2,500	
01-0030 (615120)	Permanent Salaries - Craft	\$ 130	130
		<u>\$ 130</u>	<u>\$ 130</u>
		2,500	2,500

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNELL CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

BY: *B. H. J.*
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

Samuel B. Hickey
CONTROLLER

1p-2614A

MAY 8 1989

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading \$ Finally Passed

April 10, 1989 \$ April 17, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Gonzalez
Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy
Maher Walker Ward

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Maher
Nelder Walker Ward

Absent: Supervisors Britt Nelder
Kennedy

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors
of the City and County of San Francisco

Maylene Baker
Clerk

APR 21 1989

File No.
101-88-98

Date Approved

John J. Sutter
Mayor

(Drive-Up Facilities)
 AMENDING PART II, CHAPTER II OF THE SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE
 (CITY PLANNING CODE) BY AMENDING SECTION 781.3 TO ALLOW AN
 EXCEPTION FOR CERTAIN DRIVE-UP FACILITIES IN A FOOD SERVICE USE
 IN THE OCEAN AVENUE FAST FOOD SUBDISTRICT; ADOPTING FINDINGS
 PURSUANT TO CITY PLANNING CODE SECTION 101.1.

Note: Additions are underlined. Deletions are in
 ((double parentheses)).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San
 Francisco:

Section 1. Amending Part II, Chapter II of the San
 Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) by amending Section
 781.3 as follows:

SEC. 781.3. OCEAN AVENUE FAST-FOOD SUBDISTRICT. In order
 to preserve the mix and variety of goods and services provided to
 the Ingleside and Westwood Park neighborhoods and City residents
 and prevent further proliferation of restaurant uses, and prevent
 further aggravation of parking and traffic congestion in this
 district, there shall be an Ocean Avenue Fast-food Subdistrict,
 generally applicable for the MC-2-zoned portion of Ocean Avenue
 located between Phelan Avenue and Manor Drive, as designated on
 Sectional Map 12SU of the Zoning Map. The following provisions
 shall apply within such subdistrict:

(a) Large fast-food restaurants and small self-service
 restaurants, as defined in Sections 790.90 and 790.91 of this
 Code, respectively, shall not be permitted in this subdistrict.

(b) Except as otherwise provided, ((T)) the provisions
of Sections 186 through 186.1 of this Code shall govern small and
large fast-food restaurants which existed lawfully at the

SUPERVISOR WARD

1

effective date of this Code in this subdistrict.

(c) Notwithstanding Section 186.1, where a large
fast-food restaurant or a small self-service restaurant is
situated on a lot (the "subject lot") located in the Ocean Avenue
Fast Food Sub-District and where the subject lot is located
immediately adjacent to a lot also containing a large fast-food
restaurant or small self-service restaurant (the "adjacent lot"),
the subject lot may be altered by the addition of a drive-up
facility as defined in Section 790.30, upon approval of a
conditional use application, provided that any existing structure
on the adjacent lot which is currently devoted to use as a large
fast-food restaurant or small self-service restaurant is
demolished pursuant to permit. The adjacent lot may be used for
the drive-up facility for the business on the subject lot, and for
related parking and queuing functions.

Section 2. Pursuant to Section 101.1 of the City Planning
 Code, the Board of Supervisors makes the following findings:

1. The proposed legislation is consistent with Priority
 Policy 1 in that it will authorize improvements to existing
 neighborhood-serving retail uses. As a result, it is anticipated
 that additional employment opportunities for San Francisco
 residents will be provided.

2. The proposed legislation is consistent with Priority
 Policy 2 in that it only applies to lots containing commercial
 uses. Accordingly, the legislation will not affect any existing
 residential uses. Also, to the extent that it encourages the
 demolition of an existing nonconforming commercial use, it will
 enhance neighborhood character.

3. The proposed legislation is consistent with Priority

SUPERVISOR WARD
 BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

2

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

MAY 8 1989

SAN FRANCISCO
 PUBLIC LIBRARY

Policy 3 in that it only applies to lots containing commercial uses. Accordingly, the legislation will have no effect on the City's supply of affordable housing.

4. The proposed legislation is consistent with Priority Policy 4 in that the adjacent lot may be used for the drive-up facility and for related parking and queuing functions.

Accordingly, the legislation will not have an adverse impact on commuter traffic and may improve the flow of traffic on Ocean Avenue, which is classified as a Transit Preferential Street under the City's Master Plan.

5. The proposed legislation is consistent with Priority Policy 5 in that it does not affect any commercial office development nor could it lead to displacement of any existing industrial or service business.

6. The proposed legislation is consistent with Priority Policy 6 in that it will not adversely affect the City's ability to achieve the greatest possible preparedness to protect against injury and loss of life in an earthquake. To the extent the legislation may encourage the demolition of existing structures that do not meet current Building Code requirements for seismic safety, it would further the objectives of Priority Policy 6.

7. The proposed legislation is consistent with Priority Policy 7 in that it will not affect any landmark or historic buildings.

15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

SUPERVISOR WARD 3
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS 4/1/88

8. The proposed legislation is consistent with Priority Policy 8 in that it will not affect the access to sunlight or vistas from any park or open space.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

By: *Lucille D. Boyington*
Deputy City Attorney

SUPERVISOR WARD 4
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS 4/1/88

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading

\$ Finally Passed

April 10, 1989

\$ April 17, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Gonzalez
Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy
Maher Walker Ward

\$ Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Maher
Nelder Walker Ward

Absent: Supervisors Britt Nelder

\$ Absent: Supervisors Hongisto
Kennedy

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors
of the City and County of San Francisco


File No.
115-88-9

APR 21 1989

Maylene Sula
Clerk

Date Approved

Mayor



(Real Property Exchange)

AUTHORIZING EXCHANGE OF REAL PROPERTY REQUIRED FOR COMMUNITY LANDSCAPING AND ACCEPTING CERTAIN REAL PROPERTY FOR A NEIGHBORHOOD FACILITY AND CHILD CARE CENTER AND TO ADOPT FINDINGS PURSUANT TO CITY PLANNING CODE SECTION 101.1.

Be It Ordained By the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. In accord with Board of Supervisors' Ordinance No. 344-75 of August 14, 1975, the City approved Redevelopment Agency's plan that certain City-owned land designated as Parcel A on the New Hunters Point Subdivision Map, a copy of which is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, be transferred to the new Hunters Point Homeowners Association for landscaping purposes in exchange for association-owned land designated in Parcel B on the same Subdivision Map for purposes of completing the land assemblage for a neighborhood facility and child care center.

Section 2. The City-owned Parcel A has an area of 1,114 square feet, and the Homeowners Associations' Parcel B has an area of 3,440 square feet; the City's Director of Property has determined that the value of the property being acquired by the City is in excess of that being transferred out from the City.

Section 3. Said exchange of real property is hereby authorized and the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and the Director of Property are hereby authorized and directed to execute and deliver two original counterparts of that certain form of Exchange Deeds.

Section 4. The City Attorney shall examine and approve title to the property conveyed to the City, and the Director of Property shall accept the conveyance of Parcel B and deliver a deed to Parcel A.

Section 5. The Department of City Planning has reported that this exchange of property is in conformity with the City's Master Plan and that the project is consistent with the Eight Priority Policies of Planning Code Section 101.1, and this Board of Supervisors hereby adopts as its own and incorporates by reference herein those findings of consistency set forth by the Department of City

Planning's letter dated July 1, 1988.

Section 6. Upon recordation of the deed to the City said Parcel B shall be placed under the jurisdiction of the Mayor's Office of Community Development.

RECOMMENDED:

James Del Conte
Director of the Mayor's Office
of Community Development

Matthew D. Ash
for Anthony J. De Ture
Director of Property

APPROVED:

[Signature]

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, City Attorney

[Signature]
Deputy City Attorney

0571

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

MAY 8 1989

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

(Real Estate)
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading

April 10, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alloto Gonzalez
Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy
Maher Walker Ward
Absent: Supervisors Britt Neider

§ Finally Passed
§
§ April 17, 1989
§
§
§ Ayes: Supervisors Alloto Britt
Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Maher
Neider Walker Ward
§
§ Absent: Supervisors Hongisto
Kennedy

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors
of the City and County of San Francisco


City Clerk

APR 21 1989

Date Approved


Mayor

File No.
184-89-2

(AIRPORT-CONCESSION LEASE)

APPROVING "THE CALIFORNIA PRODUCTS SHOP LEASE" BETWEEN THE PARTNERSHIP OF DONALD CHIN, JAYNE CHEW AND MARY LEE DBA LAKESHORE LIQUORS AND THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, ACTING BY AND THROUGH ITS AIRPORTS COMMISSION.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE PEOPLE OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO:

SECTION 1: Pursuant to Section 7.402-1 of the Charter, the Board of Supervisors hereby approves "the California Products Shop Lease" between the Partnership of Donald Chin, Jayne Chew and Mary Lee DBA Lakeshore Liquors and the City and County of San Francisco, acting through its Airports Commission. The Award of the California Products Shop Lease to Lakeshore Liquors was approved by the Airports Commission Resolution No. 89-0048, adopted March 7, 1989.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE

CITY ATTORNEY

BY *[Signature]*
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

MAY 13 1989

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading

April 17, 1989

§ Finally Passed

§ April 24, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh
Maher Nelder Walker Ward

Absent: Supervisors Kennedy

§ Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
Hallinan Kennedy Maher Nelder
Walker

§ Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez Hsieh
Hongisto Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco


[Signature]
Clerk

[Signature]
Mayor

File No.
27-89-4

APR 26 1989

Date Approved



1 (Grant of Easement)

2 AUTHORIZING GRANT OF A 2,240 SQUARE FOOT EASEMENT OVER BAY DIVISION PIPELINE NOS.

3 1 AND 2 RIGHT OF WAY BEING PORTION OF PARCELS 1222(5) AND 1224(6) LOCATED IN THE

4 CITY OF NEWARK, ALAMEDA COUNTY, TO THE CITY OF NEWARK FOR PUBLIC STREET PURPOSES.

6 Be It Ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

7 SECTION 1. In accordance with Public Utilities Commission Resolution No.

8 86-0444, the Board of Supervisors hereby grants an easement for public street

9 purposes crossing portions of parcels 1222(5) and 1224(6) of the San Francisco

10 Water Department's Bay Division Pipeline Nos. 1 and 2 right of way to the City of
11 Newark.

12 SECTION 2. The subject property easement area is shown noted in blue on
13 drawing no. B-4433 dated August 21, 1986 marked as Exhibit "A", a copy of which is
14 on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

15 SECTION 3. Said easement rights to be acquired by the City of Newark are not
16 required by the City and County of San Francisco for municipal purposes and said
17 sale will not affect the Master Plan.

18 SECTION 4. Pursuant to Section 7.401 of the City Charter, the Director of
19 Property has determined the market value of said easement rights to be \$5,600.
20 The City of Newark has agreed to acquire said easement for said sum.

21 SECTION 5. The offer is hereby accepted and the Mayor and the Clerk of the
22 Board of Supervisors are authorized to execute and the Director of Property will

23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

//////////

deliver an easement deed conveying said property rights to the City of Newark.

RECOMMENDED:

Corey
General Manager & Chief Engineer
Water Department

Carroll Bay
General Manager
Public Utilities Commission
PUC Resolution No. 86-0444

RECOMMENDED:

Richard R. R.
Director of Property

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. PENNE, City Attorney

By *Richard R. R.*
Utilities General Counsel

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

MAY 12 1989

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

0550

(Real Estate)
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

(2)

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading

April 17, 1989

§ Finally Passed

§ April 24, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Brito
Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Helen
Maher Neider Walker Ward

§ Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Brito
§ Hallinan Kennedy Maher Neider
§ Walker

Absent: Supervisors Kennedy

§ Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez Helen
§ Hongisto Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors
of the City and County of San Francisco


Clerk

File No.
82-89-4

APR 26 1989

Date Approved


Mayor

MAY 12 1989

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

(S.F. FLOWER SHOW & COUNTY FAIR AGREEMENT)

1 APPROVING AN AGREEMENT WITH S.F. FLOWER SHOW INC TO CONDUCT THE SAN
2 FRANCISCO FAIR AND FLOWER SHOW AT THE COUNTY FAIR BUILDING AT 9TH AND
3 LINCOLN WAY IN GOLDEN GATE PARK ON AUGUST 24, 1989 THROUGH AUGUST 27,
4 1989.

5 Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

6
7
8
9
10 Section 1. That certain agreement between the Recreation and Park
11 Commission, for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco and
12 San Francisco Flower Show Inc., for the purpose of exhibiting and
13 advertising the agricultural and horticultural products of the City and
14 County of San Francisco, a copy of which agreement is on file in the
15 office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is
16 hereby made, is hereby approved.

RECOMMENDED:

17
18
19
20 Mary E. Burns, General Manager
21 Recreation and Park Department

22
23 Shauna Marie Rose, Secretary
24 Recreation and Park Commission

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

25 Louise H. Renne, City Attorney

26
27
28
29
30 Mary E. Rosales, Deputy City Attorney

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading \$ Finally Passed

April 17, 1989 \$ April 24, 1989 \$

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh
Maher Neider Walker Ward

\$ Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
\$ Hallinan Kennedy Maher Neider
\$ Walker

Absent: Supervisors Kennedy

\$ Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez Hsieh
\$ Hongisto Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors
of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

APR 26 1989

File No.
86-89-2

Date Approved

[Signature]
Mayor

AMENDING CHAPTER 16 OF THE SAN FRANCISCO ADMINISTRATIVE CODE BY
ADDING ARTICLE XI-C SECTIONS 16.400 TO 16.404 THERETO, ESTABLISHING
IMPROPER GOVERNMENT ACTIVITIES UNIT AND PROTECTING EMPLOYEES WHO
DISCLOSE IMPROPER GOVERNMENT ACTIVITIES.

Note: All language is new; additions therefore have not been underlined.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Intent.

It is the intent of the Board of Supervisors that City officers and employees, as well as members of the public, should disclose improper governmental activities, to the extent that such disclosures are not prohibited by law. It is further the intent of the Board of Supervisors to encourage all City departments, commissions, boards, officials and employees to assist and cooperate fully with the investigation of alleged improper government activity.

Section 2. Chapter 16 of the San Francisco Administrative Code is hereby amended by adding Article XI-C Sections 16.400 to 16.404, thereto, to read as follows:

SEC. 16.400. Improper Government Activities Unit.

(a) The Mayor is authorized to establish a unit to investigate allegations and disclosures of improper government activity ("IGA unit"). The IGA unit shall promptly, fairly and impartially investigate all allegations and disclosures of alleged improper government activities, except those which on

their face clearly indicate that the activity was proper.

(b) In carrying out its objectives, the IGA unit shall receive prompt and full cooperation and assistance from all departments, commissions, boards, officers and employees of the City and County of San Francisco.

SEC. 16.401. Definitions.

(a) "Improper government activity" means any activity by a City department, commission, board, officer or employee undertaken in the performance of an officer's or employee's official duties, whether or not such action is within the scope of his or her employment, and which is (1) in violation of any City, state, or federal ordinance, law or regulation, including but not limited to, corruption, malfeasance, bribery, theft of City property, fraud, discrimination, sexual or racial harassment, unlawful retaliation, coercion, or misuse of government property, or (2) involves gross misconduct or gross economic waste.

(b) "City" means the City and County of San Francisco, its departments, commissions, boards and agencies.

SEC. 16.402. Prohibiting Use or Attempted Use of Official Authority or Influence to Interfere With Disclosure of Information; Civil Damages; Disciplinary Action.

(a) An officer or employee may not directly or indirectly use or attempt to use the official authority or influence of the officer or employee for the purpose of intimidating, threatening, coercing, commanding, or attempting to intimidate, threaten, coerce, or command any person for the purpose of interfering with the right of that person to disclose to the IGA unit matters

1 within the scope of this section.

2 (b) For the purpose of subdivision (a), "use of official
3 authority or influence" includes promising to confer or not to
4 confer, or conferring or not conferring, any benefit; effecting,
5 or threatening to effect, any reprisal; or taking, or directing
6 others to take, or recommending, or approving any personnel
7 action, including, but not limited to, appointment, promotion,
8 transfer, assignment, performance evaluation, suspension, or
9 other disciplinary action solely for the purpose of violating
10 subdivision (a).

11 (c) Any person who intentionally engages in an act or acts
12 of reprisal, retaliation, intimidation, threats, coercion or
13 similar acts against a City employee or applicant for City
14 employment for having disclosed improper government activities,
15 may be liable personally in a judicial action brought by the
16 offended party for civil damages not to exceed five thousand
17 dollars (\$5,000.00).

18 (d) Any City official or employee who intentionally
19 engages in an act or acts of reprisal, retaliation, intimidation,
20 threats, coercion or similar acts against a City employee or
21 applicant for City employment for having disclosed improper
22 government activities shall be subject to disciplinary action up
23 to or including dismissal in accordance with applicable
24 provisions of the Charter. If no action is taken by the
25 appointing officer, the IGA unit may refer the matter to the
26 Civil Service Commission for action pursuant to its powers under
27 Charter Section 8.341.

28 (e) Nothing in this section is intended to interfere with
29 the Charter designated powers of appointing officers and the
30 Civil Service Commission. In addition, nothing in this section

1 shall be deemed to authorize interference by the Mayor in
2 administrative affairs under the control of the Chief
3 Administrative Officer or other elected officers, boards or
4 commissions in violation of Charter Section 3.101.

5 (f) This section shall not be construed to limit in any
6 way the power of an appointing officer, manager, or supervisor to
7 take adverse action with respect to any City employee, provided
8 that the appointing officer, manager or supervisor reasonably
9 believes that such action is justified on facts separate and
10 apart from the disclosure of improper government activities.

11 SEC. 16.403. Identity of Persons Providing Information
12 Confidential; Confidentiality of Investigations and Reports.

13 (a) Except when investigating claims of employment
14 discrimination, harassment and retaliation, and to the extent
15 necessary to conduct an adequate investigation, the identity of
16 persons reporting allegedly improper government activities shall
17 not be disclosed without written permission. Nothing in this
18 section shall preclude the IGA unit from referring any matter to
19 any other City department, commission or board for investigation,
20 or from referring any matter to law enforcement agencies for
21 possible criminal investigation.

22 (b) Except as provided in subdivision (c), every
23 investigation initiated pursuant to Section 16.400, and all
24 information collected pursuant to such investigations, shall be
25 confidential.

26 / / /
27 / / /
28 / / /
29 / / /
30 / / /

1 (c) The IGA unit shall provide a semi-annual report to the
2 Board of Supervisors which shall include, but shall not be
3 limited to:

- 4 (1) the number of allegations and disclosures
5 received;
6 (2) the type of improper government activity involved;
7 (3) the number of referrals to departmental level;
8 (4) the number of investigations performed;
9 (5) findings or recommendations on policies or
10 practices resulting from an investigation that it deems
11 necessary to serve the interests of the City;

12 (6) for allegations and disclosures filed with the
13 IGA unit: the number of disciplinary actions instituted;
14 the number of matters referred to the Civil Service
15 Commission; the number of judicial actions filed; and the
16 number of cases in which civil damages were awarded.

17 SEC. 16.404. Limitation of Liability.

18 In undertaking the adoption and enforcement of this
19 ordinance, the City and County is assuming an undertaking only to
20 promote the general welfare. It is not assuming, nor is it
21 imposing on its officers and employees, an obligation for breach
22 of which it is liable in money damages to any person who claims
23 that such breach proximately caused injury, except as
24 specifically set forth herein.

25 APPROVED AS TO FORM:

26 LOUISE H. RENNE, City Attorney

27 By: Thelma R. Salas
28 Deputy City Attorney

29 KENNEDY, WARD

30 39691

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

PAGE NO. 5

04/12/89

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading

April 17, 1989

Finally Passed

April 24, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh
Maher Nelder Walker Ward

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
Hallinan Kennedy Maher Nelder
Walker

Absent: Supervisors Kennedy

Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez Hsieh
Hongisto Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors
of the City and County of San Francisco

APR 26 1989

File No.
97-89-6

Date Approved

Mayor

(Parking Facilities-Prevailing Wages)

AMENDING SECTION 6.36 OF CHAPTER 6 OF THE SAN FRANCISCO

ADMINISTRATIVE CODE TO PROVIDE FOR PAYMENT OF PREVAILING WAGES TO
PERSONS PERFORMING WORK OR LABOR IN THE OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE OF
PUBLIC PARKING FACILITIES.

[Note: Additions or substitutions are underlined; deletions
are indicated by ((double parentheses)).]

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Section 6.36 of Chapter 6 of the San Francisco

Administrative Code is hereby amended to read as follows:

SEC. 6.36. DEFINITIONS OF "PUBLIC WORK" AND "IMPROVEMENT."

The term "public work or improvement" as used in this ((article))
chapter shall include any public work or improvement to be done for
or performed by the City and County of San Francisco where the cost
thereof is to be paid out of moneys deposited in the treasury of
said city and county, and shall also include all parts of said
public work or improvement which are especially made, wrought,
constructed or prepared to become a part of, or to be attached to,
said public work or improvement, as a part thereof, when the same
are made, wrought, constructed, or prepared, according to plans and
specifications, details or drawings prepared or used for the
construction of said public work or improvement, irrespective as to
whether said parts are made, wrought, constructed or prepared at the
place where said public work or improvement is being erected or
constructed or at any other place. Any arrangement made or entered
into by the contractor with any other person for the furnishing of
any part of said public work or improvement to be made, wrought,
constructed or prepared in accordance with said plans.

subcontract.

The term "public work or improvement," as used in sections
6.34, 6.35 and 6.37 to 6.45, inclusive, of this chapter shall also
include the operation of a public off-street parking lot or garage
under a lease, management agreement or other contractual arrangement
with the City and County of San Francisco, the Parking Authority of
the City and County of San Francisco or a non-profit corporation
formed for the purpose of constructing and operating a public
off-street parking lot or garage. As used in this section, the term
"public off-street parking lot or garage" shall mean an off-street
parking lot or garage that is owned by the City and County of
San Francisco, the Parking Authority of the City and County of
San Francisco or a non-profit corporation formed for the purpose of
constructing and operating such a parking lot or garage.

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

MAY 13 1989

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

By *Julian E. Hultgren*
Deputy City Attorney

Supervisor Gonzalez
Supervisor Nelder

March 13, 1989
Page 2 of 2 pages

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading

§ Finally Passed

April 17, 1989

§ April 24, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Brito
Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh
Maher Nelder Walker Ward

§ Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Brito
Hallinan Kennedy Maher Nelder
Walker

Absent: Supervisors Kennedy

§ Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez Hsieh
Hongisto Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors
of the City and County of San Francisco


File No.

APR 26 1989

97-89-9

Date Approved

Mayor


Mayor

1 [Hold Harmless Agreements]
2 ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 1 OF THE SAN FRANCISCO ADMINISTRATIVE
3 CODE BY ADDING SECTION 1.25-5 THERETO, AUTHORIZING THE FIRE
4 COMMISSION TO ENTER INTO HOLD HARMLESS AGREEMENTS WITH OWNERS OF
5 BUILDINGS SCHEDULED FOR DEMOLITION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONDUCTING
6 TRAINING EXERCISES.

7
8 Note: This section is entirely new.

9
10 Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:
11
12 Section 1. Chapter 1 of the San Francisco Administrative Code
13 is hereby amended by adding Section 1.25-5 thereto, to read as
14 follows:

15
16 SEC. 1.25-5. HOLD HARMLESS AGREEMENTS BETWEEN FIRE DEPARTMENT
17 AND OWNERS OF BUILDINGS SCHEDULED FOR DEMOLITION. The Fire
18 Commission, through the Chief of the Department, is hereby
19 authorized to enter into hold harmless agreements with owners of
20 buildings scheduled for demolition for the purpose of conducting
21 training exercises by the Fire Department's Division of Training;
22 such hold harmless agreements shall indemnify and hold harmless the
23 owner only for liability arising out of the Department's own acts
24 and/or omissions, and shall not indemnify and hold harmless the
25 owner for liability arising out of the owner's acts and or omissions
26 or for dangerous conditions existing on the property.

27 APPROVED AS TO FORM:

28 LOUISE H. RENNE
29 City Attorney

30 By Theodore R. Saka

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

MAY 10 1989

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading \$ Finally Passed
April 17, 1989 \$ April 24, 1989 \$

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt \$
Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh \$
Maher Nelder Walker Ward \$
Absent: Supervisors Kennedy \$
Supervisors Alioto Britt \$
Hallinan Kennedy Maher Nelder \$
Walker \$
Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez Hsieh \$
Hongisto Ward \$


I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors
of the City and County of San Francisco

APR 26 1989

File No.
97-89-10

Date Approved

Mayor



RO #8236 SA #125

(Government Funding)

APPROPRIATING \$6,000 FOR OTHER FIXED CHARGES BOARD OF SUPERVISORS FOR FISCAL

YEAR 1988-89.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:


Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1988-89

as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT	
		DEBIT	CREDIT
01-001	General Fund		
G.L. 496 (000026)	Unappropriated Revenue Reserved		
Subsidiary (000006)	General Reserve	\$6,000	
01-001			
01-01-00	Board of Supervisors		\$6,000
10-1420 (300012)	Payment to Other Government		\$6,000

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. KENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

BY:  CITY ATTORNEY CONTROLLER

2614A

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

MAY 12 1989

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading \$ Finally Passed
 April 17, 1989 \$ April 24, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
 Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher
 Nelder Walker Ward

\$ Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
 \$ Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker

Noes: Supervisors Hallinan
 Absent: Supervisors Kennedy

\$ Noes: Supervisors Hallinan
 \$ Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez Hsieh
 \$ Hongisto Ward


I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
 was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors
 of the City and County of San Francisco

APR 26 1989


APR 20 1989

File No.
101-88-99

Date Approved



City



Mayor

RO #8238 SA #140

(Government Funding)

\$33,698

APPROPRIATING \$50,647 FOR PROGRAM PROJECT BUDGET (SAFE ANTI-DRUG PROGRAM), POLICE

DEPARTMENT FOR FISCAL YEAR 1988-89.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1988-89
as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT	
		DEBIT	CREDIT
02-005	Narcotics Forfeiture Fund		
38-03-13	Police Department		
Project 001-00	Federal Narcotics Forfeiture and Seizures	33,698	
65-6531(050062)	Federal and State Grants - Direct	\$ 60,647	
20-2010(580324)	Program Project Budget		
Project 008-01	Safe Anti-Drug Program		
10-1001	Professional and Special Services	33,698	33,698
	Total	~~\$ 60,647~~	~~\$ 60,647~~

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

BY:

DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

CONTROLLER

1011P-11

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

MAY 12 1989

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading

\$ Finally Passed

April 17, 1989

\$ April 24, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Heieh
Maher Nelder Walker Ward

\$ Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
Hallinan Kennedy Maher Nelder
Walker

Absent: Supervisors Kennedy

\$ Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez Heieh
Hongisto Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors
of the City and County of San Francisco

APR 26 1989

File No.
101-88-100

Date Approved

Clerk

Mayor

(1989 Joint Fundraising Drive)

AMENDING THE SAN FRANCISCO ADMINISTRATIVE CODE BY AMENDING SECTION 16.93-4, ESTABLISHING THE SCHEDULE FOR THE CONDUCT OF THE ANNUAL JOINT FUNDRAISING DRIVE, BY AMENDING THE SCHEDULE TO PROVIDE LATER DEADLINES FOR FILING APPLICATIONS TO PARTICIPATE AND FOR DESIGNATING PARTICIPATING AGENCIES FOR THE 1989 ANNUAL DRIVE ONLY.

Note: Additions or substitutions are underlined; deletions are in ((double parentheses)).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Section 16.93-4 of the San Francisco Administrative Code is hereby amended to read as follows:

SEC. 16.93-4 Schedule of the Annual Drive.

a. By March 1 of each year agencies that wish to participate in the upcoming Annual Drive shall submit their applications to participate with the Board of Supervisors. Provided that only for the 1989 Annual Drive, agencies that wish to participate in the 1989 Annual Drive shall submit their applications to participate with the Board of Supervisors by May 15, 1989. By March 1 the Mayor shall also designate the Chair for the upcoming Annual Drive. Provided that only for the 1989 Annual Drive, the Mayor shall designate the Chair for the 1989 Annual Drive by May 15, 1989.

b. By March 1 of each year the Chair of the preceding Annual Drive shall report to the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors on the success of the concluded Annual Drive including the level of employee designations to each federated agency. Provided that only for 1989, the Chair of the preceding Annual Drive shall file the report to the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors as required by this Section by May 15, 1989.

resolution, shall designate those agencies that qualify to participate in the City's Annual Drive for that year. Provided that only for the 1989 Annual Drive, the Board of Supervisors shall designate those agencies that qualify to participate in the 1989 Annual Drive by June 15, 1989.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE, City Attorney

By: Theodore R. Laker
Deputy City Attorney

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

MAY 12 1989

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS COMMITTEE

4417g

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

04/10/89

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading

April 17, 1989

§ Finally Passed

§ April 24, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh
Maher Neider Walker Ward

§ Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
Hallinan Kennedy Maher Neider
Walker


Absent: Supervisors Kennedy

§ Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez Hsieh
Hongisto Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors
of the City and County of San Francisco

APR 26 1989

Date Approved


Mayor

File No.
152-89-1.1

(TERMINAL REVENUE SHARING AGREEMENT)
 APPROVING THE TERMINAL REVENUE SHARING AGREEMENT BETWEEN CHINA
 OCEAN SHIPPING CO. AND THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
 ACTING BY AND THROUGH THE SAN FRANCISCO PORT COMMISSION.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of
 San Francisco:

Section 1: Pursuant to Section 3.502 of the Charter, the
 Board of Supervisors hereby approves the Terminal Revenue
 Sharing Agreement between China Ocean Shipping Co. and the
 City and County of San Francisco acting by and through the
 San Francisco Port Commission in substantially the form on file
 with the Clerk of the Board.

Approved as to form:

LOUISE RENNE, City Attorney

By [Signature]
 Deputy City Attorney

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

MAY 1 1989

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading \$ Finally Passed

April 17, 1989 \$ April 24, 1989 \$

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
 Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh
 Maher Nelder Walker Ward

\$ Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
 \$ Hallinan Kennedy Maher Nelder
 \$ Walker

Absent: Supervisors Kennedy

\$ Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez Hsieh
 \$ Hongisto Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
 was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors
 of the City and County of San Francisco


By [Signature]
 Deputy City Attorney

File No.
 173-89-3

APR 26 1989

Date Approved

Mayor



[SETTLEMENT OF LAWSUIT]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF JAVIER APARICIO-TELLO, ET AL. AGAINST THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO for \$9,500.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco

Section I. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled "JAVIER APARICIO-TELLO, et al. V. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al.," United States District Court No. C85-8620 WWS, by the payment of \$9,500.

APPROVED AS TO FORM
AND RECOMMENDED:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

SAN FRANCISCO POLICE DEPARTMENT

KIMBERLY A. REILLEY
Chief Trial Deputy

FRANK JORDAN
Chief

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

SAM YOCKEY
Controller

01 001 97 09 38
309761 1450 \$9,500.00

MAR 22 1989

2649C

APPROVED:

POLICE COMMISSION

SECRETARY

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

MAY 23 1989

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading \$ Finally Passed

April 24, 1989 \$ May 1, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
Hallinan Kennedy Maher Nelder
Walker

\$ Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
\$ Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy
\$ Maher Nelder Walker Ward

Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez Hsieh
Hongisto Ward

\$ Absent: Supervisor Hongisto
\$


I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors
of the City and County of San Francisco

ACTING Clerk

MAY 3 1989

Date Approved

File No.
45-89-14



[SETTLEMENT OF LAWSUIT]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF GEORGE ARAGON AGAINST THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO for \$10,000.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco: Section I. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled "GEORGE ARAGON v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al.," United States District Court No. C-87-2841 SC, by the payment of \$10,000.

APPROVED AS TO FORM

AND RECOMMENDED:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

Kimberly A. Reilly
KIMBERLY A. REILLY
Chief Trial Deputy

RECOMMENDED:

Frank Jordan
FRANK JORDAN
Chief

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

Samuel D. Gocher
SAMUEL D. GOCHER
Controller

01 001 97 09 38
309781 1450 \$10,000.00

2718G
MAR 28 1989

APPROVED:

POLICE DEPARTMENT

William E. Fagan
WILLIAM E. FAGAN
Secretary

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading \$ Finally Passed

April 24, 1989 \$ May 1, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
Hallinan Kennedy Maher Nelder
Walker

\$ Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
\$ Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy
\$ Maher Nelder Walker Ward

Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez Hsieh
Hongisto Ward

\$ Absent: Supervisor Hongisto

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

File No.
45-89-15

MAY 3 1989

Date Approved

Maureen S. Suber
ACTING Clerk

Angela Alioto
ACTING Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

MAY 23 1989

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

[SETTLEMENT OF LAWSUIT]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF DIANNA HALE V. CHEVRON U.S.A., INC., CHRIS T. AZCONA, ET AL., UPON RECEIPT OF THE SUM OF \$3,212.41 AND AUTHORIZING RELEASE OF LIEN.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to settle San Francisco Superior Court No. 865533 entitled "Dianna Hale, Plaintiff, v. Chevron U.S.A, Inc., Chris T. Azcona, et al., Defendants" by acceptance of the sum of \$3,212.41.

Section 2. Upon receipt of said sum of \$3,212.41, the City Attorney is authorized to release the lien on said complaint.

APPROVED

AND RECOMMENDED:
LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

RETIREMENT BOARD
SAN FRANCISCO CITY AND COUNTY
EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM

BY Kimberly A. Reiley
KIMBERLY A. REILEY
Chief Trial Deputy

BY Pam Levesque
PAM LEVESQUE
Executive Assistant to
General Manager

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading § Finally Passed

April 24, 1989 § May 1, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
Hallinan Kennedy Maher Nelder
Walker

§ Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
§ Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy
§ Maher Nelder Walker Ward

Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez Hsieh
Hongisto Ward

§ Absent: Supervisors Hongisto

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

File No.
46-89-4

MAY 3 1989

Date Approved


Mem Lane Suber
ACTING Clerk

Angelita Alioto
ACTING Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

MAY 23 1989

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY



[SETTLEMENT OF LAWSUIT]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF STEVEN EDMONDS V. THOMAS
IBELLI, JOHN ACMOODY, ET AL., UPON RECEIPT OF THE SUM OF \$41,373.09
AND AUTHORIZING DISMISSAL OF COMPLAINT.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed
to settle San Francisco Superior Court No. 861174 entitled "Steven
Edmonds, Plaintiff, v. Thomas Ibelli, John Acmoody, et al.,
Defendants" by acceptance of the sum of \$41,373.09.

Section 2. Upon receipt of said sum of \$41,373.09, the City
Attorney is authorized to dismiss said complaint.

APPROVED
AND RECOMMENDED:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

RETIREMENT BOARD
SAN FRANCISCO CITY AND COUNTY
EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM

By Kimberly A. Reilly
KIMBERLY A. REILLY
Chief Trial Deputy

By Pam Levesque
PAM LEVESQUE
Executive Assistant to
General Manager

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading \$ Finally Passed

April 24, 1989 \$ May 1, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
Hallinan Kennedy Maher Nelder
Walker

\$ Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
\$ Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy
\$ Maher Nelder Walker Ward

Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez Hsieh
Hongisto Ward

\$ Absent: Supervisors Hongisto

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors
of the City and County of San Francisco

Mary Lane Britt
ACTING Clerk

File No.
46-89-5


MAY 3 1989
Date Approved

Angela Alioto
ACTING Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

MAY 23 1989

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY



[Vacation of Public Sewer Easement]

ORDERING VACATION OF A PORTION OF A PUBLIC SEWER EASEMENT NORTH OF WINSTON DRIVE AND QUITCLAIMING THE CITY'S INTEREST TO THE PROPERTY OWNER IN EXCHANGE FOR A NEW EASEMENT, AND ADOPTING FINDINGS PURSUANT TO CITY PLANNING CODE SECTION 101.1.

Be It Ordained By The People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. On March 20, 1989, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution No. 195-89, approved by the Mayor on March 24, 1989 being a Resolution of a Declaration of Intention to order the vacation and quitclaim of a portion of a public sewer easement in exchange for a new easement to be deeded to the City by The Stoneson Development Corporation, which easements are shown on Department of Public Works' Drawing SUN 288 a copy of which is on file in the Office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors did transmit to the Department of Public Works a certified copy of said Resolution, and said Department did cause to be posted in the manner and as required by law, notice of the passage of said Resolution.

Section 3. When said matter was considered as scheduled by the Board of Supervisors at its regular meeting held in the City Hall, San Francisco, on April 24, 1989 beginning at 3:00 p.m. said Board heard all persons interested in said vacation.

Section 4. The public interest and convenience require the vacation to be done as declared in said Resolution, and the easement to be vacated is unnecessary for present or prospective public use.

Section 5. The Department of City Planning reported on March 18, 1988 that said vacation and quitclaim of the abandoned easement, and its exchange for a new easement to be deeded to the City are in conformity with the Master Plan and consistent with the eight priority policies of Planning Code Section 101.1, and the Board of Supervisors also adopts as its own and incorporates by reference herein, as though fully set forth, the findings made by the Department of City

Planning by its letter dated March 18, 1988.

Section 6. The Board of Supervisors has acquired jurisdiction to order said vacation subject to any conditions as set forth in the Declaration of Intention to order the said vacation.

Section 7. The Stoneson Development Corporation, the petitioner and property owner, has relocated the sewer main as necessary and as approved by the Director of Public Works and has agreed to deed to the City a new easement for the relocated portion of the sewer main in exchange for the City vacating the abandoned sewer easement and quitclaiming said abandoned portion to The Stoneson Development Corporation.

Section 8. The Director of Property has determined the value of the exchange to be \$2,500 and The Stoneson Development Corporation has agreed to pay said amount to the City.

Section 9. The said portion of the sewer easement is hereby ordered vacated.

Section 10. The Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed, as approved by the City Attorney, quitclaiming the City's interest in the abandoned portion of the sewer easement to The Stoneson Development Corporation, and are hereby authorized to accept from The Stoneson Development Corporation a new sewer easement for the relocated portion of the sewer main.

Section 11. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to transmit to the Recorder and the Director of Public Works a certified copy of this Ordinance, and the Recorder and Director of Public Works are hereby directed to proceed thereafter as required by law.

(SEE FILE FOR DEPARTMENTAL APPROVALS.)

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

MAY 23 1989

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

0584

(Real Estate)
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

(2)

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading

April 24, 1989

§ Finally Passed
§ May 1, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Brito
Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy Neider
Walker Ward

§ Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Brito
Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy
Neider Walker Ward

Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez Maher
Hongisto

§ Absent: Supervisors Hongisto

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors
of the City and County of San Francisco

Pauline Anderson
NOTING CLERK

MAY 3 1989

Date Approved

Shirley Alioto
SACITING Mayor

File No.
82-88-11.1

(Government Funding)
APPROPRIATING \$33,205
AND RESCINDING \$6,372 FROM MANDATORY FRINGE BENEFITS, ASIAN ART MUSEUM, FOR FISCAL YEAR 1988-89.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1988-89 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	DEBIT	AMOUNT	CREDIT
01-001	General Fund			
G.L. 496 (000026)	Unappropriated Revenue Reserved			
Subsidiary 000006	General Reserve	\$22,052		
62-00-00	Asian Art Museum	\$29,693		
04-0610 (402040)	Health Service	11,153		
01-0010	Permanent Salaries - Miscellaneous	6,372		
04-0600	Retirement		\$32,751	
04-0606	Social Security		\$33,205	
04-0620	Unemployment Insurance		\$33,205	
Total			\$33,205	\$36,865

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: *Samuel D. Gubey*
CONTROLLER

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

ac(0959P33)

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading

April 24, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy Maher
Nelder Walker

Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez Ward
Hongisto

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Maya Lopez
ACTING Clerk

Juarez Alioto
ACTING Mayor

File No.
101-88-102

MAY 3 1989

Date Approved

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

MAY 23 1989

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

4/19/89

RO # 8248 SA # 121

(Government Funding)
\$1,009,971
APPROPRIATING \$1,009,971 FOR WORKER'S COMPENSATION, RETIREMENT SYSTEM,
FOR FISCAL YEAR 1988-89.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1988-89
as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT	
		DEBIT	CREDIT
01-001	General Fund		
G.L. 496(000026)	Unappropriated Revenue Reserved	\$1,009,971	
Subsidiary(000006)	General Reserve	~~\$1,009,971~~	
44-00-00	Retirement-Worker's Compensation		
37-3700(379172)			\$1,009,971
Project 195-99	Worker's Compensation		~~\$1,009,971~~

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: *[Signature]*
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

1011P-14

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

[Signature]
CONTROLLER

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading \$ Finally Passed

April 24, 1989 \$ May 1, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy Maher
Nelder Walker

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy
Maher Nelder Walker Ward

Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez Ward
Hongisto

Absent: Supervisor Hongisto

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors
of the City and County of San Francisco

[Signature]
ACTING CLERK

MAY 3 1989

File No.
101-88-103

Date Approved

[Signature]
ACTING Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

MAY 23 1989

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

RO #8250 SA # 105

(Government Funding)

APPROPRIATING \$104,928 FOR MEDICAL SERVICES CONTRACT AND MEMBERSHIP DUES AND
RESCINDING \$16,160 FROM MEDICAL SERVICES CONTRACT, COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH
SERVICES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1988-89.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1988-89
as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT	
		DEBIT	CREDIT
01-001	General Fund		
87-22-00	CMHS Baos Budget		
60-6074(048041)	Short Doyle	\$ 88,678	
87-25-00	CMHS - Adult Acute		
10-1010(730416)	Medical Services Contract	16,160	
10-1010(730515)	Medical Services Contract		\$ 88,768
10-1440(730366)	Membership Dues		16,160
	Total	\$ 104,928	\$ 104,928

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: BSW
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

Samuel M. Gorky Jr.
CONTROLLER

1011P 15

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

MAY 23 1989

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading \$ Finally Passed
April 24, 1989 \$ May 1, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy Maher
Nelder Walker

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy
Maher Nelder Walker Ward

Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez Ward
Hongisto

Absent: Supervisor Hongisto

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors
of the City and County of San Francisco

Maxine S. Butler
ACTING CLERK

MAY 3 1989

Shirley A. Hsieh
ACTING Mayor

File No.
101-88-104

Date Approved

[Public Health Membership]

AMENDING CHAPTER 16 OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE CODE BY AMENDING SECTION

16.6-10 THEREOF, AUTHORIZING THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH TO
ACQUIRE AND MAINTAIN MEMBERSHIP IN THE CALIFORNIA CONFERENCE OF
LOCAL MENTAL HEALTH DIRECTORS.

NOTE: Additions or substitutions are underlined;
there are no deletions.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Chapter 16 of the San Francisco Administrative

Code is hereby amended by amending Section 16.6-10 to read as
follows:

SEC. 16.6-10. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH.

American College of Hospital Administrators

American College of Physicians

American Correctional Health Services

American Hospital Association

American Medical Association

American Psychiatric Association

American Public Health Association

American Trauma Society

Association of Western Hospitals

Bay Area County Alcohol Programs

California Association for Adult Day Services (CAADS)

California Association for Health Services at Home (CAHSAH)

California Association of County Drug Administrators

California Association of Homes for the Aging

California Association of Hospital Admitting Managers

4526g

PAGE NO. 1

California Association of Nutrition Directors for the Elderly
California Association of Public Hospitals
California Association of Rehabilitation Facilities
California Coalition of Rape Crisis Centers
California Conference of Local Health Directors
California Conference of Local Mental Health Directors
(CCLMHD)

California County Collectors Association

California Hospital Association

California Society of Municipal Finance Officers

Coalition of Agencies Serving the Elderly

Council of Urban Health Providers

County Alcohol Program Administrators Association of
California

National Association for City Drug Coordination

National Association of Public Hospitals

National Council of Community Mental Health Centers

National Family Planning and Reproductive Health
Association

National Organization for Victims Assistance

North American Association of Alcoholism Programs

San Francisco County Medical Society

San Francisco Hospital Association

U.S. Conference of City Health Officers

Western Gerontological Society

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE, City Attorney

By: *Louise H. Renne*
Deputy City Attorney

4526g

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

PAGE NO. 2

04/19/89

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

MAY 23 1989

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading

April 24, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
Hallinan Heish Kennedy Maher
Neider Walker

Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez Ward
Hongisto

Finally Passed

May 1, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
Gonzalez Hallinan Heish Kennedy
Maher Neider Walker Ward

Absent: Supervisor Hongisto

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors
of the City and County of San Francisco

Pauline Baker
ACTING CLERK

MAY 3 1989

Date Approved

Eugene Alioto
ACTING Mayor

File No.
101-88-104.1

RO # 8249 SA #126

(Government Funding)
APPROPRIATING \$19,184 FOR PROGRAM PROJECT BUDGET (AID TO HOMELESS) AND
RESCINDING \$19,184 FROM PERMANENT SALARIES, MISCELLANEOUS, SOCIAL SERVICES,
FOR FISCAL YEAR 1988-89; PROVIDING FOR RETROACTIVE PAYMENT.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1988-89 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT	
		DEBIT	CREDIT
01-001	General Fund		
45-00-00	Social Services		
01-0010(647032)	Permanent Salaries-Miscellaneous	\$ 19,184	
20-2010(684915)	Program Project Budget		
Project 118-01	AID TO HOMELESS		
30-3890	Services of Other Departments-Miscellaneous		\$19,184

Section 2. The Board of Supervisors does hereby authorize retroactive payment as of March 13, 1989.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

BY: *David D. York*
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY
CONTROLLER

101IP-16

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading \$ Finally Passed
April 24, 1989 \$ May 1, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy Maher
Nelder Walker

Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez Ward
Hongisto

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy
Maher Nelder Walker Ward


Absent: Supervisor Hongisto

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

File No. 101-88-105
MAY 3 1989
Date Approved
Michael S. Sullivan
Clerk
Joseph Alioto
Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

MAY 23 1989
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY



for the ordinance
Ordinance 141-89
is the

Salary Standardization

Ordinance FY 89-90

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

MAY 23 1989

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 106-89-1

120-100 100-100

100-100

100-100

100-100

(Subdivision Fees)

AMENDING PART II, CHAPTER 13, OF THE SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE
(SUBDIVISION CODE) BY AMENDING SECTION 1315 THEREOF TO EXEMPT
CERTAIN HOUSING BUILT BY NONPROFIT ORGANIZATIONS FROM SUBDIVISION
CHECKING AND PROCESSING FEES

NOTE: Additions or substitutions are underlined;
deletions are indicated by ((double parentheses)).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San
Francisco:

Section 1. Part II, Chapter 13, of the San Francisco
Municipal Code (Subdivision Code) is hereby amended by amending
Section 1315 thereof to read as follows:

SEC. 1315. FEES. (a) Fees, payable to the Department of
Public Works, shall be charged for checking and processing the
maps, plans and reports, including all condominium maps and
parcel maps, filed under this Code. Said fees shall consist of
an initial payment in accordance with the estimated actual cost
of checking the maps, plans and reports, together with
investigations incidental thereto, and shall be paid at the time
of filing a Tentative Map or a Parcel Map. Where initial payment
is insufficient to compensate the actual cost incurred, an
additional sum shall be charged to equal such actual cost. For
Parcel Maps, excepting condominium maps, which do not require the
filing of a Tentative Map, and which do not involve street
dedications or improvements, a flat fee of \$500 shall be charged
for checking and processing. A fee of \$75 shall be charged for
processing a Parcel Map Waiver. All such fees shall be paid at
time of filing. Nonprofit organizations with tax exempt status

under the Internal Revenue Code shall be exempt from payment of
the checking and processing fees where the organization is
building housing to be used exclusively for the benefit of
residents who are low-income, as that term is defined in Section
1309(e) of this Code.

(b) A fee of \$250 shall be charged to defray costs of an
appeal under Section 1314 of this Code.

(c) Payment of fees charged under this Code does not waive
the fee requirements of other ordinances and rules and
regulations pursuant thereto.

(d) There is hereby created a Subdivision Fund wherein all
funds received under the provisions of this Section shall be
deposited. All expenditures from the Fund shall be for
engineering or technical investigations and equipment directly
related to the checking and processing of the maps, plans,
reports and parcel map waivers filed under this Code, and all
such expenditures are hereby appropriated for said purposes.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

By *Judith R. Borajin*
Deputy City Attorney

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

MAY 23 1989

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

7521j

SUPERVISOR MAHER

PAGE NO. 2

4/21/89

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading

April 24, 1969

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
Hallinan Heien Kennedy Maher
Nelder Walker Ward
Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez
Hongisto

§ Finally Passed
§
§ May 1, 1969
§

§ Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
§ Gonzalez Hallinan Heien Kennedy
§ Maher Nelder Walker Ward
§
§ Absent: Supervisors Hongisto
§

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors
of the City and County of San Francisco

Mary Lee Allen
City Clerk

File No.
126-89-2

MAY 3 1969

Date Approved

Augusto Alioto
City Mayor

(Government Funding)

APPROPRIATING \$14,612

AND RESCINDING \$10,226 FROM PERMANENT SALARIES AND RELATED MANDATORY FRINGE BENEFITS

AND RESCINDING \$10,226 FROM PERMANENT SALARIES AND RELATED MANDATORY FRINGE BENEFITS

BENEFITS FOR THE CREATION OF TWO (2) POSITIONS AND THE DELETION OF TWO (2)

POSITIONS, ASSESSOR, FOR FISCAL YEAR 1988-89.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1988-89 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT	
		DEBIT	CREDIT
01-001	General Fund		
02-01-00	Assessor-Real Property	\$12,018	\$11,488
01-0010 (301507)	Permanent Salaries	\$15,632	\$14,360
04-0600	Retirement	1,432	1,032
04-0606	Social Security		2,298
04-0610	Health Service	672	862
			4,078
			424
		490	490
	Total	\$14,612	\$14,612
		~~\$18,226~~	~~\$18,226~~

Section 2. Funds are hereby appropriated for two (2) positions created and two (2) positions abolished by amendment to the Annual Salary Ordinance (Board of Supervisors' File No 102-88-39) as follows:

/////////
/////////

Fund: General
Department: Assessor
Classification
4260 Real Property Appraiser
Trainee
4265 Senior Real Property
Appraiser
Rate of Pay
\$ 895 B \$ 895
\$1304 B \$1580
Abolished
2
Created
2

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. REMME, CITY ATTORNEY

BY:

DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

APPROVED:

CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

BY:

GENERAL MANAGER, PERSONNEL

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

CONTROLLER

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

MAY 26 1989

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading

§ Finally Passed

May 1, 1989

§ May 8, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy
Maher Neider Walker Ward

§
§
§
§
§
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy
Maher Neider Ward

Absent: Supervisor Hongisto

§
§
§
§
§
Absent: Supervisors Hallinan Walker

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors
of the City and County of San Francisco

MAY 9 '89

Date Approved

Theresa A. Burt
Acting Clerk
Henry J. Hall
Acting Mayor

File No.
101-88-110

PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT

- 1 AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 269-88 (ANNUAL SALARY ORDINANCE, 1988-89) REFLECTING THE
- 2 ADDITION OF TWO POSITIONS AND THE DELETION OF TWO POSITIONS IN THE ASSESSOR'S
- 3 OFFICE.
- 4 Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:
- 5 Section 1. The hereinafter designated items and section of Ordinance
- 6 No. 269-88 (Annual Salary Ordinance, 1988-89) are hereby amended so that the same
- 7 shall read as follows:

(Amend- ment	No. Pos.	Class & Item No.	Class Title	Compensation Schedule
		Dept.: Division: Section: Program: Fund: Index: Prof/Phase: Object:	02 Assessor 01 Real Property 00 Real Property 6280 Real Property 01001 General Fund 301507 Assr.- Real Prop.-Genx. 000/00 Unassigned Title 000 Permanent Salaries-Misc.	

2 abolished	5	4260 A Real Property Appraiser Trainee. . . .	\$8958895
2 added	12	4265 A Senior Real Property Appraiser .Z,SC	\$130481580

APPROVED AS TO CLASSIFICATION:
CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSIONAPPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEYJohn J. Walsh
GENERAL MANAGER, PERSONNELBy: Louise P. Salter
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

NO. 35

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

MAY 26 1989

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading

\$ Finally Passed

May 1, 1989

\$ May 8, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
Gonzalez Hallinan Heieh Kennedy
Maher Nelder Walker Ward\$ Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy
Maher Nelder Ward

Absent: Supervisors Hongisto


\$ Absent: Supervisors Hallinan Walker

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors
of the City and County of San FranciscoFile No.
102-88-39

MAY 9 '89

Date Approved

Maylene Baker
ACTING CLERK
MAY 9 1989
ACTING Mayor



(Lease of Property)

1 AUTHORIZING NEGOTIATED LEASE WITH THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, DEPARTMENT
2 OF GENERAL SERVICES, FOR 36 ACRES OF CITY-OWNED LAND IN SAN JOAQUIN
3 COUNTY.
4

5 Be It Ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:
6 Section 1. The General Manager of the Public Utilities Commission,
7 the General Manager of Hetch Hetchy Water and Power and the Director of
8 Property recommend approval of a negotiated twenty(20) year lease agree-
9 ment with the State of California, Department of General Services.
10

11 Section 2. The leased premises consist of approximately 36 acres
12 of vacant land located in San Joaquin County and shown on Exhibit "A"
13 of the lease agreement which is on file with the Clerk of the Board of
14 Supervisors.


15 Section 3. The State will use the premises for overnight camping
16 facilities and a hiking trail in conjunction with the Carnegie State
17 Vehicular Recreation Area which adjoins the subject leased premises.


18 Section 4. The State has offered to lease the property at an ini-
19 tial rent of \$3600 per year with appropriate rental adjustments over the
20 term of the lease, which sum represents a fair rental for the property
21 considering its limited potential for any other use and its remote
22 location.


23 Section 5. The lease of the subject property will not affect the
24 Master Plan or the eight priority policies of Section 101.1 of the City
25 Planning Code.
26
27
28
29
30

1 Section 6. The lease agreement is hereby approved and the Mayor
2 and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized to
3 execute said lease on behalf of the City.
4
5


RECOMMENDED:

6 
7 General Manager, Hetch Hetchy
8 Water and Power
9 Pursuant to Reso. No. 88-0167
10

11 
12 General Manager, Hetch Hetchy
13 Water and Power
14

15 
16 Director of Property
17

18 APPROVED AS TO FORM:
19 LOUISE H. KENNE, City Attorney
20
21
22

23 By 
24 City Attorney
25
26
27
28
29
30

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

MAY 26 1989

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading

§ Finally Passed

May 1, 1989

§ May 8, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Brilt
Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy
Maher Nelder Walker Ward

Absent: Supervisor Hongisto

§ Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Brilt
Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy
Maher Nelder Ward
§ Absent: Supervisors Hallinan Walker

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors
of the City and County of San Francisco

Theresa A. ...
Acting Clerk

MAY 10 '89

Date Approved

James J. ...
Acting Mayor

File No.
65-89-1

(Government Funding)

APPROPRIATING \$93,658 FOR FACILITIES MAINTENANCE PROJECTS (STREET
RECONSTRUCTION - SB 300), PUBLIC WORKS, FOR FISCAL YEAR 1988-89.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1988-89
as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT	
		DEBIT	CREDIT
02 450	Construction - Inspection		
03 06 00	Department of Public Works - Bureau of Engineering		
54 5401 (048231)	Interest Earned		
Project 300 01	Street Reconstruction SB 300	\$93,658	
20 2020 (778290)	Facilities Maintenance Project		
Project 300 01	Street Reconstruction SB 300		\$93,658

APPROVED AS TO FORM.

LOUISE H. REMME, CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

By Frederic P. Salley DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY
Samuel R. Gregory CONTROLLER

Id 0648R 7

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading \$ Finally Passed
 May 1, 1989 \$ May 8, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
 Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy
 Maher Nelder Walker Ward

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
 Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy
 Maher Nelder Ward

Absent: Supervisor Hongisto

Absent: Supervisors Hallinan Walker

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
 was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors
 of the City and County of San Francisco

Maylene Butler
 ACTING Clerk
James G. Walker
 ACTING Mayor

MAY 10 '89


File No.
 101-88-106

Date Approved

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

MAY 26 1989

SAN FRANCISCO
 PUBLIC WORKS



RO # 8255 SA 143

(Government Funding)

APPROPRIATING \$7,969,998 FOR CAPITAL PROJECT IMPROVEMENTS (GROUNDSIDE IMPROVEMENTS), AIRPORT, FOR FISCAL YEAR 1988-89.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1988-89 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT	
		DEBIT	CREDIT
40 873	1983 Series D - Revenue Bonds		
27 04 00	Airport		
20 2030 (5/4202)	Capital Improvement Projects		
Project 001-01	Unallocated	\$7,700,282	
025 01	Interest during construction	152,162	
050-01	Revenue Bond Reserve Payment	117,554	
400 01	Groundside Improvements		\$7,969,998
			<u>\$7,969,998</u>

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RINNE, CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

Samuel R. Spolansky
CONTROLLER

BY *Frederick Salter*
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading \$ Finally Passed
\$ May 1, 1989 \$ May 8, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy
Maher Nelder Walker Ward

Absent: Supervisor Hongisto

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy
Maher Nelder

Absent: Supervisors Hallinan Walker
Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Mayor
ACTING Mayor

MAY 10 '89


File No.
101-88-107

Date Approved

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

MAY 26 1989

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY



RO # 8254 SA # 151

(Government Funding)

APPROPRIATING \$159,000 FOR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS (LEASING-OFFICE SPACE
AND OFFICE EQUIPMENT) AND RESCINDING \$159,000 FROM CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT
PROJECTS (STREET RECONSTRUCTION AND RENOVATION), PUBLIC WORKS, FOR FISCAL YEAR
1988-89; PLACING \$106,000 ON RESERVE.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1988-89
as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT	
		DEBIT	CREDIT
05 607	1987 Street Improvement Bond		
90 06-00	Public Works - Bureau of Engineering		
20 2030 (778308)	Capital Improvement Project		
Project 100-01	Street Reconstruction and Renovation	\$159,000	
20 2030 (778308)	Capital Improvement Project		
Project 003-01	Leasing - Office Space and Office Equipment		\$159,000

Section 2. Funds in the amount of \$106,000 are hereby reserved and are
to be released by the Finance Committee for rental of office
space for the Department of Public Works.

APPROVED AS TO FORM
LOUISE H. RENN, CITY ATTORNEY

BY Louise H. Renn
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE

Samuel D. Gordon
CONTROLLER

06-88-1

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
MAY 26 1989

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	\$	Finally Passed	\$
May 1, 1989	\$	May 8, 1989	\$
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt	\$	Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt	\$
Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy	\$	Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy	\$
Maier Walker Ward	\$	Maier	\$
Noes: Supervisor Nelder	\$	Noes: Supervisor Nelder	\$
Absent: Supervisor Hongisto	\$	Absent: Supervisors Hallinan Walker	\$
	\$	Ward	\$

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors
of the City and County of San Francisco


Nancy G. Walker
Clerk

MAY 10 89

File No.
101-88-108

Date Approved

Nancy G. Walker
ACTING Mayor



(Government Funding)

APPROPRIATING \$8,347 FOR PERMANENT SALARIES - NURSES AND RELATED MANDATORY FRINGE BENEFITS AND RESCINDING \$31,994 FROM PERMANENT SALARIES - NURSES, FOR THE CREATION OF ONE (1) POSITION, SAN FRANCISCO GENERAL HOSPITAL, FOR FISCAL YEAR 1988-89.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1988-89 as follows:

FUND AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT	
		DEBIT	CREDIT
36 001	SFGH - Operating Fund		
86 01-00	SFGH - Acute Operations		
01 0050(462580)	Permanent Salaries - Nurses	\$ 8,347	
01 0050(462580)	Permanent Salaries - Nurses	\$ 31,994	
04 0600(462580)	Retirement		6,505
04 0606(462580)	Social Security		24,944
04 0610(462580)	Health Service - Miscellaneous		1,040
04 0710(462580)	Health Service - Nurses Dependents		3,989
04 0711(462580)	Dental Coverage - Nurses		489
	Total		1,873
			166
			637
			95
			364
			52
			198
			11,994
			8,347
			8,347

Section 2. Funds are hereby appropriated for one (1) position created by amendment to the Annual Salary Ordinance (Board of Supervisor's File No. 102-89-40) as follows:

FUND	DEPARTMENT	CLASSIFICATION	Rate of Pay	Created
2368 Asst. Director of Nursing	Hospital Operating	San Francisco General Hospital	\$1918 B \$2332	1

APPROVED AS TO FORM
LOUISE H. RENNE, CLERK

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

BY: *Annex D. G. G. G.*
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

APPROVED
CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

BY: *John G. Walsh*
GENERAL MANAGER, PERSONNEL

101/2/18 (cbl)

COMMENTS DEPT.
MAY 26 1989
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading \$ Finally Passed

May 1, 1989 \$ May 8, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
Gonzalez Hallinan Kennedy Nelder
Walker Ward

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
Gonzalez Hongisto Kennedy Nelder
Ward

Noes: Supervisors Hsieh Maher

Noes: Supervisors Hsieh Maher

Absent: Supervisor Hongisto

Absent: Supervisors Hallinan Walker

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco


May 10 1989
ACTING Clerk

MAY 10 '89

File No.
101-88-109

Date Approved

Mayor D. Walker
ACTING Mayor



FILE NO. 101-88-111

ORDINANCE NO. 150-89

RO #8240 SA #120

(Government Funding)

\$60,564

APPROPRIATING \$65,774 FOR PERMANENT SALARIES AND RELATED MANDATORY FRINGE BENEFITS

\$60,564

AND RESCINDING \$63,774 FROM PERMANENT SALARIES AND RELATED MANDATORY FRINGE

BENEFITS FOR THE CREATION OF SEVEN (7) POSITIONS AND THE DELETION OF SIX (6)

POSITIONS, DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1988-89.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1988-89 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION AMOUNT DEBIT CREDIT

General Fund

Social Services

01 0010(640037)

Permanent Salaries

21,325

\$ 21,325

04 0600

Retirement

2,562

4,124

04 0606

Social Security

1,069

1,720

01 0010(640045)

Permanent Salaries

15,965

16,002

04 0600

Retirement

1,597

2,265

04 0606

Social Security

666

945

01 0010(647149)

Permanent Salaries

6,924

11,102

04 0600

Retirement

1,246

1,375

04 0606

Social Security

520

574

01 0010(647172)

Permanent Salaries

6,924

7,208

04 0600

Retirement

1,246

895

04 0606

Social Security

520

373

04 0610

Health Service

715

300

Total

60,564

60,564

\$ 60,564

\$ 60,564

\$ 60,564

\$ 60,564

\$ 60,564

\$ 60,564

\$ 60,564

\$ 60,564

\$ 60,564

\$ 60,564

\$ 60,564

\$ 60,564

\$ 60,564

\$ 60,564

\$ 60,564

\$ 60,564

\$ 60,564

\$ 60,564

\$ 60,564

\$ 60,564

\$ 60,564

\$ 60,564

\$ 60,564

\$ 60,564

\$ 60,564

Section 2. Funds are hereby appropriated for seven (7) positions created and six (6) positions deleted by amendment to the Annual Salary Ordinance (Board of Supervisors' File No. 102-88-37) as follows:

General Fund
Social Services

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading

May 1, 1989

§ Finally Passed

May 8, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Bitt
Gonzalez Hallinan Heileh Kennedy
Maher Neider Walker Ward
Absent: Supervisors Hongisto

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Bitt
Gonzalez Hongisto Heileh Kennedy
Maher Neider Ward
Absent: Supervisors Hallinan Walker

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors
of the City and County of San Francisco

Myrlene Foster
ACTING CLERK

MAY 10 1989

Date Approved

James D. Hallinan
ACTING Mayor

File No.
101-88-111

PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT

SAL. ORD. AMEND. NO. 33

1 AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 269-88 (ANNUAL SALARY ORDINANCE, 1988-89) REFLECTING THE
2 ADDITION OF SEVEN POSITIONS AND THE DELETION OF SIX POSITIONS IN THE DEPARTMENT OF
3 SOCIAL SERVICES.

4 Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

5 Section 1. The hereinafter designated section and items of Ordinance No. 269-88

6 (Annual Salary Ordinance, 1988-89) are hereby amended so that the same shall read

7 as follows:

Amend- ment	No. Pos.	Class & Item No.	Class & Title	Compensation Schedule
1	abolished	0	A422 A Foster Care Coordinator.....J,SC	\$182781827
2	abolished	19	2944 A Child Welfare Supervisor.....Z	142981731
3	added	3	2948 A Sr. Social Services Supervisor.....Z,SC	144381748
4	abolished	41	2910 A Social Worker.....Z	91681109
5	added	2	2948 A Sr. Social Services Supervisor.....Z,SC	144381748
6	abolished	41	2910 A Social Worker.....Z	91681109
7	added	2	2948 A Sr. Social Services Supervisor.....Z,SC	144381748
8	abolished	19	2944 A Child Welfare Supervisor.....Z	142981731
9	added	3	2948 A Sr. Social Services Supervisor.....Z,SC	144381748
10	abolished	41	2910 A Social Worker.....Z	91681109
11	added	2	2948 A Sr. Social Services Supervisor.....Z,SC	144381748
12	abolished	19	2944 A Child Welfare Supervisor.....Z	142981731
13	added	3	2948 A Sr. Social Services Supervisor.....Z,SC	144381748
14	abolished	41	2910 A Social Worker.....Z	91681109
15	added	2	2948 A Sr. Social Services Supervisor.....Z,SC	144381748
16	abolished	19	2944 A Child Welfare Supervisor.....Z	142981731
17	added	3	2948 A Sr. Social Services Supervisor.....Z,SC	144381748
18	abolished	41	2910 A Social Worker.....Z	91681109
19	added	2	2948 A Sr. Social Services Supervisor.....Z,SC	144381748
20	abolished	19	2944 A Child Welfare Supervisor.....Z	142981731
21	added	3	2948 A Sr. Social Services Supervisor.....Z,SC	144381748
22	abolished	41	2910 A Social Worker.....Z	91681109
23	added	2	2948 A Sr. Social Services Supervisor.....Z,SC	144381748
24	abolished	19	2944 A Child Welfare Supervisor.....Z	142981731
25	added	3	2948 A Sr. Social Services Supervisor.....Z,SC	144381748
26	abolished	41	2910 A Social Worker.....Z	91681109
27	added	2	2948 A Sr. Social Services Supervisor.....Z,SC	144381748
28	abolished	19	2944 A Child Welfare Supervisor.....Z	142981731
29	added	3	2948 A Sr. Social Services Supervisor.....Z,SC	144381748
30	abolished	41	2910 A Social Worker.....Z	91681109

1 Amend-
ment

2

No.
Pos.

Class &
Item No.

Class & Title

Compensation
Schedule

3 Dept.: 45 Social Services
4 Division: 00 Social Services
5 Section: 00 Social Services
6 Program: 3401 DSS Central Management
7 Fund: 01001 General Fund
8 Index: 647008 DSS-Cent Mgt Prog Bud
9 Proj./Phase: 000/00 Unassigned Title
10 Object: 001 Permanent Salaries-Miscellaneous

11 1 abolished 0 2944 A Child Welfare Supervisor.....Z \$142981731
12 2 added 1 1842 A Management Assistant.....Z,SC 113181368

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

MAY 26 1989

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

APPROVED AS TO CLASSIFICATION:
CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

John J. Walsh
GENERAL MANAGER, PERSONNEL

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

By: *Shirley R. Soley*
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading

May 1, 1989

5 Finally Passed
5
5 May 8, 1989
5
5
5
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
Gonzalez Hallinan Helen Kennedy
Maher Nelder Walker Ward
Absent: Supervisor Hongisto

5
5
5
5
5
5
5
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
Gonzalez Hongisto Helen Kennedy
Maher Nelder Ward
Absent: Supervisors Hallinan Walker

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors
of the City and County of San Francisco

Mark Walker
Mark Walker
Clerk

MAY 10 89

File No.
102-88-37

Date Approved

Mark Walker
Mark Walker
Mayor

PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT

SAL. ORD. AMEND. NO. 36

1 AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 269-88 (ANNUAL SALARY ORDINANCE, 1988-89) REFLECTING THE

2 ADDITION OF ONE POSITION IN THE DEPT. OF PUBLIC HEALTH, SAN FRANCISCO GENERAL

3 HOSPITAL.

4 Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

5 Section 1. The hereinafter designated section and items of Ordinance No. 269-88

6 (Annual Salary Ordinance, 1988-89) are hereby amended so that the same shall read as

7 follows:

(Amend- ment)	No. Pos.	Class & Item No.	Class & Title	Compensation Schedule
			86 San Francisco General Hospital	
		Dept.:	01 General	
		Division:	00 General Division	
		Section:	4101 Acute Operations	
		Program:	36001 Hospital Operations Fund	
		Fund:	462580 Acute Operations	
		Index:	000/00 Unassigned Title	
		Proj./Phase:	005 Permanent Salaries-Nurses	
		Object:		

14	1 added	1	2368 A Asst. Director of Nursing, San Francisco General Hospital	2,5C \$191882332
----	---------	---	--	------------------

24 APPROVED AS TO CLASS:
CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSIONAPPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY26 *John J. Walsh Jr.*
GENERAL MANAGER, PERSONNELBy: *BSJ*
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

NO. 36

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading \$ Finally Passed

May 1, 1989 \$ May 8, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
Gonzalez Hallinan Kennedy Neider
Walker Ward\$ Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
\$ Gonzalez Hongisto Kennedy Neider
\$ Ward

Noes: Supervisors Hsieh Maher

\$ Noes: Supervisors Hsieh Maher

Absent: Supervisor Hongisto

\$ Absent: Supervisors Hallinan Walker

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors
of the City and County of San Francisco*Marie Walker*
Clerk

MAY 10 '89

File No.
102-88-40

Date Approved

Anthony J. Walker
ACTING Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

MAY 26 1989

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

APR 26 1989

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

AS AMENDED IN COMMITTEE
4/26/89
ORDINANCE NO. 53-89

FILE NO. 114-89-1

(BBI FEE SCHEDULE)

AMENDING PART II, CHAPTER 1, OF THE SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE (BUILDING CODE) BY AMENDING SECTIONS 303, 330.1, 330.2, 330.3, 331, 332, 332.1, 332.2, 332.3, 332.4, 332.5, 333.1, 333.2, and 333.3 RELATING TO FEE SCHEDULES; PROVIDING FOR EFFECTIVE DATE.

NOTE: Additions or substitutions are underlined;
deletions are indicated by ((double parentheses)).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:
Section 1. Part II, Chapter 1, of the San Francisco Municipal Code (Building Code) is hereby amended by amending Sections 303, 330.1, 330.2, 330.3, 331, 332, 332.1, 332.2, 332.3, 332.4, 332.5, 333.1, 333.2 and 333.3 thereof to read as follows:

APPLICATION PROCESSING AND PERMIT ISSUANCE.

Sec. 303. (a) Processing and Issuance. 1. Application Processing.

A. General. The application, plans, specifications and other data shall be referred for such reviews and approvals as are required under applicable ordinances and laws. Each interested reviewing bureau, department or agency shall indicate on the application its approval, approval with conditions, or disapproval.

Every building permit application which requires the approval of three or more bureaus, departments, agencies or subdivisions thereof of any Federal, State and local government body shall be reviewed by a permit process expediter. The permit process expediter shall be under the jurisdiction of the Chief Administrative Officer. The duties of the permit process expediter shall be to facilitate the coordination of interdepartmental review of the permit applications so that time limitations for review by a bureau, agency,

department or subdivision thereof are maintained in compliance with the applicable procedures set forth by the governmental body, to provide the public with any information concerning mandatory permit procedures or requirements, and to process all complaints caused by the lack of coordination among the departments, bureaus or agencies or subdivisions thereof. See Section 331 - Expediter Fee - for the applicable fee. The fee for such service shall be paid upon filing of an application for a new building, and upon issuance of a building permit for alteration work.

Every building permit application for new construction or alteration work shall be reviewed by a Disability Access Program Coordinator. The duties of the coordinator shall be to provide consultation, training, and coordination in the implementation of Title 24 of the State of California Administrative Code. A fee for such service shall be paid upon permit issuance for new construction or alteration work applicable to the Title 24 access requirement. See Section 332.5 - Disability Access Coordinator - for the applicable fee.

B. Cancellation of Application During Processing. The Superintendent may hold in abeyance any applications, plans, and specifications filed which, in the Superintendent's opinion, do not provide the necessary information in a clear and concise manner as required in Section 302(c), and shall cancel such an application upon the expiration of the time period set forth below.

During the processing of the application, any corrections, additional information, plans or documents that are necessary to complete the processing by any of the enforcing agencies shall be submitted and approved within the following time limitations:

VALUATION	TIME LIMITATION
Under \$100,000	60 calendar days
\$100,000 to \$1 million	90 calendar days
Over \$1 million	120 calendar days

The above time limits shall start when the applicant or the applicant's representative has been notified by the enforcing agency representative that corrections are required. The time limitation shall apply until all corrections have been satisfactorily made. A one-time extension of 60 days, at any point during the approval procedure, may be granted by the Superintendent upon written request by the applicant and payment of fee. See Section 332.5 - Extension of Time: Application Cancellation and Permit Expiration - for applicable fee. In the event an extension of time extends the life of an application beyond the effective date of the adoption of a new code, the Superintendent may require that all or part of the application be subject to the provisions of the new code. In the event the corrections have not been made within 21 days before the end of the stated or extended time period, the Bureau shall notify the applicant by certified mail that the application will be cancelled in 21 days unless the plans are made approvable within that time. An application which exceeds the stated or extended time period after such notice shall be deemed cancelled without further action by the Bureau.

A separate time limit period shall apply for each of the review stages within the Bureau; however, at no time shall the time limitation in any one review stage exceed that stated above.

C. Approval of Application. When the application has been reviewed and approved by all interested bureaus, departments and agencies, the

Superintendent shall stamp the application, each set of plans and each copy of specifications as "APPROVED."

D. Disapproval of Application. Any application that does not meet the requirements of this code or any other laws, ordinances or regulations enforced by any interested bureaus, departments or agencies shall be disapproved by the Superintendent upon request by the applicant. If such a request is not made, the application shall be held in abeyance and then cancelled as provided for in Subsection B above.

E. Withdrawal of Application. Applications filed for permits may be withdrawn by the applicant, provided that no part of the work proposed on the application, or other work requiring a permit, has been performed.

F. Cancellation of Approved Application. The Bureau shall cancel an application 120 calendar days after notification of approval was mailed to the applicant if the applicant has failed to pay the fees and obtain the permit. The Bureau shall notify the applicant by certified mail 21 days prior to any cancellation action. If the permit is not obtained within those 21 days, the application shall be deemed cancelled without further action by the Bureau. Upon written request by the applicant prior to cancellation, a one-time 60-day extension may be granted by the Superintendent, provided such extension had not been previously granted under Subsection B above. See Section 332.5 - Extension of Time: Application Cancellation and Permit Expiration - for applicable fee.

EXCEPTIONS: 1. For applications resulting from enforcement actions initiated by the Superintendent to abate code violations, the above time limits shall be reduced to 30 days and 10 days, respectively. The

1 Superintendent may grant a 30-day extension for hardship or procedural
2 error. Upon cancellation, such cases shall be referred to the City
3 Attorney for legal action.

4
5 2. The above time limits shall not apply to applications which are
6 subject to the Work Without Permit Investigation Fee per Section

7 332.3. Such applications shall be cancelled only through specific
8 action by the Superintendent.

9
10 2. Issuance of Permit. When the Superintendent has approved an
11 application, the Superintendent shall issue a building permit for the specified
12 construction upon receipt of all required fees. The permit shall be posted on
13 the job before any work is started, and be kept posted on the job until the
14 Certificate of Occupancy is issued.

15
16 Permits for side sewers and sidewalk and street space, when required, shall
17 be obtained at the same time as the building permit.

18
19 Permits issued under the provisions of this code shall not be construed to
20 exempt the owner or the owner's agent from obtaining permits or licenses
21 required by other ordinances or governmental agencies.

22
23 3. Commencement of Work on Permit Expired Due to Work not Started. Before
24 work can be commenced on an expired permit on which no work was performed, an
25 application shall be filed and a new permit shall be obtained. If not more
26 than one year has elapsed since the expiration of the original permit, the
27 applicant is eligible for reduced fees. See Section 331 - Commencement of Work
28 Not Started - for fee. All other applicable fees in Section 330.1 shall be

1 collected in the full amount. To qualify for the reduced fees, the original
2 approved plans and specifications in the possession of the owner shall be
3 submitted with the new application, together with a notarized certification
4 that there are no changes made on those plans and specifications.

5
6 In the event a refund has been granted upon request of the applicant prior
7 to commencement of the work, the provisions of this section shall not apply and
8 a new permit shall be applied for and all fees shall be required to be paid.

9
10 4. Recommencement of Work on Permit Expired Due to Work Not Completed. An
11 alteration permit shall be secured for the work not completed. See Section
12 330.1 - Recommencement of Work Not Completed - for the applicable fee to defray
13 cost of verifying site conditions. The permit fee shall be based upon the
14 valuation of the uncompleted work. When the permit is for completing the work
15 as shown on the original approved plans, no additional plan review fee shall be
16 required.

17
18 Where illegal or unsafe conditions are to be corrected the Superintendent
19 shall have the authority to establish, at the time the application for the
20 permit is approved, a reasonable time within which such alterations authorized
21 by the permit shall be completed.

22
23 (b) Retention of Plans. One set of approved plans and specifications and
24 application shall be given to the party obtaining the permit. The owner or
25 the owner's authorized agent shall be responsible for keeping these documents
26 on the building site and making them available for inspection and use by the
27 inspector at all times during such construction until final inspection has been
28 made. Failure to do so shall result in stoppage of work. The approved plans

1 and specifications shall not be changed, modified or altered without
2 authorization from the Superintendent; all work shall be done in accordance
3 with the approved plans.

4 One set of approved plans and specifications for all building permits,
5 except for demolition, shall be retained by the Bureau in microfilm form as
6 public records.

7
8
9 (c) Validity of Permit. The issuance or granting of a permit or approval of
10 plans and specifications shall not be construed to be a permit for, or an
11 approval of, any violation of any of the provisions of this code or of any
12 other applicable laws and regulations. No permit presuming to give authority
13 to violate or cancel the provisions of this code shall be valid.

14
15 The issuance of a permit based upon plans, specifications and other data
16 shall not prevent the Superintendent from thereafter requiring the correction
17 of errors in said plans, specifications and other data, or from preventing
18 building operations being carried on thereunder when in violation of this code
19 or other applicable laws and regulations.

20
21 (d) Expiration. Every permit issued under the provisions of this code,
22 unless an extension of time has been specifically approved by the Superinten-
23 dent, expires by limitation and becomes null and void when the time allowed in
24 Table No. 3-A is reached, or when any of the following circumstances is
25 applicable:

26
27 1. If the building or work authorized by such permit is not started within
28 90 days from the date of such permit, except for site permits with a valuation
29 of \$2,500,000 or more and Superintendent-initiated code compliance permits.
30

1 2. For site permits with a valuation of \$2,500,000 or more the work shall
2 start within 18 months or half the time period specified in Table No. 3-A
3 whichever is the longer amount of time.

4 3. For Superintendent-initiated code compliance permits, the work shall
5 start within 30 days from the date of such permit.

6 4. If the building or work authorized is suspended or abandoned at any time
7 after the work has started, for a period as follows:

8 A. 30 days for Superintendent-initiated code compliance permits.
9 B. 90 days for all other permits.

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
TABLE NO. 3-A. - MAXIMUM TIME ALLOWED TO
COMPLETE ALL WORK AUTHORIZED BY BUILDING PERMIT

Valuation	Time Allowed ¹
Under \$5,000	4 months
\$5,001 to \$25,000	6 months
\$25,001 to \$300,000	12 months
\$300,001 to \$1,000,000	24 months
\$1,000,001 to \$5,000,000	30 months
\$5,000,001 to \$20,000,000	36 months
Over \$20,000,000	48 months

¹For Site Permits with a valuation of \$2,500,000 or more, time allowed may
be increased by 50 percent.

An extension of time from the stated periods may be permitted for good
reason, provided such requests for an extension are submitted to the Chief
Building Inspector in writing prior to the end of the time period accompanied
by payment of a fee. Unless approved by the Superintendent, no more than three
extensions of time may be granted. Any inspections performed during the

1 extended portion of the life of the permit will require payment of inspection
2 fees in addition to the basic extension fee. See Section 332.5 - Extension of
3 time: Application Cancellation and Permit Expiration - for applicable fees.
4 Each extension of time shall not exceed the following time periods:
5 A. 180 days for site permits with a valuation of \$2,500,000 or more.
6 B. 30 days for Superintendent-Initiated code compliance permits with a
7 valuation of less than \$25,000.
8 C. 90 days for all other permits.

9
10 The maximum time allowed for Superintendent-Initiated code compliance
11 permits shall be 12 months for all permits exceeding \$25,000 total valuation.
12

13 where the permit is issued but delayed due to actions before the Board of
14 Permit Appeals or other City agencies, or are cases in any court of competent
15 jurisdiction, or is under review by a state or regional regulatory body, the
16 time allowable shall be computed from the date of the final action of the
17 agency or court of jurisdiction.

18
19 (e) Suspension or Revocation. The Superintendent may, in writing, suspend
20 or revoke a permit issued under the provisions of this code whenever the permit
21 has been issued in error or on the basis of incorrect information supplied, or
22 in violation of any ordinance or regulations or any provision of this code.

23
24 Any permit issued for which less than the correct permit and plan checking
25 fees were paid shall be considered an invalid permit and no work shall be
26 performed until the complete bill of fees has been paid. Failure to pay the
27 correct fees shall be sufficient grounds for denial of a temporary permit of
28 occupancy or a Permit of Occupancy.

1 (f) Notice of Permit Issuance. Within 24 hours after the issuance of a
2 building permit authorizing the types of work described below, notice of such
3 issuance shall be given in the manner set forth below.

4
5 1. For permits to demolish or erect a building or structure, the permittee
6 shall obtain from the Bureau of Building Inspection a sign containing the
7 following information: permit number; filling date; address and phone number of
8 the agency to contact for information regarding permit issuance; the date of
9 permit issuance; address and phone of agency to contact to appeal issuance of
10 permit; name, address and phone number of permittee. See Section 332 - Posting
11 of 30" by 30" Sign - for applicable fee.

12
13 The permittee shall cause the sign to be erected on the site of the
14 property to which the application applies.

15
16 The sign shall be clearly visible to passing motorists and passing
17 pedestrians. The minimum dimensions shall be 30 inches by 30 inches, unless
18 the permit relates to a vacant site or a vacant building, in which case the
19 Superintendent may require a sign up to 8 feet wide and 4 feet high upon a
20 determination that the larger sign will provide better public notice. If a
21 larger sign is required, the permittee shall provide it and it shall contain
22 the information set forth above. The sign required herein shall be installed
23 as follows: The bottom edge of the sign shall be at least 6 feet above grade;
24 the face of the sign shall be parallel to the main street frontage, and shall
25 be located 5 feet or less from the street property line; the sign shall be
26 attached to one or more posts substantially embedded into the ground in order
27 to withstand wind or other load factors, or may be attached to an existing
28 front building wall. The background color of the sign shall be white and the

1 color of the text shall be black; the letter size of the first line shall be a
2 minimum of 8 inches high; the size and style of the text shall be such that the
3 message is clear and legible from a distance of 10 feet to a viewer with normal
4 vision.

5
6 The permittee shall cause the sign to be erected within 24 hours after a
7 permit is issued. The sign shall remain posted until either the conclusion of
8 the hearing on the permit before the Board of Permit Appeals or the time for
9 filing such appeal has lapsed without an appeal being filed.

10
11 The Superintendent shall, after providing the permittee an opportunity to
12 respond to any complaint of noncompliance, revoke any permit where the
13 applicant has not substantially complied with the provisions of this section or
14 Section 302(a) requiring notice of permit application and issuance unless work
15 under the permit has substantially commenced on the site.

16
17 The requirements contained herein and in Section 302(a) relating to notice
18 are not intended to give any right to any person to challenge in any
19 administrative or judicial proceeding any action for which notice is given if
20 such person would not otherwise have the legal right to do so.

21
22 2. For a permit which would authorize a structural addition to an existing
23 building, the Bureau shall mail written notice to the owners of properties
24 immediately adjacent to the subject building as shown on the current assessment
25 roll. See Section 332 - Posting of Structural Addition - for applicable fee.

26
27 3. For a permit which would authorize the tearing down or demolition of a
28 building or structure, written notice shall be mailed to the owners of
29
30

PAGE 11

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

1 properties within at least 300 feet in every direction from the edge of the
2 property on which the proposed demolition work will take place, as shown on the
3 last equalized assessment roll. Notice to interested organizations or groups
4 shall be made as provided in Section 302 of this code. See Section 332 -
5 Demolition Notice/Affidavit - for the applicable fee.

6
7 4. For changes in occupancy per the San Francisco Building Code, notice
8 shall be provided as specified in Part III, Section 6 of the San Francisco
9 Municipal Code. See Section 332 - Posting of Notices (Change of Use) - for the
10 applicable fee.

11
12 (g) Site Permit. A Site Permit may be issued for the construction or major
13 alteration, as that term is defined by the Superintendent of the Bureau of
14 Building Inspection, of a structure upon approval of preliminary drawings and
15 before the entire working drawings and specifications of the structure have
16 been completed and submitted for approval.

17
18 Such preliminary drawings and specifications shall clearly indicate the
19 nature, character, extent, and value of the work proposed. The application
20 procedure shall generally comply with Section 302(a) through (c) except for the
21 completeness of plans. The permit fees and plan checking fees shall be as set
22 forth in Sections 330.1 and 331 and shall be calculated on the basis of the
23 total valuation of the work. No construction work shall be done under the site
24 permit until detailed plans are submitted and are approved. When the detailed
25 plans and specifications are in accordance with the nature, character, extent
26 and valuation indicated by the preliminary plans and specifications, an
27 additional permit will not be required.
28
29
30

PAGE 12

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

1 Detailed plans for construction may be divided and submitted in accordance
2 with an addenda schedule approved in writing by the Superintendent. (For
3 projects with a valuation of more than \$350,000 or more than one addendum, a
4 fee of \$600.00 shall be charged upon the issuance of the site permit to recover
5 the additional plan check and clerical costs of this process. A fee of \$100
6 shall be charged for projects with a valuation of \$350,000 or less and only one
7 addendum)). See Section 331 - Site Permit Surcharge - for applicable fee.
8

9 The holder of such permit and addenda shall proceed with approved addenda
10 work at the permittee's own risk, without assurance that approvals for the
11 remaining addenda or for the entire building will be granted.
12

13 Each addendum shall be considered an application for the purposes of
14 Section 303(a).1.B. When an addendum or Site Permit is cancelled after being
15 held in abeyance for the stipulated time period, the Site Permit and all
16 approved or pending addenda shall also be deemed to be cancelled. When a Site
17 Permit has been cancelled, an alteration work application shall be required to
18 resume processing. The provisions of Section 304(b) 2.A and 2.B shall apply to
19 such alteration work application.
20

21 (h) Additional Work, Permit Required. When an approved permit has been
22 issued, a separate permit for alteration work shall be required for any change
23 in work or additional work as set forth hereafter, except no alteration permit
24 shall be required where the change or additional work is required by the Board
25 of Permit Appeals as a condition of approving an appealed permit. Revised
26 plans and plan review fees, including back check fees, shall be required for
27 such change or additional work. The Board of Permit Appeals may require, as a
28 condition of approval, that revised plans be submitted to the Board for
29
30

1 review. The fees shall be as set forth in Sections 330.1 and 331, except for
2 work as described in 303(h).1 for which an additional charge will be assessed,
3 based on the difference in the valuation of the changed work and that of the
4 original permit. The valuation shall be not less than \$1. Situations which
5 require a separate permit include the following:
6
7 1. The construction differs from the approved plans sufficiently to require
8 revised plans or additional plans to be submitted to the Bureau for approval,
9 including changes in partition layout that impact on other code requirements,
10 changes in framing directions, spans and locations of concentrated loads, and
11 changes in types of materials used. See Section 330.1 - Extra Permit Work - for
12 the additional assessment.

13 2. Proposed changes which alter the outside dimensions more than 6 inches
14 either in vertical or horizontal measurement, change the visual appearance
15 through changes in exterior wall materials and windows, change the number of
16 dwelling units in the case of residential property, change the use or
17 occupancy, or decrease the amount of off-street parking provided.
18

19 3. The value of the additional work or the value of the changes exceeds 10
20 percent of the valuation of the approved permit work or \$50,000 whichever is
21 the smaller amount.

22 4. There is a change in occupancy or use, as defined in this code.

23 5. There is a change in the construction type in any portion of the
24 building, for any purpose or intent.
25
26
27
28
29
30

6. There is an unusual condition that should require a permit procedure to protect the interest of the public. When the building has been given a final completion, any additional work shall require a separate permit.

7. Any tenant improvement work for Site Permit jobs, including partitions, regardless of whether the value of such work was included in the valuation of the Site Permit.

(1) Replacement of Approved Plans. When the permit holder's set of approved plans and specifications are not available as required by Section 303(b), a duplicate set of approved plans shall be obtained from the Bureau. The permit holder shall submit a set of unapproved documents, along with a notarized certification that they are identical to the previously approved set, except for notations by City agencies. The Bureau shall then copy such notations from its retained set to the duplicate set, and stamp the duplicate set APPROVED. See Section 312.1 - Replacement of Approved Plans/Specifications - for applicable fee.

(1) Pre-Application Plan Review or Inspection. When a party wishes to discuss specific design issues or submit preliminary designs for review and comment by the Bureau of Building Inspection prior to formal application for permit, a request for Pre-Application Plan Review must be submitted in writing to the Superintendent. See Section 331 - Pre-Application Plan Review - for applicable fees. Payment of the minimum fee must be submitted with the letter of request. In cases where an site discussion with a field inspector is desired, the same request requirements apply. See Section 312.2 - Pre-Application Inspection - for applicable fee.

(K) Review of Mechanical Plans. When an application for a permit contains a mechanical component (separate from or in addition to energy conservation design) sufficient in scope or complexity to require review by a mechanical specialist, a fee for this service shall be assessed and is payable before issuance of the permit. See Section 331 - Mechanical Plan Review - for applicable fee.

(1) Review of Electrical Plans. When an application for a permit contains an electrical component (separate from or in addition to energy conservation design) sufficient in scope or complexity to require review by an electrical specialist, a fee for this service shall be assessed and is payable before issuance of the permit. See Section 331 - Electrical Plan Review - for applicable fee.

(M) Express Plan Review. At the request of the applicant, and upon payment of an additional fee at filing (see Section 331 - Express Plan Review Surcharge - for applicable fee), applications for a permit shall be reviewed and completed within 20 working days. Applicants must respond to requests for additional information, corrections, revised plans or other requirements necessary to the completion of review and issuance of the permit within 5 working days of the Bureau's first attempt to notify same of requirements. If the applicant fails to respond within 5 working days or said response does not satisfy the requirement, fees shall be retained and the application shall be reviewed per standard procedure. If the Bureau fails to complete its review within 20 calendar days, absent applicant failure to respond, the additional fees shall be refunded the applicant. This service is offered for plan review by Bureau staff only and does not commit any other City agencies such as the Department of City Planning or the San Francisco Fire Department to the 20 working day review.

Type of Sign	Area Limits	Fee
Electric and	Up to 50 sq. ft.	(\$16.50) \$17.75
Non-electric	51 to 100 sq. ft.	(\$16.50) \$17.75 plus (\$1.90) \$2.00 for each additional 10 square feet or fraction thereof over 50 sq. ft.
	101 to 200 sq. ft.	(\$26) \$28.00 plus (\$2.35) \$2.50 for each additional 20 square feet or fraction thereof over 100 square feet
	201 to 400 sq. ft.	(\$37.75) \$41.00 plus (\$2.75) \$3.00 for each additional 50 square feet or fraction thereof over 200 square feet
	Over 400 sq. ft.	(\$50.00) \$54.00
Ground Sign	Up to 100 sq. ft.	(\$16.50) \$17.75
	101 to 600 sq. ft.	(\$16.50) \$17.75 plus (\$2.35) \$2.50 for each additional 20 square feet or fraction thereof over 100 square feet
	Over 600 sq. ft.	(\$76.00) \$82.00
Standardized Signs: 50% of the applicable fee above, (minimum fee of \$15.00) but not less than the minimum fee.		
GRADING PERMITS		
See New Construction Permit Schedule		
DEMOLITION PERMIT FEE SCHEDULE		
Construction Type II-1 Hr., II-N, or V: (\$22.00) \$23.50 per 25 foot section or fraction thereof, per each story		
All other Construction Types: (\$31.00) \$35.50 per 25 foot section or fraction thereof, per each story		
If no frontage or more than 1, use shortest side of building for determination.		
BLEACHERS PERMIT FEE SCHEDULE		
Permanent Bleachers: see NEW CONSTRUCTION FEE SCHEDULE		
Temporary Bleachers:		
0 - 1,000 seats or fraction thereof: (\$16.00) \$17.25		
each additional 1,000 seats or fraction thereof: (\$8.00) \$8.50		
CHIMNEY AND FLUE PERMITS:		
Each chimney or flue: (\$11.00) \$11.75		
GARAGE DOOR PERMITS:		
Each garage door in an existing building: (\$8.00) \$8.50		
RE-ROOFING PERMITS: (\$50.00) \$54.00		
RECOMMENCEMENT OF WORK NOT COMPLETED: STANDARD INSPECTION FEE PER SECTION 332.2. See also Section 331 - COMMENCEMENT OF WORK NOT STARTED.		
SUR-SIDEWALK CONSTRUCTION AND USE PERMIT FEE		
Construction: See New Construction Permit Fee Schedule		
Use Permit, each separate street frontage: (\$20.00) \$21.50		

PAGE 18

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Section 330.1 BUILDING PERMIT FEES.	Fee
NEW CONSTRUCTION PERMIT FEE SCHEDULE	
Total Valuation	
\$1.00 to \$500.00.	(\$15.00) \$16.25
\$501.00 to \$2,000.00.	(\$15.00) \$16.25 for the first \$500.00 plus (\$2.00) \$2.25 for each additional \$100.00 or fraction thereof, to and including \$2,000.00
\$2,001.00 to \$25,000.00.	(\$45.00) \$48.00 for the first \$2,000.00 plus (\$9.00) \$9.75 for each additional \$1,000.00 or fraction thereof, to and including \$25,000.00
\$25,001.00 to \$50,000.00.	(\$252.00) \$271.00 for the first \$25,000.00 plus (\$6.50) \$7.00 for each additional \$1,000.00 or fraction thereof, to and including \$50,000.00
\$50,001.00 to \$100,000.00.	(\$414.50) \$446.00 for the first \$50,000.00 plus (\$4.50) \$4.75 for each additional \$1,000.00 or fraction thereof, to and including \$100,000.00
\$100,001.00 to \$500,000.00.	(\$639.50) \$687.00 for the first \$100,000.00 plus (\$3.50) \$3.75 for each additional \$1,000.00 or fraction thereof, to and including \$500,000.00
\$500,001.00 to \$1,000,000.00.	(\$2039.50) \$2192.00 for the first \$500,000.00 plus (\$3.00) \$3.25 for each additional \$1,000.00 or fraction thereof, to and including \$1,000,000.00
\$1,000,001.00 and up.	(\$3539.50) \$3805.00 for the first \$1,000,000.00 plus (\$2.00) \$2.25 for each additional \$1,000.00 or fraction thereof.
ALTERATION PERMIT FEE SCHEDULE	
Total Valuation	
\$0 to \$100,000.	1.3 times NEW CONSTRUCTION PERMIT FEE
\$100,001 and up.	(\$831.35) \$894.00 plus 1.25 times the NEW CONSTRUCTION PERMIT FEE for values greater than \$100,000
SIGN PERMIT FEE SCHEDULE	
MINIMUM SIGN PERMIT FEE	\$17.75

PAGE 17

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

1	Street Space Deposit: ((\$30.00)) \$32.50 per frontage foot
2	EXTRA PERMIT WORK: 2 times the standard fees for work remaining to be done or not covered in original permit scope
3	HOUSE MOVING INVESTIGATION AND PERMIT FEE
4	Investigation: ((\$42.00)) \$45.00 Permit: ((\$48.00)) \$52.00
5	STRONG MOTION INSTRUMENTATION FEE:
6	Group R Occupancies of 3 stories or less, except hotels and motels:
7	Hotels and Motels, all buildings greater than 3 stories, all occupancies other than Group R: 0.00018 times the valuation.
8	MINIMUM FEE: \$1.00.
9	SECTION 330.2 ELECTRICAL PERMIT FEE SCHEDULE.
10	SCHEDULE A
11	NEW BUILDING: or Major Remodel (Requiring New Main Service)
12	Filing Fee: ((\$20.00)) \$22.00
13	Service Fees: 0 - 100 amps: ((50.00)) \$54.00
14	● additional amp over 100 amps: \$ 0.10
15	Circuit Fees: 0 - 30 amp circuits
16	● circuit up to & including 50 ((10.00)) \$11.00
17	● circuit over 50: ((5.00)) \$ 5.50
18	31 - 60 amp circuits, ● circuit: ((12.00)) \$13.00
19	61 - 100 amp circuits, ● circuit: ((16.00)) \$17.00
20	greater than 100 amp circuits: ((16.00)) \$17.00
21	● circuit, plus ((\$4.00)) \$4.25 ● 100 additional
22	100 amps per circuit
23	Temporary Wiring: one-half the above
24	EXISTING BUILDING: (Not Requiring New Main Service)
25	Miscellaneous remodeling, alterations, etc. - Fees will be based on the number of openings* within the ranges listed in the table below:
26	Filing Fee: ((\$20.00)) \$ 21.50
27	Fee Per Number of Openings:
28	1 - 5: ((17.50)) \$ 18.75
29	6 - 10: ((35.00)) \$ 37.50
30	11 - 15: ((43.75)) \$ 47.00
	16 - 20: ((61.25)) \$ 66.00
	21 - 25: ((70.00)) \$ 75.00
	26 - 30: ((78.75)) \$ 85.00
	31 - 35: ((87.50)) \$ 94.00
	36 - 40: ((96.25)) \$103.00
	41 - 45: ((105.00)) \$113.00
	46 - 50: ((113.75)) \$122.00
	51 - 60: ((122.50)) \$132.00
	61 - 70: ((131.25)) \$141.00
	71 - 80: ((140.00)) \$151.00
	81 - 90: ((148.75)) \$160.00
	91 - 100: ((157.50)) \$169.00
	● opening greater than 100: ((1.00)) \$ 1.00

* Openings include: switches, convenience receptacles, lighting fixtures, fixed appliances, motors, transformers, fire warning and detector devices, multi-outlet assemblies including light track shall be calculated at one opening per 5 feet of assembly.
In no case shall the total fees for work performed under the Existing Building Fee Schedule exceed the fees if the same work was performed under the New Building Fee Schedule.
No fees shall be charged for existing circuits reconnected on rewire or additions unless such circuit is altered or extended.

SCHEDULE B

1	(1) Filing Fee (in addition to unit charges 1 thru 22) ((\$20.00)) \$21.50
2	(2) Minimum Fee of any bill of fees, including
3	temporary work: ((20.00)) \$21.50
4	(3) For each outlet, switch, convenience outlet
5	receptacle: ((0.65)) \$ 0.75
6	(4) For each service not over 100 amperes, by switch
7	size: ((10.00)) \$10.75
8	For each service over 100 amperes, for each
9	additional ampere: ((0.06)) \$ 0.05
10	(5) For each circuit: ((1.70)) \$ 1.75
11	(6) For each transformer dimmer, each 1 KVA or
12	fraction thereof: ((1.50)) \$ 1.50
13	(7) For the connection of each motor (including control
14	equipment), generator or other motor-operated equipment:
15	rate per horsepower or fraction thereof, including
16	temporary work:
17	0 - 10 hp: ((1.45)) \$ 1.50
18	11 - 50 hp: ((1.70)) \$ 1.75
19	51 - 100 hp: ((1.80)) \$ 2.00
20	For ● additional hp over 100 hp ((2.00)) \$ 2.25
21	(8) For the connection of an electric appliance including
22	range, oven, hot plate, dryer, and similar equipment
23	per kilowatt or fraction thereof rated values: ((1.50)) \$ 1.50
24	Minimum fee for the provision of a range outlet
25	whether connected to or not shall be: ((10.00)) \$10.75
26	Minimum fee for the provision of a dryer outlet: ((0.70)) \$ 0.75
27	(9) For each cold cathode or fluorescent lighting fixture
28	devices per kilowatt or fraction thereof: ((1.00)) \$ 1.00
29	(10) For each mercury vapor and similar lighting fixture
30	complete with lamps: ((1.00)) \$ 1.00
	(11) For each incandescent lighting fixture complete: ((3.00)) \$ 3.25
	(Track lighting systems complete with fixtures shall be considered as one lighting fixture for every 5 linear feet of track.) ((1.00)) \$ 1.00
	(12) Activated electrical trench headers duct and
	electrical floor ducts, per opening: ((0.40)) \$ 0.45
	(13) Feeders, up to and including 100 amperes based on
	switch size: ((10.00)) \$10.75
	(14) Feeders, per ampere over 100 amperes: ((0.06)) \$ 0.05
	(15) Ufer ground installation: ((25.00)) \$27.00
	(16) Fire alarm, pull station including outlet and
	testing: ((4.00)) \$ 4.25
	(17) Fire alarm, visual and audio devices including
	outlet: ((1.50)) \$ 1.50
	(18) Fire alarm and detector control panels and
	annunciators: ((5.00)) \$ 5.50

(19) Fire sprinkler flow alarms..... ((5.50)) \$ 6.00
 (20) Product of combustion detectors per unit including outlet..... ((1.50)) \$ 1.50
 (21) Electromagnetic hold open ((1.50)) \$ 1.50
 (22) Low Energy Circuit.
 For each low energy device, including audio, visual, signal, annunciator, switching and electromechanical, exception, as provided in items 18 through 21..... ((0.50)) \$ 0.55
 For each transformer for such systems, each KVA or fraction thereof..... ((0.90)) \$ 0.95
 Where such systems are installed in raceway, the fee for each outlet in addition to the fee for the device shall be..... ((0.50)) \$ 0.55
Electric Signs
 (23) Exterior Signs, not over 20 incandescent lamps. Min((16.00)) \$17.25
 Interior Signs..... Min((10.00)) \$10.75
 For each group of 10 lamps or portion thereof in excess of 20..... ((2.00)) \$ 2.25
 For each interior or exterior sign circuit..... ((2.00)) \$ 2.25
 For each transformer or ballast in excess of 2..... ((2.00)) \$ 2.25
 For each sign flasher or sign or outline control device..... ((3.00)) \$ 3.25

For the purpose of outlet count a continuous row of fluorescent fixtures fed by a single branch circuit shall be considered as requiring one outlet.

PLANT OWNER REGISTRATION:

each plant registration: ((\$100.00)) \$108.00
 each plant electrician registered: ((\$5.00)) \$5.50
 plant electrician registration, late charge: ((\$5.00)) \$5.50

SECTION 330.3 PLUMBING PERMIT FEES SCHEDULE.

((For Plumbing permit issuance\$12.00
 For gas permit issuance\$12.00
 For water line permit issuance, including 1 to 4 outlets per line.....\$12.00
 For each plumbing fixture and waste discharging device.....\$12.00
 For each sump ejector\$10.50
 For each building sewer, replacement, repair or sewer trap.....\$12.00
 For grease interceptor\$ 9.00
 For side sewer installation or repair including inspection of connection to main sewer.....\$31.00
 For each leader (all kinds)\$10.50
 For each miscellaneous plumbing fixture.....\$10.50
 For each additional water outlet (over 4).....\$2.00
 For each gas line including 1 outlet per line.....\$5.00
 For each additional gas outlet\$2.00
 For each warm air furnace\$31.00
 For solar panels(per each collector).....\$5.50
 For lawn sprinklers:
 For each control valve or vacuum breaker.....\$7.50
 For each sprinkler head.....\$0.14
 For each water heater\$9.00
 For each miscellaneous gas appliance\$9.00
 For fire sprinkler piping removal or alteration or the replacement of valves, attachments or devices\$9.50
 For underground fire sprinkler piping system:))

((When permit fee has not been obtained for complete fire sprinkler system\$31.00
 For replacing of fire sprinkler heads (except fused or broken heads):
 From 1 to 10 heads\$9.50
 for each additional head over 10\$0.27
 For fire sprinkler piping installations:
 From 1 to 10 fire sprinkler heads.....\$9.50
 From 11 to 500 fire sprinkler heads: \$9.50 plus \$0.27 per additional head over 10
 From 501 and over heads: \$141.00 plus \$0.14 per additional head over 500
 For flushing of fire sprinkler systems subsequent to final inspection (reinspection)\$40.00
 The fee for converting a system from upright heads to pendant heads or from pendant heads to upright heads shall be as set forth for a new installation.
 Minimum fee for all permits.....\$12.00))

For Plumbing permit issuance\$ 13.00
 For each plumbing fixture & waste discharging device.....\$ 11.25
 For each sump ejector.....\$ 11.25
 For each rainwater leader (all kinds).....\$ 11.25
 For gas permit issuance.....\$ 13.00
 For each gas line including 1 outlet per line.....\$ 5.50
 For each additional gas outlet.....\$ 2.25
 For each water heater.....\$ 9.75
 For each warm air furnace.....\$ 33.50
 For each miscellaneous gas appliance.....\$ 9.75
 For each gas flue (see Section 330.1 Chimney & Flue Permits)...\$ 12.00

For water line permit issuance, including 1 to 4 outlets per line\$ 13.00
 For each additional water outlet (over 4).....\$ 2.25

For lawn sprinklers:
 For each control valve or vacuum breaker.....\$ 8.00
 For each sprinkler head.....\$ 0.15
 For solar panels (per each collector).....\$ 6.00
 For fire sprinkler piping removal or alteration or the replacement of valves, attachments or levers.....\$ 10.25
 For underground fire sprinkler piping system:
 When permit fee has not been obtained for complete fire sprinkler system.....\$ 33.50

For replacing of fire sprinkler heads (except fused or broken heads):
 From 1 to 10 heads.....\$ 10.25
 For each additional head over 10.....\$ 0.25
 For fire sprinkler piping installation
 from 1 to 10 heads.....\$ 10.25
 from 11 to 500 heads: \$10.25 plus \$0.30 per additional head over 10

For 501 & over heads: \$0.15 per additional head over 500
 For flushing of fire sprinkler systems subsequent to final inspection (reinspection)\$ 43.00
 The fee for converting a system from upright heads to pendant heads or from pendant heads to upright heads

1 shall be as set forth for a new installation.
2 For each building, sewer, replacement, repair or
3 sewer tap.....\$ 13.00
4 For side sewer installation or repair including
5 inspection of connection to main sewer.....\$ 33.50
6 Minimum fee for all permits.....\$ 13.00
7
8 SECTION 331 BUILDING PERMIT APPLICATION AND PLAN REVIEW FEES.
9 PLAN REVIEW FEES for New Construction, Alterations, grading, and
10 Demolitions: 65% of New Construction Permit Fee Schedule
11
12 SITE PERMIT SURCHARGE: (\$600.00)
13 Valuation of \$350,000 or less and only one addendum: (\$100) \$108.00
14 Valuation of more than \$350,000 or more than one
15 addendum.....(\$600) \$645.00
16 EXPRESS PLAN REVIEW SURCHARGE: 50% of plan review fee
17
18 PRE-APPLICATION PLAN REVIEW:
19 Minimum Fee (first 2 hours or fraction thereof): (\$100.00) \$108.00
20 Each participating Bureau employee hour or fraction thereof after the
21 first 2 hours: (\$53.00) \$57.00
22 MECHANICAL PLAN REVIEW: (\$53.00) \$57.00 per hour or fraction thereof
23 ELECTRICAL PLAN REVIEW: (\$53.00) \$57.00 per hour or fraction thereof
24
25 SIGN PLAN REVIEW: 50% of SIGN PERMIT SCHEDULE
26 Exceptions/ waivers: no fee for electrical signs less than 10 square
27 feet or non-electrical signs less than 25 square feet.
28 Approved, standardized signs on file with Superintendent: (\$6.00)
29 \$6.50 each
30
31 BACK CHECK FEE: (\$42.00) \$45.00 per hour or fraction thereof
32
33 COMMENCEMENT OF WORK NOT STARTED:
34 Building, Plumbing, or Electrical Permit Fee: 50% of current fee
35 Plan Review Fee: 50% of current fee, minimum (\$100.00) \$108.00
36
37 REDUCED PLAN REVIEW FEE: 25% of the PLAN REVIEW FEE, minimum (\$15.00)
38 \$16.25
39 EXPEDITER FEE: (\$19.00) \$20.50
40 PLAN REVIEW UNDER PRIOR CODE: (\$63.00) \$68.00
41
42 SECTION 332 PUBLIC NOTIFICATION AND RECORD KEEPING FEES.
43
44 NOTICE OF DEMOLITION PERMIT ISSUANCE BY AREA: INTERESTED PARTIES
45 1 Area: (\$30.00) \$32.50 per annum
46 Multiple Areas: (\$90.00) \$97.00 per annum
47
48 NOTIFICATION OF PERMIT ISSUANCE FOR EACH ADDRESS: (\$25.00) \$27.00
49
50 DEMOLITION NOTICE/AFFIDAVIT: (\$126.00)
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000

1 NOTIFICATION SIGN: \$10.75
2 300 FT. NOTIFICATION LETTERS AT FILING: \$52.00
3 RESIDENTIAL TENANT NOTIFICATION: \$29.00
4 300 FT. NOTIFICATION LETTERS AT ISSUANCE: \$38.50
5 AFFIDAVIT RECORD MAINTENANCE: \$5.50
6
7 POSTING OF NOTICES (CHANCE OF USE): (\$18.00) \$19.25 each building to be
8 changed
9
10 POSTING OF 30" BY 30" SIGN: (\$10.00) \$10.75
11
12 POSTING OF SUBSTANTIAL ALTERATION: (\$5.00) \$5.50
13
14 POSTING OF STRUCTURAL ADDITION: (\$12.00) \$13.00
15
16 SECTION 332.1 REPRODUCTION AND DISSEMINATION OF PUBLIC INFORMATION.
17 ELECTROSTATIC REPRODUCTION:
18 Each page - photocopy.....(\$0.50) \$0.55
19 Minimum charge - photocopy.....(\$2.50) \$2.75
20 (16mm aperture duplicards from roll film......60)
21 35mm duplicards from roll film.....(.90) \$1.00
22 (35mm duplicards, non-roll film......60)
23 (4" x 6" microfiche jacket duplicate..... 1.20)
24
25 Microfilm Hard Copy Prints
26 8-1/2" x 11" copy from 16mm roll film.....(\$0.90) \$1.00
27 24" x 18" copy from 35mm roll film.....(1.80) \$2.00
28 "Half sized" copy from 35mm roll film.....(1.20) \$1.25
29 8-1/2" x 11" copy from 16mm frame in aperture card or
30 microfiche jacket.....(0.60) \$0.65
31
32 Minimum Microfilm Reproduction Charge: (\$2.50) \$2.75
33
34 CERTIFICATION OF COPIES:
35 1-10 pages: (\$5.00) \$5.50
36 each additional 10 pages or fraction thereof: (\$1.00) \$1.00
37
38 REPLACEMENT OF APPROVED PLANS/SPECIFICATIONS:
39 each sheet of plans: (\$3.00) \$3.25
40 each 50 pages of specifications or fraction thereof: (\$5.00) \$5.50
41
42 SECTION 332.2 INSPECTIONS, SURVEYS, AND REPORTS.
43
44 STANDARD (REVIEW) INSPECTION FEE: (\$50.00) \$54.00 per hour or fraction
45 thereof
46
47 REPORT OF RESIDENTIAL RECORDS: (\$20.00) \$21.50
48
49 SURVEY OF RESIDENTIAL BUILDINGS:
50 Single Family Dwelling (\$300.00) \$323.00
51 Two Family Dwelling (\$300.00) \$323.00
52 Apartment Houses
53 3 Units (\$350.00) \$376.00
54 4 to 10 units (\$350.00) \$376.00 plus (\$44) \$47.00 per unit over 3
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000

1 11 to 20 units(((\$658.00)) \$707.00 plus(((\$33)) \$35.50 per unit over 10
2 21 to 40 units(((\$988.00)) \$1062.00 plus(((\$22)) \$23.50 per unit over 20
3 41 or more units(((\$1,428.00)) \$1535.00 plus(((\$11)) \$11.75 per
unit over 40
Hotels
4 Incl. 10 guestrooms (((\$380.00)) \$409.00
5 11 to 20 guestrooms (((\$380.00)) \$409.00 plus(((\$16)) \$17.25 per
6 guestroom over 10
7 21 to 40 guestrooms (((\$540.00)) \$581.00 plus (((\$11)) \$11.75 per
8 guestroom over 20
9 41 or more guestrooms (((\$760.00)) \$817.00 plus (((\$5)) \$5.50 per
10 guestroom over 40

11 SURVEY OF NON-RESIDENTIAL BUILDING:
12 Minimum Fee(((\$194.00)) \$194.00 plus(((\$100.00)) \$100.00) is
13 2 times the standard inspection fee for the first hour or fraction
14 thereof per inspector. The standard inspection fee is charged per hour
15 or fraction thereof after the first 2 hours, not to exceed
16 (((\$2,000.00)) \$2150.00.

17 RE-INSPECTION FEES: STANDARD INSPECTION FEE

18 OFF-HOURS INSPECTION: 1.5 times STANDARD INSPECTION FEE, 2 hour minimum

19 PRE-APPLICATION INSPECTION: TWO TIMES THE STANDARD INSPECTION FEE

20 TEMPORARY CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPANCY: STANDARD INSPECTION FEE

21 SECTION 332.3 PENALTIES, HEARINGS, CODE ENFORCEMENT ASSESSMENTS

22 WORK WITHOUT PERMIT: INVESTIGATION FEE

23 Building Code Violations:

24 Valuation Fee
25 \$1 - \$10,000: (((\$2,000)) \$2,150.00
26 \$10,001 - \$20,000 (((\$4,000)) \$4,300.00
27 Greater than \$20,000 (((\$5,000)) \$5,375.00

28 Electrical or Plumbing Code Violations:
29 9 times the applicable fee to a maximum of (((\$5,000)) \$5,375.00 plus
30 the original permit fee.

ABATEMENT APPEALS BOARD HEARING, FILING FEE: (((\$40.00)) \$43.00 per case

DIRECTOR'S ABATEMENT ORDERS: (((\$41.00)) \$44.00 per hour or fraction thereof

EMERGENCY ORDERS: (((\$33.00)) \$35.50 per hour or fraction thereof

BOARD OF EXAMINERS FILING FEES:

each appeal for variance and interpretation from Code Requirements:
(((\$70.00)) \$75.00
each appeal for approval of substitute materials or methods of
construction: (((\$175.00)) \$188.00

SECTION 332.4 REFUNDS

Partial or complete refunds of only those fees contained herein will be
given provided the applicant meets the refund requirements of the applicable
code section. No other fees are refundable, except as follows:

BUILDING PERMIT ((FEES)): Amount paid less(((\$20.00)) \$21.50

PLAN REVIEW ((FEES)): Amount determined by Superintendent less
(((\$20.00)) \$21.50

GRADING PERMIT((S)): Amount paid less(((\$50.00)) \$54.00

DEMOLITION PERMIT((S)): Amount paid less(((\$50.00)) \$54.00

PLUMBING PERMIT((S)): Amount paid less(((\$50.00)) \$54.00

ELECTRICAL PERMIT((S)): Amount paid less(((\$50.00)) \$54.00

If the Superintendent determines that an error has been made in the assessment
of fees, a refund for the portion determined to be in error may be made upon
written request by the applicant.

SECTION 332.5 MISCELLANEOUS

BUILDING NUMBERS (each entrance):(((\$12.00)) \$13.00

PRODUCT APPROVALS:

General Approval--Initial or reinstatement(((\$100)) \$108.00
General Approval--modification or revision(((\$35)) \$37.50
General Approval--renewal (every 2 years)(((\$50)) \$54.00

EXTENSION OF TIME: APPLICATION CANCELLATION AND PERMIT EXPIRATION
Each application extension:(((\$24.00)) \$26.00

Each permit extension: STANDARD INSPECTION FEE

Each inspection performed during extension period: STANDARD
INSPECTION FEE

DISABILITY ACCESS COORDINATOR: (((\$7.50)) \$8.00

SECTION 333.1 APARTMENT HOUSE AND HOTEL LICENSE FEE SCHEDULE

APARTMENT HOUSE LICENSE FEE SCHEDULE:

1	Apartment Houses of less than 20 rooms, (\$192.00)	\$88.00	per annum
2	Apartment Houses of 20 to 29 rooms, (\$104.00)	\$112.00	per annum
3	Apartment Houses of 30 to 39 rooms, (\$118.00)	\$148.00	per annum
4	Apartment Houses of 40 to 49 rooms, (\$182.00)	\$196.00	per annum
5	Apartment Houses of 50 to 59 rooms, (\$253.00)	\$272.00	per annum
6	Apartment Houses of 60 rooms or over, (\$297.00)	\$319.00	per annum

Change of Ownership: (\$25.00) \$27.00

HOTEL LICENSE FEE SCHEDULE:

7	Hotels of less than 20 rooms, (\$126.00)	\$135.00	per annum
8	Hotels of 20 to 29 rooms, (\$148.00)	\$159.00	per annum
9	Hotels of 30 to 39 rooms, (\$182.00)	\$196.00	per annum
10	Hotels of 40 to 49 rooms, (\$225.00)	\$242.00	per annum
11	Hotels of 50 to 59 rooms, (\$295.00)	\$317.00	per annum
12	Hotels of 60 to 99 rooms, (\$340.00)	\$366.00	per annum
13	Hotels of 100 to 149 rooms, (\$367.00)	\$395.00	per annum
14	Hotels of 150 rooms or over, (\$413.00)	\$444.00	per annum

Change of Ownership: (\$25.00) \$27.00

SECTION 333.2 HOTEL CONVERSION ORDINANCE FEE SCHEDULE

15	Statement of exemption: (\$158.00)	\$170.00
16	Claim of exemption based on low-income housing: (\$158.00)	\$170.00
17	Claim of exemption based on partially completed conversion: (\$316.00)	\$340.00
18	Initial Unit Usage Report: (\$158.00)	\$170.00
19	Unsuccessful challenge: Usage Report: (\$253.00)	\$272.00
20	Annual Unit Usage Report: (\$40.00)	\$43.00
21	Permit to convert: (\$253.00)	\$272.00
22	Challenge to claims of exemption: Usage Report: (\$13.00)	\$14.00
23	Complaint of unlawful conversion: (\$13.00)	\$14.00
24	Appeal of initial or annual status determination: (\$63.00)	\$68.00
25	Determination by Department of Real Estate: (\$750.00)	\$806.00
26	Cost of independent appraisals	
27	Request for Hearing to Exceed 25% Tourist Season Rental Limit: (\$100.00)	\$108.00

SECTION 333.3 ENERGY CONSERVATION FEE SCHEDULE

	<u>Initial Inspection</u>	<u>Compliance Inspection</u>
Single Family Dwellings and Two Family Dwellings	(\$38.00)	(\$19.00)
Apartment Houses and Residential Hotels up to 20 rooms	\$41.00	\$20.50
20 to 29 rooms	(\$43.00)	(\$21.00)
30 to 39 rooms	\$46.00	\$22.50
40 to 49 rooms	(\$51.00)	(\$25.00)
50 to 59 rooms	\$55.00	\$27.00
	(\$61.00)	(\$30.00)
	\$66.00	\$32.50
	(\$68.00)	(\$34.00)
	\$73.00	\$36.50
	(\$76.00)	(\$38.00)
	\$82.00	\$41.00

60 rooms or over (\$43.00)

Energy Reports and Certificates: (\$4.00) \$4.25

Filing fee for appeals: (\$30.00) \$32.50

Certification of qualified energy inspector: (\$15.00) \$16.25

Section 2. This ordinance shall become operative as of July 1, 1989.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Louise H. Renne
City Attorney

RECOMMENDED

by: *Janette A. Bryson*
Deputy City Attorney

James A. [Signature]
Deputy Director and City Engineer

DESCRIPTION APPROVED

[Signature]
Superintendent
Bureau of Building Inspection

RECOMMENDED

James A. [Signature]
Director of Public Works

APPROVED

[Signature]
Chief Administrative Officer

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	§ Finally Passed
May 1, 1989	§ May 8, 1989
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt	§ Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy	§ Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy
Maier Walker Ward	§ Maier Ward
Noes: Supervisor Nelder	§ Noes: Supervisor Nelder
Absent: Supervisor Hongisto	§ Absent: Supervisors Hallinan Walker


I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

May Ann Baker
ACTING Clerk
Maney G. Walker
ACTING Mayor

MAY 10 '89

File No.
114 89 1

Date Approved



(Exchange of Property)

AUTHORIZING EXCHANGE OF CERTAIN REAL PROPERTY OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR CERTAIN REAL PROPERTY OF WILLIAMS STREET PROPERTIES, AND ADOPTING FINDINGS PURSUANT TO CITY PLANNING CODE SECTION 101.1.

Be It Ordained By the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. In accordance with the terms of the Purchase and Exchange Agreement on file in the office of the Director of Property (the "Agreement"), Williams Street Properties, a California Limited Partnership, has agreed to sell to the City the easternmost portion of Lot 1 in Assessor's Block 5623A, situated at the southwest corner of Williams Avenue and Newhall Street in the City and County of San Francisco (the "Williams Avenue parcel"), containing 42,830 square feet, more or less, for \$1,072,500.00, and City has agreed, subject to approval by the Board of Supervisors, to purchase the property for said sum for use by the San Francisco Police Department. The Director of Property has determined the fair market value of the Williams Avenue parcel to be \$1,072,500.00.

Section 2. City owns a portion of Lot 8 in Assessor's Block 4006, containing 5,000 square feet, more or less, being a part of Wisconsin Street closed and abandoned by Resolution No. 2285 of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, approved December 11, 1941; as amended by Resolution No. 9777, Series of 1939, approved April 12, 1950 (the "Wisconsin Street parcel").

This parcel is under the jurisdiction of the Department of Public Works. The Director of Property has determined its fair market value to be \$125,000.00.

Under the Agreement, Williams Street Properties has agreed to accept this property, at the appraised value of \$125,000.00, as part payment of the purchase price for the Williams Avenue parcel.

Under the Agreement, the City has agreed, subject to approval by the Board of Supervisors, to exchange the Wisconsin Street parcel as part of the consideration for the conveyance of the Williams Avenue parcel to the City.

Section 3. The Board of Supervisors hereby approves acquisition by City of the Williams Avenue parcel pursuant to the terms of the Agreement and authorizes the City, through its Director of Property, to deposit in escrow the City's deed of the Wisconsin Street property, authorized hereinafter, together with the sum of \$1,072,500.00 which is to be disbursed at close of escrow as follows:

\$947,500.00 to Williams Street Properties

\$125,000.00 to City and County of San Francisco for the account of the Department of Public Works, to compensate that department for the Wisconsin Street property.

Section 4. In accordance with the Agreement and the recommendations of the Chief of Police, the Director of Property and the Director of Public Works, the Director of Property is hereby authorized to accept, on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a deed from Williams Street Properties, a California Limited Partnership, to the Williams Avenue parcel.

Section 5. The Department of City Planning reported on March-10, 1989 that acquisition of this parcel for the relocation of the Potrero Police Station is in conformity with the Master Plan and consistent with the Eight Priority Policies of Planning Code Section 101.1.

Section 6. The Board of Supervisors now adopts as its own said findings made by the Department of City Planning that the acquisition of said property for the relocation of Potrero Police Station is in conformity with the Master Plan and consistent with the Eight Priority Policies of Planning Code Section 101.1.

Section 7. The Wisconsin Street parcel is no longer required for municipal purposes. The Department of City Planning has reported on September 23, 1988 that the sale of this parcel is in conformity with the Master Plan and consistent with the Eight Priority Policies of Planning Code Section 101.1.

Section 8. The Board of Supervisors now adopts as its own the findings made by the Department of City Planning that the sale of said property is in conformity with the Master Plan and consistent with the Eight Priority Policies of Planning Code Section 101.1.

Section 9. Pursuant to Section 7.401 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, the Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to exchange the Wisconsin Street parcel together with \$947,500.00 for the Williams Avenue parcel.

Section 10. The Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute and deliver to Williams Street Properties a deed to the Wisconsin Street parcel as part payment for the Williams Avenue parcel to be acquired by the City.

Section 11. The City Attorney shall examine and approve title to the property to be acquired by the City, the conveyance of which is hereby accepted by the City.

RECOMMENDED:

Frank M. Johnson
Chief of Police

*\$1,077,500 Available
Appropriation No.
05-608 90-02-00 240 772103 108 71

Robert J. R. R.
Director of Public Works

Robert J. R. R.
Director of Property

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, City Attorney

MAR 31 1989

*Includes Title Insurance and Escrow Fees.

By *John S. R. R.*
Deputy City Attorney

Samuel R. Hongisto
Controller

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading

May 1, 1989

5 Finally Passed

5 May 8, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy
Maher Neider Walker Ward

5 Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy
Maher Neider Ward

Absent: Supervisor Hongisto

5 Absent: Supervisors Hallinan Walker

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

MAY 10 1989

File No.
184-89-3

Date Approved

Samuel R. Hongisto
Acting Clerk
Samuel R. Hongisto
Acting Mayor

(Real Estate)

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

1 AMENDING SECTION 16.157, SAN FRANCISCO ADMINISTRATIVE CODE, APPROVING HEALTH
2 SERVICE SYSTEM PLANS AND RATES OF CONTRIBUTION, AS ADOPTED BY THE HEALTH SERVICE
3 BOARD.

4
5 Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco:

6 Section 1. The San Francisco Administrative Code is hereby amended by amending
7 Section 16.157 to read as follows:

8
9 Section 16.157. HEALTH SERVICE SYSTEM: Changes.

10 Changes in contribution rates adopted by the Health Service Board, as respects the
11 plans of the Health Service System, to become effective on July 1, ((1988)) 1989,
12 for the fiscal year July 1 ((1988)) 1989 through June 30, ((1989)) 1990, which
13 changes are on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby
14 approved.

15
16
17
18
19 APPROVED AS TO FORM:

20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

LOUISE RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

Randall B. Smith
RANDALL B. SMITH
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
HEALTH SERVICE SYSTEM

By: *M. M. M. M. M.*

"HEALTH SERVICE SYSTEM"

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

JUN 14 1989

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading \$ Finally Passed

May 8, 1989 \$ May 15, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt \$
Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh \$
Kennedy Maher Ward \$

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt \$
Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh \$
Kennedy Maher Walker \$

Noes: Supervisor Nelder \$

Noes: Supervisor Nelder \$

Absent: Supervisor Walker \$

Absent: Supervisor Ward \$

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors
of the City and County of San Francisco


Michael S. ...
Acting Clerk

File No.
97-89-11

MAY 19 1989

Date Approved

John S. ...
Mayor



(Golden Gate Pavilion Special Fund)

AMENDING THE SAN FRANCISCO ADMINISTRATIVE CODE BY ADDING SECTION
10.117-82 THERETO ESTABLISHING A GOLDEN GATE PAVILION SPECIAL FUND
FOR THE ACCEPTANCE AND EXPENDITURES OF MONIES RECEIVED TO RESTORE
AND MAINTAIN THE GOLDEN GATE PAVILION.

Note: This entire section is new.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:
Section 1. Chapter 10 of the San Francisco Administrative
Code is hereby amended by adding Section 10.117-82 thereto, to read
as follows:

SEC. 10.117-82. Golden Gate Pavilion Special Fund.

(a) Establishment of Fund. There is hereby established a
special fund for the purpose of receiving all donations of money
which may be offered to the City and County to support the
restoration or maintenance of the Golden Gate Pavilion and the park
area immediately surrounding it. Said special fund shall be known
and designated as the Golden Gate Pavilion Special Fund, to be
administered by the Recreation and Park Department

(b) Acceptance of Gifts. All donations of money and other
gifts which may be offered to the Golden Gate Pavilion are hereby
accepted for such purposes. Any grants, gifts and bequests from
private sources for this purpose shall be deposited into said
special fund.

(c) Expenditure of Monies. The monies in this fund shall be
expended, in accordance with the budgetary and fiscal provisions of
the Charter, solely for the purposes of restoration or maintenance
of the Golden Gate Pavilion and the park area immediately
surrounding it.

(d) Interest. Interest earned from the Golden Gate Pavilion
Special Fund shall become part of the principal thereof, and shall

1 not be expended for any purpose other than that for which said fund
2 is established.

3 (e) Accumulation of Monies in Fund. The balance remaining
4 in the Golden Gate Pavilion Special Fund at the close of any fiscal
5 year shall be deemed to have been provided for a specific purpose
6 within the meaning of Charter Section 6.306 and shall be carried
7 forward and accumulated in said fund for the purposes recited herein.

8
9 Approved as to Form:

10 LOUISE H. RENNE
11 City Attorney

12
13 By Yuan C. L. L.
14 Deputy City Attorney

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

JUN 14 1989

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Supervisor Hongisto

-2-

4059g

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading

May 8, 1989

Finally Passed

MAY 15. 1969

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy
Maher Nelder Ward

Absent: Supervisors Hallinan Walker

5 Ayes: Supervisors Alioto, Brito
5 Gonzalez, Hallinan, Hongisto, Hsieh
5 Kennedy, Maher, Nelder, Walker
5 Absent: Supervisor Ward

Absent: Supervisor Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

~~Myra Leonard~~
~~Acting Clerk~~

MAY 19 1989

File No.
97-89-15

Date Approved

Mayor

ORDERING VACATION OF A PORTION OF ALABAMA STREET WEST OF TREAT AVENUE, AND ADOPTING FINDINGS PURSUANT TO CITY PLANNING CODE SECTION 101.1.

Be It Ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. On April 3, 1989, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution No. 231-89, approved by the Mayor on April 5, 1989, being a Resolution of a Declaration of Intention to order the vacation of Alabama Street as shown on Department of Public Works' Drawing SUR-588, a copy of which is on file in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors did transmit to the Department of Public Works a certified copy of said Resolution, and said Department did cause to be posted in the manner and as required by law, notice of the passage of said Resolution.

Section 3. When said matter was considered as scheduled by the Board of Supervisors at its regular meeting held in City Hall, San Francisco, on May 8, 1989, beginning at 3:00 P.M., said Board heard all persons interested in said vacation.

Section 4. The public interest and convenience require the vacation to be done as declared in said Resolution No. 231-89.

Section 5. The Department of City Planning reported on March 28, 1988 that said vacation is in conformity with the Master Plan and consistent with the priority policies of Proposition M.

Section 6. The Board of Supervisors adopts as its own and incorporates by reference herein, as though fully set forth, the findings made by the Department of City Planning, by its letter dated March 28, 1988, that the vacation of said portion of Alabama Street is consistent with the eight priority policies of City Planning Code Section 101.1.

Section 7. The Board of Supervisors has acquired jurisdiction to order said vacation subject to the conditions as set forth in the Declaration of Intention

(Real Estate)

to order the said vacation.

Section 8. The said portion of Alabama Street is hereby ordered vacated and the underlying fee title retained by the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 9. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to transmit to the Recorder and the Director of Public Works a certified copy of this Ordinance, and the Recorder and the Director of Public Works are hereby directed to proceed thereafter as required by law.

(SEE FILE FOR DEPARTMENTAL APPROVALS)

0587

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

JUN 14 1989

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE
CITY ATTORNEY

By: *[Signature]*
Deputy City Attorney

(Real Estate)
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading

May 8, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Bilt
Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh
Kennedy Maher Neider Ward
Absent: Supervisor Walker

§ Finally Passed
§
§ May 15, 1989
§
§
§ Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Bilt
Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh
Kennedy Maher Neider
§ Absent: Supervisors Walker Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors
of the City and County of San Francisco

Michael A. Burt
ACTING CLERK

(MAY 19 1989

Date Approved

John S. Lee
Mayor

File No.
188-88-5.1

RO #8198 SA #97

(Government Funding)

APPROPRIATING \$88,225 FOR OTHER SERVICES, SUPERIOR COURT, FOR FISCAL YEAR 1988-89.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1988-89 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
01-001	General Fund		
G.L. 496 (000026)	Unappropriated Revenue Reserved		
Subsidiary 000006	General Reserve	\$88,225	
10-01-00	Superior Court		
10-1200 (316083)	Other Services		\$88,225

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

BY:

DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

CONTROLLER

JAN 31 1989

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

JUN 14 1989

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading \$ Finally Passed

May 8, 1989 \$ May 15, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy
Maher Nelder Ward

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh
Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker

Absent: Supervisors Hallinan Walker


Absent: Supervisor Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors
of the City and County of San Francisco

File No.
101-88-75

MAY 19 1989

Date Approved



RO #8239 SA #117

(Government Funding)

APPROPRIATING \$169,148 FOR PROGRAM PROJECT BUDGET (SUBSTANCE ABUSE PROGRAM),
POLICE DEPARTMENT, FOR FISCAL YEAR 1988-89.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1988-89 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
02-005	Narcotics Forfeiture Fund		
38-03-13	Police Department		
Project 001-00	Federal Narcotics forfeiture and Seizures		
65-6531(050062)	Federal and State Grants-Direct	\$ 169,148	
Project 009-01	Substance Abuse Program		
20-2010(580324)	Program Project Budget		
01-0101	Overtime - Uniform	\$ 29,678	
13-1104	Technical Supplies	137,670	
72-2260	Office Equipment	1,800	
	Total	\$ 169,148	\$ 169,148

APPROVED AS TO FORM
LOUISE H. REMME, CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

BY: Deputy City Attorney Samuel P. Gorkin
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY CONTROLLER

1011P 10

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

JUN 14 1989

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading \$ Finally Passed

May 8, 1989 \$ May 15, 1989 \$

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy
Maher Nelder Ward \$

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh
Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker \$

Absent: Supervisors Hallinan Walker \$ Absent: Supervisor Ward \$

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors
of the City and County of San Francisco


Myra A. Clark
ADMINISTRATIVE CLERK

MAY 19 1989

File No.
101-88-101

Date Approved

Edmund G. Brown
Mayor



1 APPROPRIATING \$1,100,000 FOR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECT (LAKE MERCED TRANSPORT
2 DESIGN) AND RESCINDING \$1,100,000 FROM 1976 SEWER REVENUE BOND, CLEANWATER, FOR
3 FISCAL YEAR 1988-89.

4 Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

5 Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1988-89 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT	
		DEBIT	CREDIT
35-895	1976 Sewer Revenue Bond		
90-05-00	Cleanwater		
G.L. 495(035006)	Use of Surplus	\$ 1,100,000	
20-2030(543363)	Capital Improvement Project		
Project 006-01	Lake Merced Transport Design		\$ 1,100,000

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: Louise R. Renne
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

Stanley D. Yickler
CONTROLLER

1011P/19(ch1)

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading \$ Finally Passed
May 8, 1989 \$ May 15, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt \$ Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
Gonzalez Hsieh Kennedy Maher Ward \$ Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh
Kennedy Maher Walker \$

Noes: Supervisor Nelder \$ Noes: Supervisor Nelder
Absent: Supervisors Hallinan Walker \$ Absent: Supervisors Ward
Hongisto \$

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors
of the City and County of San Francisco

File No.
101-88-112

MAY 19 1989

Date Approved


Mayor
Mayor

Mayor
Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

JUN 14 1989

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY



FILE NO. 101-88-114ORDINANCE NO. 161-89

RO# 8262 SA #161

(Government Funding)

APPROPRIATING \$1,400 FOR OVERTIME AND RESCINDING \$1,400 FROM PERMANENT SALARIES, COUNTY CLERK, FOR FISCAL YEAR 1988-89.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1988-89

as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT	
		DEBIT	CREDIT
01-001	General Fund		
15-02-00	County Clerk		
01-0010 (322032)	Permanent Salaries	\$1,400	
15-01-00	Superior Court		
03-0100 (322016)	Overtime		\$1,400

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

BY: B. G. W. t
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

Samuel H. Yoder
CONTROLLER

1p-0654R

JUN 14 1989

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading \$ Finally Passed
May 8, 1989 \$ May 15, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt \$
Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy \$
Maher Nelder Ward \$

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt \$
Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh \$
Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker \$
Absent: Supervisors Hallinan Walker \$ Absent: Supervisor Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors
of the City and County of San Francisco


David J. Walker
ACTING CLERK

MAY 19 1989

Date Approved

File No.
101-88-114

David J. Walker
Mayor



(SADDLE HORSE LEASE)

APPROVING A MONTH TO MONTH AGREEMENT WITH JAY BARNES, DBA: MATHER SADDLE AND PACK STATION, FOR ESTABLISHING AND OPERATING A PROFESSIONAL SADDLE HORSE CONCESSION AT CAMP MATHER, TUOLUMNE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA, FOR THE 1989 CAMP MATHER SEASON.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. That certain agreement between the Recreation and Park Commission, for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco and Jay Barnes, dba: Mather Saddle and Pack Station, for establishing and operating a professional saddle horse concession at Camp Mather for the 1989 Camp Mather season, a copy of which agreement is on file in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made, is hereby approved.

RECOMMENDED:

Mary E. Burns
Mary E. Burns, General Manager
Recreation and Park Department

Shirley Marie Rose
Shirley Marie Rose, Secretary
Recreation and Park Commission

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Louise H. Regne, City Attorney

Maria C. Rosales
Maria C. Rosales, Deputy City Attorney

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

JUN 14 1989

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading

\$ Finally Passed

May 15, 1989

\$ May 22, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh
Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker

\$ Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy
Nelder Walker Ward

Absent: Supervisor Ward

\$ Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Maher


I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors
of the City and County of San Francisco

File No.
62-89-1

MAY 25 1989

Date Approved

Mayor



E R R A T A

Amend Ordinance No. 163-89, in title to read as follows:

AMENDING THE SAN FRANCISCO ADMINISTRATIVE CODE BY ADDING SECTION 10.117.85 THEREO, CREATING A VITAL AND HEALTH STATISTICS TRUST FUND FOR THE RECEIPT AND DISBURSEMENT OF FUNDS RECEIVED FROM ALL APPLICANTS FOR CERTIFIED COPIES OF BIRTH, DEATH, FETAL DISSOLUTION RECORDS TO BE USED AS SPECIFIED.

Also on lines 9 and 10 correct Section 10.117.82 to read:

Section 10.117.85.

John L. Taylor
John L. Taylor
Clerk of the Board
August 3, 1989

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

AUG 8 1989

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

(VITAL AND HEALTH STATISTICS TRUST FUND)

AMENDING THE SAN FRANCISCO ADMINISTRATIVE CODE BY ADDING SECTION 10.117.8⁵ THEREO, CREATING A VITAL AND HEALTH STATISTICS TRUST FUND FOR THE RECEIPT AND DISBURSEMENT OF FUNDS RECEIVED FROM ALL APPLICANTS FOR CERTIFIED COPIES OF BIRTH, DEATH, FETAL DEATH, MARRIAGE DISSOLUTION RECORDS TO BE USED AS SPECIFIED.

Note: This section is entirely new.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Chapter 10 of the San Francisco Administrative Code is hereby amended by Adding Section 10.117.8⁵, thereto to read as follows:

Section 10.117.8⁵ VITAL AND HEALTH STATISTICS TRUST FUND

A. Established. There is hereby established a trust fund to accept deposits consisting of \$1.00 out of each \$2.00 fee imposed by existing law on birth, death, fetal death, marriage and marriage dissolution certificates, and collected by the Local Registrar, County Recorder or County Clerk, as the case may be.

Proceeds of this fund will defray the administrative costs of collecting these fees and other costs as follows:

1. Administrative and personnel costs.

2. Modernization of vital record operations, including improvement and automation of vital record systems.

3. Improvement in the collection and analysis of health-related death certificate information and other vital record analysis as appropriate.

B. Administration of expenditures from fund. The balance remaining in the vital and health statistics trust fund at the close of any fiscal year shall be deemed to have been provided for a specific purpose within the meaning of the provisions of Section 6.306 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and shall be carried forward and accumulated in said fund for the purposes cited herein.

C. Use of these funds are subject to Board of Supervisors approval.

RECOMMENDED: APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Louise Renne

David W. Budge
David Werdegan, M.D., P.H.
Health Commission

Andy Ouyang
Andy Ouyang
Health Commission

Scalping
Scalping
Deputy City Attorney

Director of Health

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading

§ Finally Passed

May 15, 1989

§ May 22, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy
Maher Nelder Walker

§ Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
§ Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy
§ Nelder Walker Ward
§ Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Maher

Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Ward

§ Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Maher

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors
of the City and County of San Francisco

Paul J. Taylor
ACTING CLERK

MAY 25 1989

Date Approved

Paul J. Taylor
Mayor

File No.
97-89-16

(Government Funding)

5/10/89

grh

\$8,885

APPROPRIATING \$10,000+ FOR PERMANENT SALARIES AND RELATED MANDATORY FRINGE

BENEFITS, MUNICIPAL COURT FOR FISCAL YEAR 1988-89.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1988-89 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT	
		DEBIT	CREDIT
01-001	General Fund		
G.L. 496(000026)	Unappropriated Revenue Reserved	\$ 8,885	
Subsidiary(000006)	General Reserve	\$ 10,000+	
11-00-00	Municipal Court		8,667
01-0010(317016)	Permanent Salaries		\$ 5,000
04-0600	Retirement - Miscellaneous		148
04-0606	Social Security		303
			70
	Total	\$ 8,885	142
		\$ 10,000+	\$ 10,000+

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. REMME, CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

BY:

Bellet
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

Samuel R. Gorkunov
CONTROLLER

APPROVED:

CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

BY:

John J. Walsh, Jr.
GENERAL MANAGER, PERSONNEL

EO:chl(1011P/26)

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

JUN 14 1989

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading \$ Finally Passed

May 15, 1989 \$ May 22, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy
Maher Nelder Walker

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy
Nelder Walker Ward

Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Ward

Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Maher

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors
of the City and County of San Francisco

File No.
101-88-113

MAY 25 1989

Date Approved

Maylan Baker
ACTING CLERK

[Signature]
Mayor

RO #8265 SA #145

(Government Funding)

APPROPRIATING \$136,974 FOR OVERTIME AND RESCINDING \$136,974 FROM PERMANENT SALARIES - MISCELLANEOUS, WATER DEPARTMENT, FOR FISCAL YEAR 1988-89.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1988-89

as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
34-001	Water Department Operating Fund		
47-00-00	Water Department		
01-0010(387019)	Permanent Salaries	\$ 136,974	
03-0100(387019)	Overtime		\$ 136,974

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

BY:

Deputy City Attorney
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

CONTROLLER

Samuel H. Gorkovoy
CONTROLLER

153 (MPPARRPT)

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

JUN 14 1989

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading

May 15, 1989

\$ Finally Passed
\$ May 22, 1989
\$

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto, Britt,
Gonzalez, Hallinan, Hongisto, Hsieh,
Kennedy, Maher, Nelder, Walker

\$ Ayes: Supervisors Alioto, Britt,
Gonzalez, Hallinan, Hsieh, Kennedy,
Nelder, Walker, Ward
\$

Absent: Supervisor Ward

\$ Absent: Supervisors Hongisto, Maher

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors
of the City and County of San Francisco


File No.
101-88-115

MAY 25 1989

Date Approved

Mayor
Mayor

Mayor
Mayor



APPROPRIATING \$50,000 FOR PAYMENT TO OTHER GOVERNMENTS, MUNICIPAL RAILWAY, FOR
FISCAL YEAR 1988-89; PLACING \$8,132 ON RESERVE.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1988-89
as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT	DEBIT	CREDIT
01-001	General Fund			
G.L. 496 (000026)	Unappropriated Revenue Reserved			
Subsidiary (00006)	General Reserve	\$50,000		
99-00-00	General Fund Unallocated			
48-4830 (841601)	Contribution Transfer Out to Fund #31-001, Municipal Railway Operating; #35-00-00 Municipal Railway		\$50,000	\$50,000
Total			\$50,000	\$50,000
31-001	Municipal Railway Operating			
35-00-00	Municipal Railway			
98-9701 (196113)	Contribution Transfer In From Fund #01-001, General Fund; #99-00-00 General Fund Unallocated		\$50,000	
14-1420 (560946)	Payment To Other Governments			\$50,000
Total			\$50,000	\$50,000

Section 2. Funds in the amount of \$8,132 are hereby placed on reserve
and to be released by the Finance Committee pending submission
of remaining cost of San Francisco's share under the Peninsula
Corridor Joint Powers Agreement.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUIS H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

Samuel D. York
CONTROLLER

101-88-116
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

JUN 14 1989

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading \$ Finally Passed
May 15, 1989 \$ May 22, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy
Maher Nelder Walker

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy
Maher Nelder Walker Ward

Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Ward

Absent: Supervisor Hongisto

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors
of the City and County of San Francisco

May 25 1989
Clerk
[Signature]
Mayor

MAY 25 1989

File No.
101-88-116

Date Approved

JUN 14 1989

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

ORDINANCE NO. 167-89

RO 8270 SA 75

(GOVERNMENT FUNDING)

APPROPRIATING \$100,004 FOR PERMANENT SALARIES AND TEMPORARY SALARIES AND

RESCINDING \$100,004 FROM MANDATORY FRINGE BENEFITS, DISTRICT ATTORNEY, FOR

FISCAL YEAR 1988-89.


Be It ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:


Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1988-89
as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT	
		DEBIT	CREDIT
01-001	General Fund		
04-01-00	District Attorney Prosecution		
04-0600 (303271)	Retirement	\$100,004	
01-0010	Permanent Salaries		\$57,496
02-0200	Temporary Salaries		42,508
	Total	\$100,004	\$100,004

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUIS H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:


 CONTROLLER


 DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

148M

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading \$ Finally Passed

May 15, 1989 \$ May 22, 1989

 Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
 Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh
 Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker

 \$ Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
 \$ Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy
 \$ Nelder Walker Ward

Absent: Supervisor Ward

\$ Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Maher

 I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
 was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors
 of the City and County of San Francisco
File No.
101-88-120

MAY 25 1989

Date Approved


 Acting Clerk

Mayor

RO # 8272 SA # 148

(GOVERNMENT FUNDING)

APPROPRIATING \$1,074,708 FOR PERMANENT SALARIES - CRAFT AND PERMANENT SALARIES
- NURSES, OVERTIME AND RELATED MANDATORY FRINGE BENEFITS, TELEPHONE, SERVICES
OF OTHER DEPARTMENTS - LIGHT, HEAT AND POWER AND WORKERS' COMPENSATION AND
\$1,500,000 FOR REDUCTION OF ESTIMATED REVENUES AND \$1,271,400 FOR YEAR END
SURPLUS AND RESCINDING \$246,108 FROM PERMANENT SALARIES - MISCELLANEOUS AND
HOLIDAY PAY, LAGUNA HONDA HOSPITAL FOR FISCAL YEAR 1988-89.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1988-89
as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT	
		DEBIT	CREDIT
37-001	Laguna Honda Operating Fund		
G.L. 495 (027995)	Year End Surplus	\$	\$1,271,400
85-00-00	Laguna Honda Hospital		
	Revenue Appropriations		
70-7602 (047316)	Medi-Cal Revenue	3,600,000	
70-7601	Patient Payments		300,000
70-7603	Medi-Care		1,200,000
	Expenditure Appropriations		
01-001 (448274)	Permanent Salaries-Miscellaneous	86,308	
01-0030	Permanent Salaries-Craft		32,600
01-0050	Permanent Salaries - Nurses		589,365
03-0100	Overtime		24,000
03-0120	Holiday Pay	159,800	
04-0600	Retirement		212,909

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT	
		DEBIT	CREDIT
04-0606	Social Security		8,402
04-0610	Health Service		78,627
04-0620	Unemployment Insurance		205
04-0710	Health Service - Registered- Nurses' Dependents		500
10-1232	Telephone		66,000
30-3300	Services of Other Departments- Light, Heat and Power		50,500
30-3700	Workers' Compensation		11,600
Total		\$3,846,108	\$3,846,108

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUIS H. REMME, CITY ATTORNEY
[Signature]
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

[Signature]
CONTROLLER

WPPARRPT-152

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

JUN 14 1989

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading

May 15, 1989

§ Finally Passed

§ May 22, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Brilt
Gonzalez Hallinan Heideh Kennedy
Maher Neider Walker

§ Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Brilt
Gonzalez Hallinan Heideh Kennedy
Neider Walker Ward

Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Ward

§ Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Maher

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors
of the City and County of San Francisco

MAY 25 1989

Date Approved

Mayor

William B. Brilt
ACTING CLERK

Mayor

File No.
101-88-121

AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 269-88 (ANNUAL SALARY ORDINANCE, 1988-89) TO PROVIDE A
SALARY INCREASE FOR JUDGES AND TRAFFIC REFEREES IN THE MUNICIPAL COURT EFFECTIVE
JUNE 1, 1989.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The hereinafter designated sections and items of Ordinance
No. 269-88 (Annual Salary Ordinance, 1988-89) are hereby amended so that the same
shall read as follows:

NO. OF POS.	CLASS AND ITEM NO.	CLASS TITLE	COMPENSATION SCHEDULE
	Department	11 Municipal Court	
	Division	00 Municipal Court	
	Section	00 Municipal Court	
	Program	1701 Municipal Court Services	
	Fund	01001 General Fund	
	Index	317016 Municipal Court Exp.	
	Proj/Phase	000/00 Unassigned Title	
	Object	001 Permanent Salaries-Miscellaneous	
20	abolished 0 0200 A Judge, Municipal Court	\$6451 M 6451	
20	added 20 0200 A Judge, Municipal Court	 6838 M 6838	
3	abolished 0 0250 A Traffic Referee	 3548 M 5161	
3	added 3 0250 A Traffic Referee	 3761 M 5470	

Classification 0250 has three salary steps, the minimum and maximum listed above
and the intermediate step is \$4787.

Section 2. This Ordinance shall be effective June 1, 1989.

APPROVED AS TO CLASSIFICATION
CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

John J. Valente Jr.
GENERAL MANAGER, PERSONNEL

BY: *B. Ritt*
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading \$ Finally Passed
May 15, 1989 \$ May 22, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy
Maher Nelder Walker

\$ Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
\$ Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy
\$ Nelder Walker Ward

Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Ward

\$ Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Maher

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors
of the City and County of San Francisco


Neely Anne Maher
Acting Clerk

MAY 25 1989

File No.
102-88-42

Date Approved

Mayor



[Sale and Possession of Assault Weapons]

AMENDING PART II, CHAPTER VIII OF THE SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE
(POLICE CODE) BY ADDING ARTICLE 35A THERETO, TO PROHIBIT THE SALE
AND POSSESSION OF ASSAULT WEAPONS.

Note: All provisions are new.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Part II, Chapter VIII of the San Francisco
Municipal Code (Police Code) is hereby amended by adding Article 35A
thereto, to read as follows:

ARTICLE 35A

PROHIBITING THE SALE AND POSSESSION OF ASSAULT WEAPONS

SEC. 3500A.1 FINDINGS.

The Board of Supervisors hereby finds:

1. The proliferation and uncontrolled use of assault weapons
pose a serious threat to the health, safety, welfare, and security
of the residents of the City and County of San Francisco, the most
densely populated city or county in the State of California. The
danger of assault weapons increases in proportion to population
density. Thus, there is no community in the state where as au
weapons pose a particularly greater danger than in the City and
County of San Francisco.

2. Evidence indicates that assault weapons have virtually no
socially acceptable utility in a densely populated urban community

//

3. Existing state statutory provisions do not specifically
restrict the sale and possession of semiautomatic assault firearms.

4. Commercial availability and possession of a variety of
types of semiautomatic firearms for civilian use, even for
self-defense, pose enormous risks of injury and death to many
innocent citizens.

5. There is paramount local interest in protecting the public
peace, health, and safety of the City and County of San Francisco by
restricting the sale and possession of assault weapons.

SEC. 3500A.2 DEFINITIONS.

(A) For the purpose of this section, "assault weapon" shall
include:

- 1) Any semiautomatic action, center fire rifle or ^{six}
carbine that accepts a detachable magazine with a capacity of ~~twenty~~
rounds or more, including but not limited to the following firearms
or firearms resembling or are copies of the following: AR 15
semiautomatic assault rifles, Uzi semiautomatic assault rifles or
carbines, Heckler and Koch 93 semiautomatic assault rifles, Heckler
and Koch 91 semiautomatic assault rifles, AK-47 semiautomatic
assault rifles, all Avtomat Kalashnikov weapons, M1-A semiautomatic
assault rifles, Thompson semiautomatic carbines, M-1 carbine;
- 2) Any shotguns with a barrel of less than 18 inches
and a folding stock or magazine capacity of more than six rounds;
- 3) Any weapon that may be restored to an operable
assault weapon, as defined above in paragraph (1) and (2); and

//
//

SUPERVISORS HONGISTO, GONZALEZ,
WARD, ALIOTO
4074G
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

JUN 14 1989

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

1 4) Any part, or combination of parts, designed or
2 intended to convert a weapon into an assault weapon, as defined in
3 subsections (1) and (2), or any combination of parts from which an
4 assault weapon may be readily assembled, if those parts are in the
5 possession or under the control of the same person.

6 (B) As used in this Section, the term "semiautomatic" means a
7 weapon that fires a single projectile for each single pull of the
8 trigger and that employs a magazine.

9 (C) The term "assault weapon" does not include any of the
10 following:

11 1) any of the weapons generally and specifically
12 described in the above Sub-sections (1) and (2) which is a "machine
13 gun", as defined by provisions of Section 12200 of the Penal Code of
14 the State of California; any pistol, revolver or other firearm which
15 is capable of being concealed upon one's person, as defined by
16 provisions of Section 12001 of the Penal Code and regulated by the
17 provisions of Sections 12021 and 12025 of the Penal Code of the
18 State of California;

19 2) weapons that do not use fixed ammunition, weapons
20 which were manufactured prior to 1898, manually operated bolt action
21 weapons, lever action weapons, slide action weapons, single-shot
22 weapons, multiple-barrel weapons, revolving cylinder weapons,
23 semiautomatic weapons which use exclusively Mannlicher-style clips,
24 semiautomatic weapons manufactured prior to 1954, rim-fire weapons
25 that employ a tubular magazine;

26 3) any assault weapon which is an antique, or relic firearm
27 or other weapon falling within the specifications of paragraphs (5),
28 (7) and (8) of Subdivision (b) of Section 12020 of the Penal Code of
29 the State of California;

30 / /

SUPERVISORS HONGISTO, GONZALEZ,
WARD, ALIOTO
40749 SUPERVISORS

PAGE NO. 3
05/08/89

2 Subdivision (c) of Section 12020 of the Penal Code; or
3 5) any assault weapon which has been modified either to
4 render it permanently inoperable or to permanently make it a device
5 no longer defined as an assault weapon.

6 SEC. 3500A.3 UNLAWFUL CONDUCT -- PENALTY.

7 Except as provided herein, no person, including but not
8 limited to wholesale and retail gun dealers, shall sell, offer for
9 sale, transfer, or possess any assault weapon. Any person who
10 sells, offers for sale, transfers, or possesses any assault weapon
11 in the City and County of San Francisco in violation of this Article
12 is guilty of a misdemeanor.

13 SEC. 3500A.4 CONFISCATION AND DESTRUCTION OF ASSAULT WEAPONS.

14 Any assault weapon sold, transferred, or possessed by any
15 person and found to be in violation of the provisions of this
16 Article, shall be ordered confiscated as contraband by the court and
17 the Police Department shall be directed to destroy the assault
18 weapon so as to render it unusable and unrepairable as a firearm.

19 SEC. 3500A.5 GRACE PERIOD FOR LAWFULLY POSSESSED ASSAULT WEAPONS.

20 A) Any person or other entity in the City and County of San
21 Francisco who is in lawful possession of an assault weapon, at the
22 time of the effective date of this ordinance, may continue to
23 possess the firearm for a period of 30 days after the ~~effective date~~
24 ^{Mayor} approves the
25 ~~of this~~ ordinance, in order to provide a reasonable time for the
26 orderly disposition of assault weapons, which may include
27 transferring title of the weapon to a dealer licensed pursuant to
28
29
30

SUPERVISORS HONGISTO, GONZALEZ,
WARD, ALIOTO
40749
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

PAGE NO. 4
05/08/89

1 Article 4 of the State of California Penal Code, commencing at
2 Section 12250, or to the San Francisco Police Department, or their
3 modification to render them permanently inoperable.

4 B) Notwithstanding the provisions of Section 35A.3, any
5 person who obtains title to an assault weapon by bequest or
6 intestate succession may retain possession for a period of time not
7 to exceed 90 days, and shall within that time transfer title of the
8 weapon to a dealer licensed pursuant to Article 4 of the State of
9 California Penal Code, commencing at Section 12250, or to the San
10 Francisco Police Department.

11
12 SEC. 3500A.6 EXCEPTIONS FROM THIS ARTICLE'S PROHIBITIONS.

13 A) Nothing in this section shall prohibit the acquisition,
14 possession, or disposition of assault weapons by any person as
15 otherwise authorized by state or federal law for use in the
16 discharge of official duties; nor shall any of these provisions
17 prohibit the possession of assault weapons or magazines therefor by
18 lawfully authorized officers, employees, or agents when on duty and
19 the possession of an assault weapon is authorized and within the
20 scope of their duties.

21 B) The provisions of this Section shall not apply to the
22 possession of any assault weapon when such possession is prohibited
23 by the provisions of Section 12031 of the California Penal Code or
24 Section 2010 of the California Fish and Game Code.

25 C) Notwithstanding the provisions of paragraph (A) of this
26 Section:

27 1. an assault weapon may be maintained in the possession
28 of an entity or establishment engaged in the business of motion
29 picture, television or video production, but only for the purpose of
30 being utilized as a prop during the course of motion picture,

SUPERVISORS HONGISTO, GONZALEZ,
WARD, ALIOTO
4074g
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
PAGE NO. 5
05/08/89

1 television or video production by an authorized agent or employee of
2 the entity or establishment, and only if the entity or establishment
3 properly secures such assault weapon from an authorized source; and
4 2. an assault weapon may be possessed by any federal,
5 state or local historical society, museum or institutional
6 collection which is open to the public, provided any such weapon is
7 properly housed, secured from unauthorized handling and is unloaded.

8
9 SEC. 3500A.7 SEVERABILITY.

10 If any section, subsection, sentence, clause or phrase of this
11 Article is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, or invalid,
12 such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining
13 portions of this Article. In adopting this ordinance, the Board of
14 Supervisors does not intend to duplicate or preempt any state or
15 federal laws that may regulate provisions of this ordinance.

16
17 APPROVED AS TO FORM:

18 LOUISE H. RENNE, City Attorney

19 By: Thudore R. Laka
20 Deputy City Attorney

21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30 SUPERVISORS HONGISTO, GONZALEZ,
WARD, ALIOTO
4074g
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
PAGE NO. 6
05/08/89

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading

May 15, 1989

§ Finally Passed

§ May 22, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh
Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker

Absent: Supervisor Ward

§ Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy
Maher Nelder Walker Ward
§ Absent: Supervisor Hongisto

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors
of the City and County of San Francisco

Mary Lee Anderson
Recording Clerk

MAY 25 1989

Date Approved

Mayor

File No.
121-89-1

1 (Vacation of Public Water Main Easement)
2 ORDERING VACATION OF A PORTION OF A PUBLIC WATER MAIN EASEMENT NORTH OF WINSTON
3 DRIVE AND QUITCLAIMING THE CITY'S INTEREST TO THE PROPERTY OWNER AND ADOPTING
4 FINDINGS PURSUANT TO CITY PLANNING CODE SECTION 101.1.

5
6 Be It Ordained By The People Of The City and County Of San Francisco:

7 Section 1. On April 10, 1989 the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution
8 No. 250-89, approved by the Mayor on April 14, 1989 being a Resolution of a
9 Declaration of Intention to order the vacation and quitclaim of a portion of a
10 public water main easement, which easement is shown on Department of Public Works'
11 Drawing SUR 2088, a copy of which is on file in the office of the Clerk of the
12 Board of Supervisors.

13 Section 2. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors did transmit to the
14 Department of Public Works a certified copy of said Resolution, and said
15 Department did cause to be posted in the manner and as required by law, notice of
16 the passage of said Resolution.

17 Section 3. When said matter was considered as scheduled by the Board of
18 Supervisors at its regular meeting held in the City Hall, San Francisco, on
19 May 15, 1989, beginning at 3:00 pm said Board heard all persons interested in
20 said vacation.

21 Section 4. The public interest and convenience require the vacation to be
22 done as declared in said Resolution, and the easement to be vacated is unnecessary
23 for present or prospective public use.

24 Section 5. The Department of City Planning reported on September 30, 1988
25 that said vacation and quitclaim of the abandoned easement is in conformity with
26 the Master Plan and consistent with the eight priority policies of Planning Code
27 Section 101.1, and the Board of Supervisors also adopts as its own and
28 incorporates by reference herein, as though fully set forth, the findings made by
29 the Department of City Planning by its letter dated September 30, 1988.

30

Section 6. The Board of Supervisors has acquired jurisdiction to order said vacation subject to any conditions as set forth in the Declaration of Intention to order the said vacation.

Section 7. The Director of Property has determined the value of the vacated easement to be \$2,500 and The Stoneson Development Corporation has agreed to pay said amount to the City.

Section 8. The said portion of the water main easement is hereby ordered vacated and the water main shall become a "house main" owned and maintained by The Stoneson Development Corporation.

Section 9. The Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed, as approved by the City Attorney, quitclaiming the City's interest in the abandoned portion of the water main easement to The Stoneson Development Corporation.

Section 10. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to transmit to the Recorder and the Director of Public Works a certified copy of this Ordinance, and the Recorder and Director of Public Works are hereby directed to proceed thereafter as required by law.

RECOMMENDED:

John J. [Signature]
Director of Property

Description Approved:

[Signature]
City Engineer

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, City Attorney

0507

By *[Signature]*
Deputy City Attorney

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

JUN 14 1989

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

(Real Estate)
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

(2)

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading

May 15, 1989

§ Finally Passed

§ May 22, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Brilt
Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh
Kennedy Maher Neider Walker

Absent: Supervisor Ward

§ Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Brilt
Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy
Neider Walker Ward
§ Absent: Supervisor Hongisto Maher

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors
of the City and County of San Francisco

Theresa A. Smith
ACTING CLERK

MAY 25 1989

File No.
198-89-1.1

Date Approved

[Signature]
Mayor

(Street Vacation)

ORDERING VACATION OF PORTIONS OF BEALE STREET, FIRST STREET AND TOWNSEND STREET AT THE ENBARCADERO; AND ADOPTING FINDINGS PURSUANT TO CITY PLANNING CODE SECTION 101.1.

Be It Ordered by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. On April 10, 1989, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution No. 251-89, approved by the Mayor on April 14, 1989, being a Resolution of a Declaration of Intention to order the vacation of portions of Beale Street, First Street and Townsend Street as shown on Department of Public Works' Drawing SUR-1188, a copy of which is on file in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors did transmit to the Department of Public Works and the San Francisco Port Commission a certified copy of said Resolution, and said Department of Public Works did cause to be posted in the manner and as required by law, notice of the passage of said Resolution.

Section 3. When said matter was considered as scheduled by the Board of Supervisors at its regular meeting held in the City Hall, San Francisco, on May 15, 1989, beginning at 3:00 p.m., said Board heard all persons interested in said vacation.

Section 4. The public interest and convenience require the vacation to be done as declared in said Resolution No. 251-89.

Section 5. The San Francisco Port Commission shall have jurisdiction over the vacated street areas subject, however, to the reservations, provisions and conditions set forth in the Declaration of Intention to order said vacation and herein.

Section 6. The San Francisco Port Commission shall ensure that the costs of relocation or installation of any facilities shall be at no charge to the City.

Section 7. The Department of City Planning reported on September 2, 1988 that said proposed vacation is in conformity with the Master Plan and that review

for consistency with the Eight Priority Policies of Planning Code Section 101.1 is unnecessary since the subject proposal is the implementation of a Redevelopment Agency residential project.

Section 8. The San Francisco Board of Supervisors adopts as its own and incorporates by reference herein the findings made by the Department of City Planning, as stated in its letter dated September 2, 1988, that the proposed vacation of said portions of Beale, First and Townsend Streets is in conformity with the Master Plan and that review for consistency with the Eight Priority Policies of Planning Code Section 101.1 is not required.

Section 9. Upon vacation of the subject street areas, the jurisdiction which the Department of Public Works has over said street areas will be extinguished.

Section 10. The Board of Supervisors has acquired jurisdiction to order said vacation subject to the reservations, provisions and conditions set forth in the Declaration of Intention to order said vacation and herein.

Section 11. The Director of Property is hereby authorized to accept quitclaim deeds from the abutting property owners quitclaiming their interests in said portions of Beale, First and Townsend Streets proposed to be vacated.

Section 12. In the event the San Francisco Port Commission elects to lease said vacated street areas, the lease documents shall contain language assuring continuance of the present rights of the public utilities and City Departments presently having facilities in the street areas and shall ensure that, if any future adjustment or relocation of said facilities is required by lessees, the cost shall be borne by lessees.

Section 13. Said portions of Beale Street, First Street and Townsend Street, as shown on said Department of Public Works' Drawing SUR-1188, are hereby ordered vacated, subject to the reservations, provisions and conditions as set forth in the Declaration of Intention to order said vacation and herein.

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

JUN 14 1989

(Real Estate)
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

-2-

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY


1 Section 14. The Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to transmit a
2 certified copy of this Ordinance to the Recorder, to the Director of Public Works,
3 and to the Executive Director of the San Francisco Port Commission; and the
4 aforesaid are hereby directed to proceed thereafter as required by law.
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

(SEE FILE FOR DEPARTMENTAL APPROVALS)

0655

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE
CITY ATTORNEY

By: 
Deputy City Attorney

(Real Estate)
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

-3-

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading

May 15, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto, Brito,
Gonzalez Hallinan, Hongisto, Hsieh,
Kennedy, Maher, Nelder, Walker
Absent: Supervisor Ward

Finally Passed


May 22, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto, Brito,
Gonzalez Hallinan, Hsieh, Kennedy,
Nelder, Walker, Ward
Absent: Supervisor Hongisto, Maher

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors
of the City and County of San Francisco

File No.
198-89-2.1

MAY 25 1989
Date Approved


ACING CLERK
Mayor

[Transportation Committee]

AMENDING SECTION 3 OF ORDINANCE NO. 184-88, AS AMENDED BY ORDINANCE NO. 356-88, TO CHANGE THE PROPOSED TERM OF THE TRANSACTIONS AND USE TAX FROM 15 TO 20 YEARS.

NOTE: Additions and substitutions are underlined;
Deletions are indicated by ((double parentheses)).

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco: Section 1. Ordinance No. 184-88, as amended by Ordinance No. 356-88, is hereby amended by amending Section 3 thereof to read as follows:

Section 3. Powers and Duties.

The Transportation Committee shall develop and submit a county transportation expenditure plan to the Metropolitan Transportation Commission for review no later than June 1, 1989.

In developing the plan, the Committee shall consult with the citizens advisory committee and technical advisory committee and shall provide for the participation of representatives of transit agencies serving the City and County, the California Department of Transportation, the Metropolitan Transportation Commission and any other agencies the Board of Supervisors or the Committee deems to be necessary.

The county transportation expenditure plan shall comply with the requirements of Section 131051 of the California Public Utilities Code. If the expenditure plan recommends that a transactions and use tax will be required for more than twenty (20) ((fifteen (15))) years, the plan shall recommend that the initial tax proposal submitted to the voters be for a twenty (20) ((fifteen (15))) year term and further, the plan shall recommend that prior to the expiration of the twenty-year ((fifteen-year)) term of that tax,

PAGE NO. 1

10108

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

the Board of Supervisors submit to the voters for their approval an ordinance authorizing continued imposition of this tax for the balance of the term of the tax recommended by the plan.

The Transportation Committee shall establish rules and regulations for its own organization and procedure and shall meet when necessary as determined by the Committee.

The Committee shall hold at least one public hearing on the expenditure plan prior to its submittal to the Metropolitan Transportation Commission. Notice of the public hearing shall be given by at least one publication in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco not less than seven (7) days prior to the date of such hearing. The Committee may also give notice to any person or organization that it may deem appropriate.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE, City Attorney

By: *Angela K. Kasper*
Deputy City Attorney

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

JUN 14 1989

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

PAGE NO. 2

10108

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading

§ Finally Passed

May 15, 1989

§ May 22, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Brito
Gonzalez Hongisto Kennedy Nelder
Walker

§ Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Brito
Gonzalez Kennedy Nelder Walker
Ward

Noes: Supervisors Hallinan Hsieh
Maher

§ Noes: Supervisors Hallinan Hsieh
Maher

Absent: Supervisors Ward

§ Absent: Supervisors Hongisto

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors
of the City and County of San Francisco

William B. Baker
Acting Clerk

File No.
209-89-4

MAY 25 1989

Date Approved

Mayor

[Signature]

(Garage Management Contract Documents)

APPROVING AND ADOPTING MOSCONE CENTER GARAGE LEGAL DOCUMENTS AND AUTHORIZATION
TO ISSUE MANAGEMENT CONTRACT

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Parking

Authority of the City and County of San Francisco, there has been filed with
the Clerk of the Board certain documents which consist of:

1. Form of Invitation for Bids
2. Form of Bid
3. Form of Prequalification Questionnaire
4. Form of Management Contract

Section 2. The legal documents are hereby approved in substantially

the form now filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and
are made a part hereof by reference.

Section 3. The Director of Property is authorized to call for bids for
said lease pursuant to and in accordance with the Invitation for Bids and Bid
Form.

RECOMMENDED:

Director of Property

Acting Director
Parking Authority

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE RENNE, City Attorney

By: *Jackie S. Hill*
Deputy City Attorney

3839A

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading § Finally Passed

May 15, 1989 § May 22, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt §
Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh §
Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker §

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt §
Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy §
Nelder Walker Ward §

Absent: Supervisors Ward §

Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Maher §

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors
of the City and County of San Francisco

File No.
47-89-5

MAY 25 1989

Date Approved

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

JUN 14 1989

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

(Minority, Women and Local Business Enterprises - Prime Contracts)
 AMENDING THE SAN FRANCISCO ADMINISTRATIVE CODE BY ADDING CHAPTER
 12D THEREO, RELATING TO THE PROCUREMENT OF GOODS AND SERVICES
 AND THE AWARD OF PUBLIC CONTRACTS BY THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN
 FRANCISCO FROM MINORITY BUSINESS ENTERPRISES, WOMEN BUSINESS
 ENTERPRISES, AND LOCAL BUSINESSES.

Note: All sections are new.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San
 Francisco:

Section 1. The San Francisco Administrative Code is hereby
 amended by adding Chapter 12D thereto, to read as follows:

CHAPTER 12D. MINORITY/WOMEN/LOCAL BUSINESS ENTERPRISES.

Sec. 12D.1. Short Title.

This ordinance shall be entitled the "Minority/Women/Local
 Business Utilization Ordinance" and may be cited as the

"MBE/WBE/LBE Ordinance -- II."

Sec. 12D.2. General Findings.

The Board of Supervisors having adopted Ordinance No.
 139-84 on April 2, 1984 to address identified discriminatory
 practices inherent in the City's procurement process which
 resulted in the virtual exclusion of minority and woman owned
 businesses as contractors on City prime contracts and to offset
 economic disadvantages faced by local businesses that are not
 shared by nonlocal businesses;

And Ordinance No. 139-84 being remedial in nature will
 expire June 30, 1989 and thus required the Human Rights
 Commission to study minority and woman owned business
 participation in City contracting prior to the expiration of that
 Ordinance;

12D.15. of Ordinance No. 139-84 having in June and July of 1988
 heard the testimony of forty-two witnesses, reviewed the
 transcript and written submittals of one hundred and twenty-seven
 minority, women, local and other business representatives,
 studied the testimonial and statistical evidence presented by the
 public, City departments, and the Commission's staff to ascertain
 whether the objectives of Ordinance No. 139-84 had been met and
 having submitted its October 23, 1988 report to this Board
 entitled "Investigation into Minority and Women Business
 Participation in City Contracting, Comprehensive Edition:
 Findings, Recommendations and Support Documentation";

And the United States Supreme Court on January 23, 1989
 having decided City of Richmond v. Croson which addresses the
 constitutionally acceptable quantum of evidence that enables a
 municipality to adopt a race-conscious remedial ordinance in
 public contracting;

And the Budget Analyst at the request of this Board having
 studied the participation of minority and woman owned businesses
 in City contracts at the prime contractor level during fiscal
 year 1987-1988 and compared this business participation to
 minority and women businesses' share of their relevant respective
 industries or professions and having submitted his report dated
 March 8, 1989 and his revised report dated March 17, 1989 to this
 Board;

And BPA Economics, Inc. at the request of the City Attorney
 and the Director of the Human Rights Commission having evaluated
 statistical evidence provided by City departments and the Budget
 Analyst, conducted a statistical analysis of these data and
 having submitted its report entitled "Statistical Support for San

SUPERVISORS KENNEDY, WARD, MAHER

PAGE NO. 2

05/15/89

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

JUN 15 1989

SAN FRANCISCO
 PUBLIC LIBRARY

And this Board, having conducted ten additional public hearings, taken additional testimony and written submittals, and reviewed the 1983 and 1988 reports of the Human Rights Commission, the 1989 reports of the Budget Analyst, and the 1989 report of BPA Economics, Inc. (all of which shall be incorporated herein by reference) and relying upon this Board's knowledge about the City's compliance with Ordinance No. 139-84, such knowledge having been acquired during the past five years,

This Board hereby makes the following findings:

1. Local businesses that seek to enter into contracts with the City and County of San Francisco continue to labor under a competitive disadvantage with businesses from other areas because of the higher administrative costs of doing business in the City (e.g. higher taxes, higher rents, higher wages and benefits for labor, higher insurance rates, etc.).

2. The public interest is served by continuing to encourage business to locate and remain in San Francisco through the provision of a minimal "good faith" preference to local businesses in the award of City contracts.

3. Policies and programs that enhance the opportunities and entrepreneurial skills of minority owned, woman owned, and local businesses will best serve the public interest because the growth and development of such businesses will have a significant positive impact on the economic health of the City and will serve to reduce racial tension in our community.

4. The testimony of businesses that seek to enter into contracts with the City or are doing business with the City, as presented to this Board and as detailed in the Human Rights

the Board of Supervisors to take the actions proposed by this Ordinance to remedy: (1) City contracting practices and community conditions that cause the exclusion or reduce the opportunities of minority and woman owned businesses to be awarded City contracts to such an extent that the amount of City contract dollars awarded to them can only be explained by discrimination; and (2) competitive disadvantages local business continue to face in providing goods and services to the City.

5. Outreach and advertising efforts by City departments have served to draw more minority and woman business enterprises into the City's procurement process. The City needs to intensify its outreach and advertising efforts as well as its education/training programs to reach a broader segment of the MBE/WBE community. However, past experience has taught that outreach and advertising efforts alone are not enough to remedy the exclusion of minority and woman owned businesses as prime contractors with the City.

6. Ordinance No. 139-84 has provided City departments with uniform standards and criteria in the award of contracts. However, these standards have not been applied consistently City wide. This inconsistent application of the Ordinance continues to give preference to majority businesses in the prime award process to the detriment of minority and woman business enterprises.

7. The bid preference mechanism has the advantage of affording minority, woman and local business enterprises a competitive "plus" when bidding or proposing on City contracts. The bid preference mechanism does not exclude any potential contractor. It encourages competition and thereby ensures that

1 the City is contracting with responsible, efficient contractors.
2 Consequently, the bid preference mechanism has assisted minority,
3 women and local business enterprises to obtain City contracts.
4 The bid preference is allowed to joint ventures where MBEs and
5 WBEs participate at a managerial and entrepreneurial level with
6 nonminority and nonwoman entrepreneurs. Affording the bid
7 preference to joint ventures has encouraged nonMBE/WBEs to enter
8 into joint venture agreements with MBE/WBEs and compete for City
9 contracts. Several joint ventures of minority/woman and
10 majority/male owned firms have won large construction and
11 professional services contracts with the assistance of the bid
12 preference. The evidence in the record before this Board
13 supports the conclusion that the bid preference invites
14 participation by formerly excluded businesses and hence promotes
15 more competition, resulting in more competitive bids submitted to
16 the City.

17 8. Set-asides of contracts, where competition for selected
18 contracts is limited to MBE/WBEs or joint ventures with MBE/WBEs,
19 have been authorized by the Director of the Human Rights
20 Commission only on rare occasions over the past five years. The
21 Director has regarded the contract set-aside mechanism as a
22 measure to be used in last resort type cases where a department
23 can demonstrate, despite its good faith efforts and the
24 application of the bid preference, that it has failed
25 substantially to eliminate the exclusion of MBEs and WBEs from
26 City contracting. Despite the claim by several departments that
27 the contract set-aside mechanism is essential to ensure
28 participation by MBE/WBEs, the City has made steady progress City
29 wide toward the MBE/WBE goals while only making sparing use of
30 the contract set-aside mechanism.

9. Without the Ordinance, many small MBE/WBEs would be
unable to compete for and win awards of prime City contracts.

10. Some City departments continue to operate under the
"old boy network" when awarding contracts. The City's "old boy
network" constitutes a closed business system created and
implemented by the City for all contracts, including those
subject to the competitive bid process. Discrimination against
and insensitivity to MBEs and WBEs continue to persist in the
City's procurement process. The closed environment in which City
businesses operate has excluded MBEs and WBEs and has placed them
under a competitive disadvantage when competing for City prime
contracts.

11. The statistical evidence before this Board relating to
the award of contracts subject to this Ordinance for fiscal year
1987-1988 reflects that in almost all areas of contracting MBEs
(each ethnic group identified as a minority) and WBEs continue to
be awarded contract dollars that are disproportionately lower
than the available numbers of MBEs and WBEs in San Francisco.
These data are gathered and summarized in Appendix X, which
contains utilization indices described as Tables 1 through 7, and
10 through 11, and is attached to this Ordinance and incorporated
herein by reference as though fully set forth. These utilization
indices measure the disparity between MBEs (as a group and each
ethnic group identified as a minority) or WBE participation in
City prime contracts and their share of their relevant industry
or profession. That disparity is measured in terms of a
statistical significance. When measure of the statistical
significance is minus two (-2) or less, the Board concludes that
the disparity cannot be attributed to chance. Based upon the
weight of the testimony and other evidence before this Board and

1 the Commission in 1983, 1984, 1988 and 1989, the Board finds
2 the statistical disparities can only be attributed to
3 discriminatory procurement practices of the City against MBEs and
4 WBEs.

5 Based on the testimony and other evidence before this Board
6 and the Commission in 1983, 1984, 1988 and 1989, the Board finds
7 that the aforementioned statistical disparities are also
8 attributed to discrimination in the private sector against MBEs
9 and WBEs that is manifested in and perpetuated and exacerbated by
10 the City's procurement practices.

11 12. Consistent with Ordinance No. 139-84, on December 19,
12 1988 the Board of Supervisors adopted legislation which requires
13 the City's Risk Manager to develop uniform insurance requirements
14 for City contracts. On May 2, 1989 the Risk Manager established
15 these uniform standards which are set forth in a document
16 entitled "Contract Insurance Manual". This manual is intended to
17 be a guide for contract administrators to standardize bond and
18 insurance requirements in City contracts.

19 13. Consistent with Ordinance No. 139-84, the Board of
20 Supervisors has also considered whether to: (1) create a special
21 revolving fund to assist newly established MBEs and WBEs to meet
22 bonding and other fee-related requirements; and (2) relax or
23 waive bonding requirements on certain contracts to facilitate
24 MBE/WBE participation. In view of the City's budgetary
25 constraints and the opposition voiced by segments of the local
26 business community, this Board finds that creation of a special
27 revolving fund, or relaxation or waiver of bonding requirements
28 is not feasible at this time.

29 Sec. 12D.3. Declaration Of Policy.

30 It is the policy of the City and County of San Francisco to

SUPERVISORS KENNEDY, WARD, MAHER

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

PAGE NO. 7
05/15/89

1 ensure full and equitable opportunities for minority-owned
2 Enterprises, Woman Business Enterprises, and local businesses to
3 participate as prime contractors in the provision of goods and
4 services to the City. This program is intended to correct
5 identified discriminatory practices inherent in the City's
6 procurement process and in the award of prime contracts to
7 MBE/WBEs and to develop their status and capability as prime
8 contractors of the City. Another goal of this Ordinance is to
9 offset some of the economic disadvantages local businesses
10 continue to face that are not shared by nonlocal businesses.

11 The City will continue to rely on the relationship between
12 the percentages of minority (each ethnic group identified as a
13 minority) and woman owned businesses in the relevant sector of
14 the San Francisco business community and their respective shares
15 of City contract dollars as a measure of the effectiveness of
16 this Ordinance in remedying the effects of the aforementioned
17 discrimination.

18 The City is continuing to use a preference for local
19 business in the award of City contracts in order to encourage
20 business to locate and remain in San Francisco and thereby
21 enhance employment opportunities for persons living in San
22 Francisco. The cost of locating and doing business in San
23 Francisco continues to be as much as 15% and greater than the
24 cost of doing business in the surrounding communities; affording
25 a 5% bid preference for local businesses bidding on City
26 contracts reduces the disadvantages under which City located
27 businesses labor when competing for City contracts. affording
28 them a 5% bid preference makes good sense. In effect the bid
29 preference assists these businesses in contributing to the
30 economic health of the City. The 5% bid preference does not

SUPERVISORS KENNEDY, WARD, MAHER

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

PAGE NO. 8
05/15/89

unduly hamper non-local businesses in the contracting process, and parallels the preferences awarded in many other local jurisdictions.

Sec. 12D.4. Scope.

The race and gender-conscious bid preferences of this Ordinance shall be afforded only to economically disadvantaged minority and woman owned businesses in all specifically enumerated categories of City contracts for the procurement of goods and services subject to exemptions hereinafter specifically enumerated. The local business bid preference shall be afforded to all local businesses in the award of all City contracts for the procurement of goods and services subject to exceptions hereinafter specifically enumerated in Section 12D.13.

Sec. 12D.5. Definitions.

"Award of a Contract" occurs when a contract is certified by the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco.

"Bid" shall mean and include a quotation, proposal, solicitation or offer by a bidder or contractor to perform or provide labor, materials, supplies or services to the City and County of San Francisco for a price.

"Bidder" shall mean any business that submits a quotation, bid or proposal to provide labor, materials, supplies or services to the City and County of San Francisco.

"City" shall mean the City and County of San Francisco.

"Commission" shall mean the Human Rights Commission of the City and County of San Francisco.

"Contract" shall mean and include any agreement between the City and a person to provide or procure labor, materials, supplies or services to, for or on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco. A "contract" shall include an agreement

SUPERVISORS KENNEDY, WARD, MAHER

PAGE NO. 9

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

05/15/89

between the City and a non-profit entity to perform construction-related services. A "contract" does not include: (1) awards made by the City with Federal/State grant or City general fund monies to a non-profit entity where the City offers assistance, guidance, or supervision on a project or program and the recipient of the grant award uses the grant monies to provide services to the community; (2) sales transactions where the City sells its personal or real property; (3) a loan transaction where the City is acting as a debtor or a creditor; (4) lease, franchise, or concession agreements; or (5) agreements to use City real property.

"Contract Awarding Authority" shall mean the City officer, department, commission, employee or board authorized to enter into contracts on behalf of the City.

"Contractor" shall mean any person(s), firm, partnership, corporation, or combination thereof, who submits a bid, performs any part of, agrees with a person to provide services relating to and/or enters into a contract with department heads and officers empowered by law to enter into contracts on the part of the City for public works or improvements to be performed, or for goods and services or supplies to be purchased at the expense of the City or to be paid out of monies deposited in the treasury or out of trust monies under the control of or collected by the City.

"Controlled" for the purposes of determining whether a business is a Minority Business Enterprise, or Women Business Enterprise, shall mean the minority(ies), the woman or combination of minorities and women, as the context requires, shall (1) possess legal authority and power to manage business assets, good will and daily operations of the business; and (2) actively and continuously exercise such authority and power in

SUPERVISORS KENNEDY, WARD, MAHER

PAGE NO. 10

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

05/15/89

determining the policies and directing the operations of the business.

"Director" shall mean the Director of the Human Rights Commission of San Francisco.

"Economically Disadvantaged Business" shall mean a business whose average gross annual receipts in the three fiscal years immediately preceding its application for certification as a MBE or WBE does not exceed the following limits: (1) Public Works/Construction-- \$14 million; (2) Goods/Materials and General Services Suppliers -- \$2 million; (3) Professional Services-- \$2 million.

"Equipment and Supplies Contract" shall mean term purchase agreements, contract orders, purchase orders and any other agreement for the purchase of transportation equipment, office supplies, data processing and office equipment, hospital and medical equipment and supplies, food, building supplies, fire/safety equipment and supplies, clothing, miscellaneous and electrical equipment and supplies.

"General Services Contract" shall mean term purchase agreements, contract orders, purchase orders and any other agreement for the procurement of janitorial, security, equipment and computer maintenance, miscellaneous, printing and graphics services.

"Good Faith Efforts" shall mean the actions undertaken by a department to obtain MBE or WBE participation in a contract, and shall include the following efforts: (1) encouraging MBE/WBES to attend pre-bid meetings, scheduled by a department or the Commission, to inform potential contractors of contracting opportunities; (2) advertising in general circulation media, trade association publications and minority/woman business focus

SUPERVISORS KENNEDY, WARD, MAHER

PAGE NO. 11

05/15/89

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

media; (3) notifying MBE/WBES who are available to perform the work contemplated in a contract, soliciting their interest in the contract; (4) dividing the contract work into economically feasible units to facilitate MBE/WBE participation in the contract; (5) pursuing solicitations of interest by contacting MBE/WBES to determine whether these businesses are interested in participating on the contract; (6) providing MBE/WBES with adequate information about the plan, specifications and requirements of the contract; (7) where applicable, negotiating with MBE/WBES in good faith and demonstrating that MBE/WBES were not rejected as unqualified without sound reasons based on a thorough investigation of their capabilities; and (8) using the services of available community and contractors' groups, local, state or federal minority and woman business assistance offices that provide assistance in the recruitment of MBE/WBES for public sector contracts.

"Human Rights Commission" (HRC) shall mean the Human Rights Commission of San Francisco, consisting of Commissioners appointed by the Mayor; hereinafter, it shall be referred to as the Commission.

"Joint venture" shall mean and may be referred to as an "Association" of two or more businesses acting as a contractor and performing or providing services on a contract, in which each joint venture or association partner combines property, capital, efforts, skill, and/or knowledge.

"Local Business" or "Local Business Enterprise (LBE)" shall mean a business firm: (1) with fixed offices or distribution points located within the geographical boundaries of the City and County of San Francisco; (2) listed in the Permits and License Tax Paid file with a San Francisco business street address; and

SUPERVISORS KENNEDY, WARD, MAHER

PAGE NO. 12

05/15/89

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

(3) which possesses a current Business Tax Registration

Certificate at the time of the application for certification as a local business. Post Office box numbers or residential addresses shall not suffice to establish status as a "Local Business". To qualify as a "Local Business" or "LBE" a business must establish that it has been located and doing business in San Francisco for at least six months preceding its application for certification as a local business.

"Minority", "Minorities", or "Minority person" shall mean members of one of the following ethnic groups: Asians (defined as Chinese, Japanese, Koreans, Pacific Islanders, Samoans, Filipinos, and Southeast Asians), Blacks, and Latinos (defined as Mexicans, Puerto Ricans, Cubans, Central or South Americans).

"Minority Business Enterprise (MBE)" shall mean an economically disadvantaged local business which is an independent and continuing business for profit, performs a commercially useful function, and is owned and controlled by one or more minority persons residing in the United States or its territories.

"Office" or "Offices" shall mean a fixed and established place where work is carried on of a clerical, administrative, professional or production nature directly pertinent to the business being certified. A temporary location or movable property or one that was established to oversee a project such as a construction project office does not qualify as an "Office" under the Ordinance.

"Owned", for purposes of determining whether a business is a minority business enterprise or woman business enterprise, shall mean that the minorities or women as the context requires, shall possess an ownership interest of over fifty percent (50%)

/ /

SUPERVISORS KENNEDY, WARD, MAHER

PAGE NO. 13

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
05/15/89

of the business, and shall:

1. Possess incidents of ownership, such as an interest in profit and loss, equal to at least the required ownership interest percentage; and
2. Contribute capital, equipment and expertise to the business equal to at least the required ownership percentage.

Ownership of an individual seeking MBE or WBE certification shall be measured as though the applicant's ownership is not subject to the community property interest of a spouse, if both spouses certify that (a) only the woman or minority spouse participates in the management of the business and (b) the non-participating spouse relinquishes control over his/her community property interest in the subject business; or both spouses have bona fide management and control of the business.

"Participation Goals" shall mean the targeted levels of City wide MBE/WBE participation in City prime contracts that reflect the relevant share of MBEs or WBEs in a given industry or profession referred to as "percent availability" in the utilization indices contained in Appendix X to this Ordinance.

"Percent Availability" see "Participation Goals"

"Person" includes one or more individuals, partnerships, associations, organizations, trade or professional associations, corporations, cooperatives, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in bankruptcy, receivers, or any group of persons, including any official, agent or employee of the City and County of San Francisco.

"Professional Services Contract" shall mean agreements for the procurement of legal, architect/engineer, computer systems, management consulting, and medical services.

"Woman Business Enterprise (WBE)" shall mean an economically disadvantaged local business which is an independent

SUPERVISORS KENNEDY, WARD, MAHER

PAGE NO. 14

05/15/89

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

1 and continuing business for profit, performs a commercially
2 useful function and is owned and controlled by one or more women
3 residing in the United States or its territories

4 "Woman/Minority Man Business Enterprise (W/MBE)" shall mean
5 an economically disadvantaged local business which meets the
6 definition of an MBE or WBE, except that the aggregate ownership
7 interest of the woman and the minority man equals or exceeds over
8 fifty percent (50%) of the business. An W/MBE shall qualify and
9 be deemed by a department an MBE or WBE, but not both, for
10 purposes of this Ordinance. Any reference in this Ordinance to
11 MBE or WBE includes a W/MBE.

12 Sec. 12D.6. Powers and Duties of the Commission and the
13 Director.

14 (A) In addition to the duties and powers given to the
15 Human Rights Commission elsewhere, the Commission shall:

16 1. collect and analyze relevant data which will assist
17 the Board of Supervisors in determining whether race or
18 gender-conscious remedies are appropriate and necessary for
19 contracts not subject to the race and gender-conscious bid
20 preferences of this Ordinance. The Commission shall periodically
21 report the results of this study to this Board;

22 2. levy sanctions as specified in Section 12D.8.(B) 7.;

23 3. when necessary, subpoena persons and records, books

24 and documents for a proceeding of the Commission or an
25 investigation by the Director conducted to further the purposes
26 of this Ordinance;

27 4. amend existing rules and regulations establishing
28 standards and procedures for effectively carrying out this
29 Ordinance. The rules and regulations shall provide for
30 administrative procedures which will allow a business to prove

SUPERVISORS KENNEDY, WARD, MAHER

PAGE NO. 15

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

05/15/89

1 and the Commission to recommend to this Board that the
2 Ordinance's remedial measures should not be applied to an
3 industry or profession because MBE/MBE participation in City
4 prime contracts has reached parity with their numbers in the
5 relevant business community and MBE/MBEs no longer suffer from a
6 discrimination induced competitive disadvantage in the applicable
7 industry or profession.

8 (B) In addition to the duties and powers given to the
9 Director elsewhere, the Director shall:

10 1. through appropriately promulgated procedures,
11 certify businesses as bona fide MBEs/MBEs/LBEs. These procedures
12 shall provide that any business seeking certification as a local
13 business shall meet the definition of a LBE and possess or
14 establish all of the following: (1) business cards for the San
15 Francisco office; (2) business stationery for the San Francisco
16 office; (3) written agreement for occupancy of the San Francisco
17 office; (4) that the business is listed in an appropriate
18 business buyers guide such as a telephone yellow pages; (5) that
19 business is transacted in the San Francisco office; (6) a
20 conspicuously displayed business sign at the San Francisco
21 business premises; and (7) the office is appropriately equipped
22 for the type of business for which certification as a LBE is
23 sought.

24 If the Director denies an application for certification as
25 a MBE, WBE, LBE or a business' certification as a MBE, WBE, LBE
26 is revoked by the Director because the business is not a bona
27 fide MBE, WBE, LBE, the aggrieved business may reapply to the
28 Director for certification six months after the denial or
29 revocation. Except as provided in Section 12D.14.(C), the
30 Director's denial or revocation of certification as a MBE, WBE,

SUPERVISORS KENNEDY, WARD, MAHER

PAGE NO. 16

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

05/15/89

2. annually, and more often if he deems necessary, analyze the most recently available data on "percent availability" of MBEs and WBEs in the various industries and professions identified in the utilization indices set forth in Appendix X to this Ordinance. Applying statistically sound methods of analysis, the Director shall identify areas of contracting where the City or its departments are failing to meet the participation goals to such an extent that an inference of discrimination can be made. In addition, the Director shall identify areas of contracting where the City is meeting and/or exceeding participation goals to such an extent that the MBE or WBE bid preferences can no longer be justified. The results of this study shall be included in the Commission's annual report required by Section 12D.15. (C).

Not later than March 1st of each fiscal year, the Director shall transmit to the Board of Supervisors proposed amendments to this Ordinance and the utilization indices necessitated by the data he has collected and analyzed;

3. by July 1 of each fiscal year subject to this Ordinance, inform the Controller of the data each department is required to provide the Controller on each contract award. This data shall form the basis of the Commission's report to the Mayor, Board of Supervisors and Chief Administrative Officer and the public on the participation of MBEs and WBEs on City prime contracts subject to the Ordinance;

4. provide information and other assistance to MBEs and WBEs to increase their ability to compete effectively for the award of City contracts;

5. assist the City to increase participation by MBEs

SUPERVISORS KENNEDY, WARD, MAHER

PAGE NO. 17

05/15/89

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12

and WBEs in City contracts;
6. continue to develop and strengthen education and training programs for MBEs and WBEs and City contract awarding personnel;

(C) The requirements of this Ordinance are separate from those imposed by the United States or the State of California as a condition of financial assistance or otherwise; however, the Director may authorize the substitution of such State or Federal Minority Business Enterprise and Women Business Enterprise requirements for the requirements of this Ordinance whenever such State or Federal requirements are substantially the same as those of this Ordinance.

(D) The Director, with the approval of the Commission, may enter into cooperative agreements with agencies, public and private, concerned with increasing the utilization of MBEs and WBEs in government contracting, subject to the approval of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

SEC. 12D.7. Powers and Duties of the Controller.

(A) In addition to the duties given to the Controller elsewhere, the Controller shall work cooperatively with the Director to assemble and maintain the data the Director advises are necessary to form the basis of the Commission's report to the Mayor, Board of Supervisors, Chief Administrative Officer and the public on the participation of MBEs and WBEs in City prime contracts.

(B) The Controller shall not certify the award of any contract subject to this Ordinance until the department requesting certification of the award of the contract has provided the Controller with the information the Director advises is necessary under this Ordinance.

SUPERVISORS KENNEDY, WARD, MAHER

PAGE NO. 18

05/15/89

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12

Contract Awarding Authorities.

(A) In addition to the duties given to the Mayor elsewhere, the Mayor shall:

1. by July 1 of each fiscal year subject to this Ordinance, issue notices to all City departments informing them of their duties under this Ordinance. The notice shall contain the following information: (1) the City wide MBE/MBE participation goals that departments are expected to use good faith efforts to attain during the fiscal year and that a department's failure to use good faith efforts to attain the MBE/MBE participation goals shall be reported to the Board of Supervisors and Chief Administrative Officer in the Commission's annual report; and (2) the date each department is required to provide the Controller on each contract award;
2. pursuant to Charter Section 3.100, coordinate and enforce cooperation and compliance by all departments with this Ordinance.

(B) Contract awarding authorities or in the case of a professional services contract, the department making the contract award recommendation, shall:

1. use good faith efforts to solicit and obtain quotes, bids or proposals from MBEs and WBEs on all solicitations, or document their unavailability;
2. unless otherwise indicated in this Ordinance and except where prohibited by State or Federal law or regulation, extend a preference in all bids and contracts and in the composition of rating scales as follows: (1) a five percent (5%) preference to (1) a local business or (1) a joint venture with local MBE or local WBE participation which equals or exceeds

SUPERVISORS KENNEDY, WARD, MAHER

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

thirty-five percent (35%) but is under fifty-one percent (51%);
(2) a ten percent (10%) preference to (1) a local MBE or local MBE or (1) a joint venture with local MBE or local WBE participation which equals or exceeds fifty-one percent (51%).

A joint venture shall receive a bid preference when the MBE or WBE partner is responsible for a clearly defined portion of the work to be performed, and shares in the ownership, control, management responsibilities, risks, and profits of the joint venture. The portion of the MBE or WBE joint venturer's work shall be set forth in detail separately from the work to be performed by the non-MBE or non-WBE joint venture partner. The MBE or WBE joint venturer's portion of the contract must be assigned a commercially reasonable dollar value;

3. arrange contracting by size and type of work to be performed so as most effectively to enhance the opportunity for participation by MBEs and WBEs to the maximum extent feasible;

4. adjust bid bonding and insurance requirements as recommended by the City Risk Manager in his May 2, 1989 "Contract Insurance Manual";

5. utilize a revolving fund as may be established by the City to assist MBEs and WBEs to meet bonding, insurance and other fee-related requirements;

6. submit to a central office all current bids, requests for proposals, and solicitations with sufficient lead time to provide adequate notice and opportunity to MBEs and WBEs to participate;

7. impose such sanctions or take such other actions as are designed to ensure compliance with the provisions of this Ordinance, which shall include, but are not limited to:
(a) refusal to grant the award of a contract;

SUPERVISORS KENNEDY, WARD, MAHER

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

2 (c) order the withholding of funds;
3 (d) order the revision of a contract based upon a
4 material breach of contract provisions
5 pertaining to MBE or WBE participation;
6 (e) disqualification of a bidder, contractor,
7 subcontractor, or other business from eligibility
8 for providing goods or services to the City
9 for a period not to exceed five years, with
10 a right to review and reconsideration by the
11 Commission after two years upon a showing of
12 corrective action indicating violations are not
13 likely to reoccur; and

14 8. not award any contract to a person or business which
15 is disqualified from doing business with the City under the
16 provisions of this Ordinance, nor shall any contract be awarded
17 to any person or business which is disqualified from doing
18 business with any governmental agency based on failure to comply
19 with Minority or Women Business Enterprise or contract compliance
20 requirements which are substantially the same as those of this
21 Ordinance.

22 9. designate a staff person to be responsible for
23 responding to the Director and Commission and to the requirements
24 of this Ordinance.

25 10. maintain accurate records for each contract
26 awarded, its dollar value, the nature of the goods or services to
27 be provided, the name of the contractor awarded the contract, the
28 efforts made by a construction, architect/engineer contractor to
29 solicit bids from and award subcontracts to MBEs and WBEs.

30 / /

SUPERVISORS KENNEDY, WARD, MAHER

PAGE NO. 21

05/15/89

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

11. where feasible, provide technical assistance to
MBEs and WBEs to increase their ability to compete effectively
for the award of City contracts.

(C) Subject to the prior approval of the Director,
contract awarding authorities or departments may invite,
encourage or request businesses to joint venture on any contract
to promote MBE or WBE participation.

(D) For the purpose of determining Minority and Women
Business Enterprise participation:

Contracts awarded to joint ventures in which one or more
MBEs or WBEs are combined with one or more businesses which are
not Minority or Women Business Enterprises shall be deemed to be
awarded to Minority or Women Business Enterprises only to the
extent of the Minority or Women Business Enterprises
participation in the joint venture.

(E) All contracts subject to this Ordinance shall include
the following requirements, in addition to such other
requirements as may be set forth elsewhere:

1. bidders and contractors on all contracts shall be
required to sign before a notary an affidavit prepared by the
City Attorney, declaring their intention fully to comply with the
provisions of the Ordinance;

2. contracts shall incorporate this Ordinance by
reference and shall provide that the willful failure of any bidder
or contractor to comply with any of its requirements shall be
deemed a material breach of contract;

3. contracts shall provide that in the event that the
Director finds that any bidder or contractor willfully fails to
comply with any of the provisions of this Ordinance, rules and

/ /

SUPERVISORS KENNEDY, WARD, MAHER

PAGE NO. 22

05/15/89

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

1 regulations implementing the Ordinance or contract provisions
2 pertaining to MBE or WBE participation the bidder or contractor
3 shall be liable for liquidated damages for each contract in an
4 amount equal to the bidder's or contractor's net profit on the
5 contract, or ten percent (10%) of the total amount of the
6 contract or one thousand dollars (\$1,000) whichever is greatest,
7 said amount to be determined by the Director pursuant to Section
8 12D.14.(C). All contracts shall also contain a provision in
9 which the bidder or contractor acknowledges and agrees that the
10 liquidated damages assessed shall be payable to the City upon
11 demand and may be set off against any monies due to the bidder or
12 contractor from any contract with the City.

13 (F) All contracts or other agreements between the City and
14 County of San Francisco and entities, public or private, where
15 such entities receive money from or through the City for the
16 purpose of contracting with businesses to perform public
17 improvements, shall require such agencies to comply with the
18 provisions of this Ordinance in awarding and administering such
19 contracts, except where prohibited by State or Federal law or
20 regulation.

21 Sec. 12D.9. Additional Findings Supporting Race and

22 Gender-Conscious Bid Preferences -- Public Works/Construction.

23 (A) In addition to the General Findings set forth in
24 Section 12D.2., and based upon the record before this Board, the
25 Board hereby makes these additional findings in support of the
26 race and gender-conscious bid preference provisions for public
27 works/construction contracts:
28 1. In Ordinance No. 139-84 this Board identified
29 discriminatory procurement practices against MBEs and WBEs in the
30 award of prime public works/construction contracts.

SUPERVISORS KENNEDY, WARD, MAHER

PAGE NO. 23

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

05/15/89

1 2. The evidence before this Board relating to the award of
2 prime public works/construction contracts for fiscal year
3 1987-1988 reflects that MBEs (each ethnic group identified as a
4 minority) and WBEs continue to be awarded contract dollars in
5 amounts that are disproportionately lower than the available
6 number of MBE and WBE prime public works/construction contractors
7 willing and able to perform City construction work. These
8 results cannot be attributed to chance. In light of the
9 testimony before this Board and the Commission in 1983, 1984,
10 1986 and 1989, this Board finds that these results can only be
11 attributed to discriminatory procurement practices.

12 3. The evidence before this Board supports the conclusion
13 that MBEs and WBEs continue to be disadvantaged by discriminatory
14 practices when competing for City prime construction contracts.

15 4. Race-neutral measures employed by the City in the past
16 for those construction contracts subject to the "lowest,
17 reliable, responsible bidder" standard did not prevent the
18 discriminatory practices from occurring.

19 5. The Board is granting a competitive advantage, the bid
20 preference, to MBEs and WBEs to offset the identified competitive
21 disadvantage caused by the City's discriminatory procurement
22 practices.

23 (B) For all public works/construction contracts, the
24 contract awarding authority shall furnish the Director with an
25 informational copy of all bid conditions and requests for
26 proposals, along with a statement identifying all funds provided
27 by any other governmental agency which will be used in payment of
28 the contract.

29 / / /
30 / / /

SUPERVISORS KENNEDY, WARD, MAHER

PAGE NO. 24

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

05/15/89

in connection with a public works/construction contract are governed by Section 12D.11.

Sec. 12D.10. Additional Findings Supporting Race and Gender-Conscious Bid Preferences -- Purchasing Contracts.

(A) In addition to the General Findings set forth in Section 12D.2, and based upon the record before this Board, the Board hereby makes these additional findings in support of the race and gender-conscious bid preferences for purchasing contracts:

1. In Ordinance No. 139-84 this Board identified discriminatory procurement practices in the award of purchasing contracts.

2. The evidence before this Board relating to the award of contracts for fiscal year 1987-1988 reflects that MBEs (each ethnic group listed as a minority) and WBEs continue to be awarded contract dollars in certain categories of purchasing contracts in dollar amounts that are disproportionately lower than the available number of MBEs and WBEs in the private sector. These results cannot be attributed to chance. In light of the testimony before this Board and the Commission in 1983, 1984, 1988 and 1989, this Board finds that these results can only be attributed to discriminatory procurement practices.

3. The evidence before this Board supports the conclusion that MBEs and WBEs continue to be disadvantaged by discriminatory practices when competing for the aforementioned purchasing contracts.

/ / /
/ / /
/ / /

past for those purchasing contracts subject to the "lowest, reliable, responsible bidder" standard did not prevent the discriminatory practices from occurring.

5. The Board is granting a competitive advantage, the bid preference, to MBEs and WBEs to offset the identified competitive disadvantage caused by the City's discriminatory procurement practices.

(B) Equipment and supplies contracts or general services contracts as defined herein awarded by the Purchaser shall be subject to the race and gender-conscious bid preferences of this Ordinance.

(C) In addition to the duties given the Purchaser elsewhere, the Purchaser shall maintain, with the assistance of the Director, a current list of Minority and Woman Business Enterprises certified by the Commission to provide each of those commodities or services subject to this Ordinance which the Purchaser indicates are required by the City. The Purchaser shall notify the Director prior to solicitation of bids or quotations whenever no such certified businesses are available for a contract subject to the race and gender-conscious bid preferences of this Ordinance, unless the Director waives such notification based on the known unavailability of such qualified businesses to perform a particular contract. The Director shall attempt to identify qualified businesses, and if successful, shall notify the Purchaser of their availability; the Purchaser shall provide such MBEs and WBEs every practical opportunity to submit bids or quotations.

/ / /
/ / /

(U) The Purchaser shall have the right to reject any and all bids, requests for proposals and solicitations will be where all bids, requests for proposals and solicitations will be listed and kept current.

Sec. 12D.11. Additional Findings Supporting the Race and Gender-Conscious Bid Preferences -- Consultants and Professional Services.

(A) In addition to the General Findings set forth in Section 12D.2, and based upon the record before this Board, the Board hereby makes additional findings in support of the race and gender-conscious bid preferences for the following specifically enumerated professional services contracts: legal, architect and engineer, computer systems, management consulting, medical services.

1. In Ordinance No. 139-84 this Board identified discriminatory procurement practices against MBEs and WBEs in the award of prime professional services contracts.

2. The evidence before this Board relating to the award of prime legal services contracts for fiscal year 1987-1988 reflects that Black law firms continue to be awarded contract dollars in amounts that are disproportionately lower than the available numbers of Black law firms willing and able to perform legal services for the City. These results cannot be attributed to chance. This Board finds that these results can only be attributed to discriminatory procurement practices.

The statistical evidence before this Board reflects that there are few Asian, Black and Latino owned law firms certified by the Commission to provide legal services to the City. An April 1988 San Francisco Bar Association study concludes that there is significant disparity between equally qualified white and minority lawyers in terms of income, current employment

SUPERVISORS KENNEDY, WARD, MAHER

PAGE NO. 27

05/15/89

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

positions, hiring, promotion and retention in San Francisco. The study also concludes that a high percentage of white and minority lawyers believe that racial discrimination plays a role in the employment practices of San Francisco legal employers. In view of this study, the Board finds that minority lawyers are excluded from employment opportunities due to discriminatory employment practices in San Francisco. These employment practices prevent minority lawyers from gaining the necessary experience that would enable minority lawyers to compete for City legal services contracts. The City in its award of legal services contracts will become a passive participant in those practices.

The City is granting Asian, Black and Latino owned law firms a bid preference to encourage majority law firms to joint venture with these minority owned law firms when competing for the award of City contracts for legal services.

3. The evidence before this Board relating to the award of prime architect and engineering contracts for fiscal year 1987-1988 reflects that Black and woman owned architectural/engineering firms continue to be awarded contract dollars in amounts that are disproportionately lower than the available numbers of Black and woman owned architectural/engineering firms willing and able to perform these services for the City. These results cannot be attributed to chance. This Board finds that these results can only be attributed to discriminatory procurement practices.

4. The evidence before this Board relating to the award of prime computer systems services contracts for fiscal year 1987-1988 reflects that Asian, Black and woman owned computer systems firms continue to be awarded contract dollars in amounts that are disproportionately lower than the available

SUPERVISORS KENNEDY, WARD, MAHER

PAGE NO. 28

05/15/89

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

2 willing and able to perform these services for the City. These
3 results cannot be attributed to chance. This Board finds that
4 these results can only be attributed to discriminatory
5 procurement practices.

6 5. The evidence before this Board relating to the
7 award of prime management consulting services contracts for
8 fiscal year 1987-1988 reflects that Asian, Black and women-owned
9 management consulting firms continue to be awarded contract
10 dollars in amounts that are disproportionately lower than the
11 available numbers of Asian, Black and woman owned management
12 consulting firms willing and able to perform these services for
13 the City. These results cannot be attributed to chance. This
14 Board finds that these results can only be attributed to
15 discriminatory procurement practices.

16 6. The evidence before this Board relating to the
17 award of prime medical services contracts for fiscal year
18 1987-1988 reflects that Asian, Latino and woman owned medical
19 services firms continue to be awarded contract dollars in amounts
20 that are disproportionately lower than the available numbers of
21 Asian, Latino and woman owned medical services firms willing and
22 able to perform these services for the City. These results
23 cannot be attributed to chance. This Board finds that these
24 results can only be attributed to discriminatory procurement
25 practices.

26 7. The evidence before this Board supports the
27 conclusion that aforementioned MBEs and WBEs are disadvantaged by
28 discriminatory practices when competing for City prime
29 professional services contracts.

30 8. The Board is granting a competitive advantage, the

1 bid preference, to the MBEs and WBEs identified as having been
2 subject to the identified competitive disadvantage caused by the
3 City's discriminatory procurement practices in the award of the
4 aforementioned professional services contracts.

5 (B) For all professional services contracts as defined
6 herein, the contract awarding authority or the department making
7 the contract award recommendation shall furnish the Director with
8 an informational copy of all bid conditions and requests for
9 proposals, if any, along with a statement identifying all funds
10 provided by any other governmental agency which will be used in
11 payment of the contract. Prior to solicitation of bids or
12 proposals, the Director may make recommendations to the contract
13 awarding authority with respect to provisions pertaining to MBE
14 and WBE participation.

15 (C) Professional Services contracts, the estimated cost of
16 which exceeds ten thousand dollars (\$10,000), shall be awarded
17 and administered in accordance with the following standards and
18 procedures:

19 1. The contract awarding authority or the department
20 making the contract award recommendation shall use good faith
21 efforts to solicit bids or proposals from MBEs and WBEs certified
22 to provide the specified services. MBEs and WBEs shall be
23 provided every practical opportunity to submit bids or proposals;
24 2. City departments shall include amongst consultant
25 selection panelists individuals who are women and minorities.

26 3. All consultant selection panels and awarding
27 officers shall apply the bid/rating preferences to each stage of
28 the selection process, e.g. qualifications, proposals and
29 interviews. Each evaluator shall score each consultant on a
30 / / /

point system based on a predetermined evaluation criteria and predetermined point value. The bid/rating preference shall be applied to the score attained by the MBE, WBE, and/or LBE as set forth in this Ordinance. If the highest score is attained by a MBE or WBE, the department shall enter into good faith negotiations with that consultant. Subject to the prior approval of the Director and upon a showing that those negotiations were undertaken in good faith with the aforementioned MBE or WBE consultant, a department may award the contract to another competing consultant.

Sec. 12D.12. Good Faith Efforts Required for Other

Contracts.

(A) All City and County departments, commissions, boards, officers and employees, in the deposit of City funds and performance of their other official duties, and in the award of leases, franchises, concessions, and contracts not subject to the race and gender-conscious bid preferences of this Ordinance shall make every good faith effort to use the services of Minority Business Enterprises and Women Business Enterprises. Such services shall include, but are not limited to, the financial services of banks, savings and loan companies and other commercial financial institutions, arrangement of travel and accommodations when traveling on official City business and such other services needed by City departments. Commissions and boards shall submit to the Director on an annual basis a written report on the efforts made pursuant to this subsection.

(B) The City Treasurer, the Controller, the Health Service System and the Retirement Board shall report annually to the Director, with copies to the Mayor or the Chief Administrative Officer, their utilization of MBEs and WBEs.

SUPERVISORS KENNEDY, WARD, MAHER

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

PAGE NO. 31

05/15/89

Sec. 12D.13. Exceptions and Waivers.

(A) The Director shall waive the race and gender-conscious bid preferences and good faith efforts requirements of this Ordinance under the following circumstances:

1. whenever the Director finds, with the advice of the contract awarding authority, that needed goods or services are available only from a sole source which is not a MBE or WBE, and the prospective contractor is not currently disqualified from doing business with the City, or from doing business with any governmental agency based on a failure to comply with Minority or Women Business Enterprise or contract compliance requirements;

2. if the contract awarding authority certifies in writing to the Director that: (1) pursuant to Administrative Code Section 6.30 the contract is necessary to respond to an emergency which endangers the public health or safety and (2) there is no time to apply the bid preference(s) and no MBEs or WBEs capable of performing the emergency work are immediately available; provided that such certification shall be made prior to the Controller's contract certification.

(B) The Director shall waive the five percent (5%) LBE bid preference for contracts in excess of five million dollars (\$5,000,000) whenever a contract awarding authority establishes that: (1) sufficient qualified Local Business Enterprises capable of providing the needed goods and services required by the contract are unavailable and (2) sufficient qualified businesses located outside of San Francisco capable of providing the needed goods and services required by the contract are available; or (3) the application of the five percent (5%) LBE preference will result in significant additional costs to the City if the waiver of the bid preference is not granted.

SUPERVISORS KENNEDY, WARD, MAHER

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

PAGE NO. 32

05/15/89

1
2 (A) The Director shall monitor the City's progress toward
3 achievement of the goals stated in Section 12D.3. (Declaration of
4 Policy). The Director shall issue an exit report for any
5 contract which includes MBE/WBE prime contractor participation as
6 a joint venture partner. The purpose of the exit report is to
7 ensure that MBE/WBEs are actually performing services on joint
8 ventures.

9 (B) Non Compliance By Contractors

10 In cases where the Director has cause to believe that a
11 contractor acting in good faith has failed to comply with any of
12 the requirements of this Ordinance, rules and regulations adopted
13 pursuant to this Ordinance, or Contract provisions pertaining to
14 MBE or WBE participation, the Director shall notify the contract
15 awarding authority and shall attempt to resolve the noncompliance
16 through conciliation. If the noncompliance cannot be resolved,
17 the Director shall submit to the Commission and the contractor a
18 written Finding of Noncompliance. The Human Rights Commission
19 shall give the contractor an opportunity to appeal the Finding,
20 and if the Commission concurs with the finding of the Director,
21 it shall take such action as will effectuate the purposes of this
22 Ordinance.

23 (C) Wilful or Bad Faith Non Compliance by Contractors

24 In cases where the Director has cause to believe that any
25 bidder or contractor has wilfully failed to comply with any of
26 the provisions of this Ordinance, rules and regulations adopted
27 pursuant to this Ordinance, or contract provisions pertaining to
28 MBE or WBE participation, the Director shall be empowered to
29 conduct an investigation and after affording the contractor
30 / /

SUPERVISORS KENNEDY, WARD, MAHER PAGE NO. 33

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
05/15/89

notice and an opportunity to be heard, may impose sanctions for
each violation of this subsection. Such sanctions shall include
but are not limited to:

- (a) declare the bidder or contractor non responsive and
ineligible to receive the award of the contract;
(b) declare the bidder or contractor an irresponsible
bidder and disqualify the bidder or contractor from eligibility
for providing goods or services to the City and County for a
period of five years, with a right of review and reconsideration
by the Commission after two years upon a showing of corrective
action indicating violations are not likely to reoccur;

(c) if the bidder or contractor is a MBE, WBE and/or
LBE, revoke that business' certification as a MBE, WBE and/or LBE;

(d) determine that the bidder or contractor has
wilfully failed to comply with the provisions of this Ordinance
and pursuant to the provision in the contract contemplated by
Section 12D.8. (E) 3. of the Ordinance, calculate the liquidated
damages for which the bidder or contractor shall be liable.

Thereafter the Director shall send a written notice to the
Controller, the Mayor and to all contract awarding authorities or
City department officials overseeing any contract with the bidder
or contractor that a determination of a bad faith compliance has
been made and that all payments due the bidder or contractor
shall be withheld as agreed to by the bidder or contractor and
the City pursuant to Section 12D.8. (E) 3.

In addition, the Director shall transmit to the Bureau of
Delinquent Revenues a report of the determination of liability
and ask the Bureau of Delinquent Revenues to coordinate efforts
with the Controller and other applicable City departments to
ensure that the liquidated damages are paid to the City.

SUPERVISORS KENNEDY, WARD, MAHER PAGE NO. 34

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
05/15/89

to the Human Rights Commission, which may sustain, reverse or modify the Director's findings and sanctions imposed or take such other action as will effectuate the purposes of this Ordinance. An appeal by a contractor under this subsection shall not stay the Director's findings.

(D) The Director may require such reports, information and documentation from contractors, bidders, contract awarding authorities and the head of any department, division, or office of the City as are reasonably necessary to determine compliance with the requirements of this Ordinance.

(E) Non-Compliance by City Departments

Whenever the Director finds after investigation that a contract awarding authority has willfully failed to comply with the provisions of this Ordinance, a written finding of Noncompliance specifying the nature of the noncompliance shall be transmitted to the contract awarding authority, the Commission the Mayor and Board of Supervisors; and

The Director shall attempt to resolve any noncompliance through conference and conciliation. Should such attempt fail to resolve the noncompliance, the Director shall transmit a copy of the finding of Noncompliance along with a finding that conciliation was attempted and failed to the Commission which shall notify the contract awarding agency to take appropriate action to secure compliance.

The finding of Noncompliance shall be communicated to the Mayor or the Chief Administrative Officer and the Board of Supervisors.

(F) If the Director has reason to believe that any person has knowingly made, filed, or caused to be filed with the City

SUPERVISORS KENNEDY, WARD, MAHER

PAGE NO. 35

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

05/15/89

any materially false or misleading statement or report made in connection with this Ordinance, the Director shall report that information to the City Attorney or the District Attorney for appropriate action. The Director shall be empowered to conduct an investigation and for each violation of this subsection, 12D.14. (F), to impose sanctions as set forth in Section 12D.14. (C).

Sec 12D.15. Reporting and Review.

(A) Reporting by Departments

By December 31 of each fiscal year all contract awarding authorities and departments shall report annually to the Mayor on their progress in the preceding fiscal year toward achievement of the MBE and WBE participation goals.

(B) Reporting by the Director

1. The Director shall report quarterly to the Commission whether the goals stated in Section 12D.3. have been met in whole or in part.

2. The Director shall report to the Commission all waivers granted pursuant to Section 12D.13. Such report shall be made at the first Commission meeting following the granting of the waiver.

(C) Reporting by the Commission

By March 1 of each fiscal year subject to this Ordinance, the Commission shall submit an annual report to the Mayor, Board of Supervisors and Chief Administrative Officer on the progress of the City toward the goals stated in Section 12D.3. of this Ordinance, together with an identification of problems and specific recommendations for: (1) discontinuing the race or gender-conscious bid preferences in those cases where the bid preferences have remedied the identified discrimination

SUPERVISORS KENNEDY, WARD, MAHER

PAGE NO. 36

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

05/15/89

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

against MBEs and WBEs, and (4) improving the City's performance
in remedying the identified discrimination against MBEs and WBEs.
(D) The Board of Supervisors shall act upon the
Commission's recommendations by the third Board meeting of May in
each fiscal year subject to this Ordinance.

(E) Review by the Commission

This Ordinance shall expire June 30, 1992 unless the
Commission, after conducting public hearings, finds that the
purposes identified in Section 12D.3. have not yet been achieved,
in which case it shall certify said finding to the Board of
Supervisors no later than 120 days prior to the expiration date.
Thereafter the Board of Supervisors may extend the Ordinance for
additional three year periods.

Sec. 12D.16. Implementing Regulations.

Not later than thirty (30) days after the enactment of this
Ordinance, the Commission shall adopt amendments to the rules,
regulations and procedures it adopted and publicly promulgated
pursuant to Ordinance 139-84. The Commission shall afford the
public and City departments the opportunity to provide input to
and comment on the amendments to the regulations prior to their
formal adoption.

Sec. 12D.17. Severability.

The provisions of this Ordinance are declared to be
separate and severable. The invalidity of any clause, sentence,
paragraph, subdivision, section or portion of this Ordinance, or
the invalidity of the application thereof to any person or
circumstances shall not affect the validity of the remainder of

/ / /
/ / /
/ / /

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30


this Ordinance, or the validity of its application to other
persons or circumstances.
Sec. 12D.18. Effective Date.
This Ordinance shall take effect on July 1, 1989.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE
CITY ATTORNEY

By: *Louise H. Renne*
Deputy City Attorney

3990g/4694g



MBE/WBE/LBE ORDINANCE -- II

TO

APPENDIX X




TABLE 1

CONSTRUCTION AND FACILITIES MAINTENANCE: PRIME CONTRACTS
CITY OF SAN FRANCISCO

UTILIZATION INDEXES

	ASIAN	BLACK	FILIPINO	HISPANIC	AMER ALASKAN	MINORITY TOTAL	NON- MINORITY TOTAL	LRF'S # Firms	FEMALE
Percent of contract dollars	4.3%	2.2%	1.1%	3.4%	0.0%	11.1%	88.8%	100.0%	1.2%
Percent availability	23.6%	13.3%	1.7%	11.0%	0.0%	48.5%	50.5%	100.0%	7.0%
Contract dollar utilization index	10.1%	10.9%	65.6%	31.2%	---	22.3%	178.1%	100.0%	17.4%
Statistical significance	-6.372	-4.557	-0.622	-3.378	---	-10.708	10.708	---	-3.168
Number of contracts									186

Note on statistical significance: -2.0 or less (more negative) shows statistically significant disparity
Number greater than -2.0 (less negative) is not generally considered statistically significant

1	2	3
4	5	6
7	8	9
10	11	12
13	14	15
16	17	18
19	20	21
22	23	24
25	26	27
28	29	30
31	32	33
34	35	36
37	38	39
40	41	42
43	44	45
46	47	48
49	50	51
52	53	54
55	56	57
58	59	60
61	62	63
64	65	66
67	68	69
70	71	72
73	74	75
76	77	78
79	80	81
82	83	84
85	86	87
88	89	90
91	92	93
94	95	96
97	98	99
100	101	102

Appendix X-2

TABLE 1.A

CONSTRUCTION AND FACILITIES MAINTENANCE: PRIME CONTRACTS
CITY OF SAN FRANCISCO
(Census Data)

UTILIZATION INDEXES

	ASIAN	BLACK	FILIPINO	HISPANIC	NAT. AMER.	MINORITY		TOTAL	FEMALE
						TOTAL	MINORITY		
Percent of contract dollars	5.4%	2.2%	*	3.4%	*	11.1%	88.0%	100.0%	1.2%
Percent availability	27.7%	8.5%	*	10.7%	*	46.9%	53.1%	100.0%	29.2%
Contract dollar utilization index	19.4%	26.3%	*	32.1%	*	23.6%	167.5%	100.0%	4.1%
Statistical significance	-6.984	-3.152	*	-3.283	*	-10.059	10.069	---	-8.844
Number of Contracts								196	

Note on statistical significance: -2.0 or less (more negative) shows statistically significant disparity
Number greater than -2.0 (less negative) is not generally considered statistically significant

TABLE 2

EQUIPMENT AND SUPPLIES
SAN FRANCISCO

UTILIZATION INDEXES

	ASIAN	BLACK	FILIPINO	HISPANIC	MAT. AMER	MINORITY TOTAL	NON-MINORITY TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE
Percent of contract dollars	4.4%	1.2%	0.0%	11.1%	0.0%	16.8%	83.2%	100.0%	10.6%
Percent availability	16.0%	11.8%	2.7%	5.3%	0.0%	35.8%	64.2%	100.0%	15.5%
Contract dollar utilization index	27.4%	10.6%	0.2%	207.9%	---	46.8%	129.7%	100.0%	68.5%
Statistical significance	-21.047	-21.657	-10.969	17.009	---	-26.371	26.371	---	-8.945
Number of contracts						4397			

Note on statistical significance: -2.0 or greater (more negative) shows statistically significant disparity
 Number less than -2.0 (less negative) is not generally considered statistically significant

1	10	10	10
2	10	10	10
3	10	10	10
4	10	10	10
5	10	10	10
6	10	10	10
7	10	10	10
8	10	10	10
9	10	10	10
10	10	10	10
11	10	10	10
12	10	10	10
13	10	10	10
14	10	10	10
15	10	10	10
16	10	10	10
17	10	10	10
18	10	10	10
19	10	10	10
20	10	10	10
21	10	10	10
22	10	10	10
23	10	10	10
24	10	10	10
25	10	10	10
26	10	10	10
27	10	10	10
28	10	10	10
29	10	10	10
30	10	10	10
31	10	10	10
32	10	10	10
33	10	10	10
34	10	10	10
35	10	10	10
36	10	10	10
37	10	10	10
38	10	10	10
39	10	10	10
40	10	10	10
41	10	10	10
42	10	10	10
43	10	10	10
44	10	10	10
45	10	10	10
46	10	10	10
47	10	10	10
48	10	10	10
49	10	10	10
50	10	10	10
51	10	10	10
52	10	10	10
53	10	10	10
54	10	10	10
55	10	10	10
56	10	10	10
57	10	10	10
58	10	10	10
59	10	10	10
60	10	10	10
61	10	10	10
62	10	10	10
63	10	10	10
64	10	10	10
65	10	10	10
66	10	10	10
67	10	10	10
68	10	10	10
69	10	10	10
70	10	10	10
71	10	10	10
72	10	10	10
73	10	10	10
74	10	10	10
75	10	10	10
76	10	10	10
77	10	10	10
78	10	10	10
79	10	10	10
80	10	10	10
81	10	10	10
82	10	10	10
83	10	10	10
84	10	10	10
85	10	10	10
86	10	10	10
87	10	10	10
88	10	10	10
89	10	10	10
90	10	10	10
91	10	10	10
92	10	10	10
93	10	10	10
94	10	10	10
95	10	10	10
96	10	10	10
97	10	10	10
98	10	10	10
99	10	10	10
100	10	10	10

TABLE 3

GENERAL SERVICES
SAN FRANCISCO

UTILIZATION INDEXES

	ASIAN	BLACK	FILIPINO	HISPANIC	NAT. AMER ALASKAN	MINORITY TOTAL	NON- MINORITY TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE
Percent of contract dollars	1.7%	1.0%	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%	3.0%	97.0%	100.0%	0.7%
Percent availability	23.1%	14.0%	3.8%	8.1%	0.0%	48.0%	51.1%	100.0%	26.3%
Contract dollar utilization index	7.2%	6.8%	3.7%	3.4%	---	6.2%	109.8%	100.0%	2.7%
Statistical significance (t-stat)	-20.591	-15.200	-7.708	-11.584	---	-37.154	37.154	---	-23.557
Number of contracts									1636

Note on statistical significance: -2.0 or less (more negative) shows statistically significant disparity
 Number greater than -2.0 (less negative) is not generally considered statistically significant

1884		1885		1886		1887		1888		1889		1890		1891		1892		1893		1894		1895		1896		1897		1898		1899		1900																																																																			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70	71	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89	90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	99	100

TABLE 4

PROFESSIONAL SERVICES: TOTAL
SAN FRANCISCO

UTILIZATION INDEXES

	ASIAN	BLACK	FILIPINO	HISPANIC	MAT. AMER	MINORITY TOTAL	NON-MINORITY TOTAL	FEMALE
Percent of contract dollars	10.7%	5.5%	0.1%	0.7%	0.0%	17.0%	83.0%	100.0%
Percent availability	18.0%	18.0%	0.9%	5.3%	0.0%	42.1%	57.9%	100.0%
Contract dollar utilization index	59.5%	30.7%	6.7%	14.0%	---	40.4%	143.3%	100.0%
Statistical significance	-6.133	-10.486	-2.837	-6.551	---	-16.425	16.425	---
Number of contracts								1044

Note on statistical significance: -2.0 or less (more negative) shows statistically significant disparity
Number greater than -2.0 (less negative) is not generally considered statistically significant

TABLE 5

 PROFESSIONAL SERVICES: LEGAL
 SAN FRANCISCO

UTILIZATION INDEXES

	ASIAN	BLACK	FILIPINO	HISPANIC	NAT. AMER ALASKAN	MINORITY TOTAL	NON- MINORITY TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE
Percent of contract dollars	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Percent availability	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Contract dollar utilization index	---	0.0%	---	---	---	0.0%	200.0%	100.0%	---
Statistical significance	---	-8.806	---	---	---	-8.806	8.806	---	---
Number of contracts								78	

Note on statistical significance: -2.0 or less (more negative) shows statistically significant disparity
 Number greater than -2.0 (less negative) is not generally considered statistically significant

TABLE 6

PROFESSIONAL SERVICES: ARCHITECTURE AND ENGINEERING
SAN FRANCISCO: PRIME CONTRACTS

UTILIZATION INDEXES

	ASIAN	BLACK	FILIPINO	HISPANIC	MAT. AMER	MINORITY TOTAL	NON-MINORITY	
							TOTAL	FEMALE
CONTRACT DOLLARS								
Percent of contract dollars	21.2%	0.1%	0.0%	5.8%	0.0%	27.1%	72.9%	100.0%
Percent availability	25.1%	10.2%	0.6%	2.4%	0.0%	38.3%	61.7%	100.0%
Contract dollar utilization index	84.3%	0.8%	0.0%	241.3%	---	70.6%	118.3%	100.0%
Statistical significance	-0.698	-2.566	-0.596	1.700	---	-1.779	1.779	---
Number of contracts								59

Note on statistical significance: -2.0 or less (more negative) shows statistically significant disparity
Number greater than -2.0 (less negative) is not generally considered statistically significant

1	2	3
10	10	10
20	20	20
30	30	30
40	40	40
50	50	50
60	60	60
70	70	70
80	80	80
90	90	90
100	100	100

TABLE 7

PROFESSIONAL SERVICES: COMPUTER SYSTEMS
SAN FRANCISCO

UTILIZATION INDEXES

	ASIAN	BLACK	FILIPINO	HISPANIC	AM INDIAN ALASKAN	MINORITY TOTAL	NON- MINORITY TOTAL	FEMALE
Percent of contract dollars	4.0%	5.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	9.3%	90.7%	100.0%
Percent availability	20.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	40.0%	60.0%	100.0%
Contract dollar utilization index	20.2%	26.2%	---	---	---	23.2%	151.2%	100.0%
Statistical significance	-3.327	-3.080	---	---	---	-5.231	5.231	---
Number of contracts								-4.171
								70

Note on statistical significance: -2.0 or less (more negative) shows statistically significant disparity
Number greater than -2.0 (less negative) is not generally considered statistically significant

TABLE 10.1		Summary of the main results of the study	
Variable	Mean	Standard deviation	Range
Age	35.2	12.5	20-65
Gender	50% Male		
Education	12.5 years	2.5 years	9-18
Income	\$35,000	\$15,000	\$10,000-\$70,000
Marital status	60% Married		
Employment	75% Employed		
Health status	80% Good		
Life satisfaction	7.5	1.5	5-10
Stress level	6.5	1.5	4-10
Depression score	1.5	1.0	0-5
Loneliness score	2.5	1.0	0-5
Self-esteem score	3.5	1.0	0-5
Resilience score	4.5	1.0	0-5
Optimism score	4.5	1.0	0-5
Gratitude score	4.5	1.0	0-5
Forgiveness score	4.5	1.0	0-5
Compassion score	4.5	1.0	0-5
Kindness score	4.5	1.0	0-5
Generosity score	4.5	1.0	0-5
Patience score	4.5	1.0	0-5
Perseverance score	4.5	1.0	0-5
Self-control score	4.5	1.0	0-5
Emotional stability score	4.5	1.0	0-5
Psychological well-being score	4.5	1.0	0-5
Life satisfaction score	7.5	1.5	5-10
Overall score	45.0	10.0	30-60

TABLE 10

PROFESSIONAL SERVICES: MANAGEMENT CONSULTING
SAN FRANCISCO

UTILIZATION INDEXES

	ASIAN	BLACK	FILIPINO	HISPANIC	MAT. AMER	MINORITY TOTAL	NON-MINORITY TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE
Percent of contract dollars	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	1.6%	98.4%	100.0%	1.1%
Percent availability	7.3%	34.5%	0.0%	1.8%	0.0%	43.6%	56.4%	100.0%	81.8%
Contract dollar utilization index	0.0%	3.1%	---	27.1%	---	3.6%	174.6%	100.0%	1.8%
Statistical significance	-4.416	-11.100	---	-1.564	---	-13.377	13.377	---	-19.684
Number of contracts								249	

Note on statistical significance: -2.0 or less (more negative) shows statistically significant disparity
Number greater than -2.0 (less negative) is not generally considered statistically significant




TABLE 11

PROFESSIONAL SERVICES: MEDICAL SERVICES

UTILIZATION INDEXES

	ASIAN	BLACK	FILIPINO	HISPANIC	NAT. AMER. ALASKAN	MINORITY TOTAL	NON- MINORITY TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE
Percent of contract dollars	2.1%	79.3%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	82.5%	17.5%	100.0%	4.8%
Percent availability	5.9%	29.4%	5.9%	5.9%	0.0%	47.1%	52.8%	100.0%	41.2%
Contract dollar utilization index	35.4%	269.6%	19.3%	0.0%	---	175.3%	33.0%	100.0%	11.6%
Statistical significance	-3.613	24.476	-4.510	-5.589	---	15.800	-15.800	---	-16.528
Number of contracts								500	

Note on statistical significance: -2.0 or less (more negative) shows statistically significant disparity
 Number greater than -2.0 (less negative) is not generally considered statistically significant

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading

May 15, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh
Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker

Absent: Supervisor Ward

Finally Passed

May 22, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy
Nelder Walker Ward

Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Maher

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors
of the City and County of San Francisco

File No.
284-88-2

MAY 30 1989

Date Approved

ACTING CLERK

Mayor

[Domestic Partners]

AMENDING POLICE CODE TO ADD ARTICLE 40 PROHIBITING DISCRIMINATION
AGAINST DOMESTIC PARTNERS BY CITY AND COUNTY; PROVIDING PROCEDURES
TO ESTABLISH AND TO GIVE NOTICE OF TERMINATION OF DOMESTIC
PARTNERSHIPS; AND PROHIBITING DISCRIMINATION IN HOSPITAL VISITATION
RIGHTS.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San
Francisco:

Section 1. The San Francisco Police Code is amended by
adding Article 40, Sections 4001 to 4010, to read:

NOTE: All language is new; additions and
substitutions have not been underlined.

SEC. 4001. DISCRIMINATION AGAINST DOMESTIC PARTNERS

The City and County shall not discriminate against
Domestic Partners or Domestic Partnerships in any way. This
includes (but is not limited to) using marital status as a factor
in any decision, policy or practice unless it uses Domestic
Partnership as a factor in the same way.

SEC. 4002. DOMESTIC PARTNERSHIPS: DEFINITIONS AND
INFORMATIONAL MATERIAL

(a) Domestic Partnership Defined. Domestic Partners are
two people who have chosen to share one another's lives in an
intimate and committed relationship of mutual caring, who live
together and have signed a Declaration of Domestic Partnership in
which they have agreed to be jointly responsible for basic living
expenses incurred during the Domestic Partnership, and have
established their partnership under Section 4005 of this Article.

Partners. To be Domestic Partners, neither person may be
married, the two may not be related to each other in a way which
would bar marriage in California, and both must be 18 or older.
Any different Domestic Partnership of which either was previously
a member must have ended more than six months before the new
Declaration of Domestic Partnership was signed (but this
requirement does not apply if the earlier Domestic Partnership
ended because of the death of one of its members).

(c) "Live Together" Defined. "Live together" means that
two people share the same living quarters. It is not necessary
that the right to possess the quarters be in both names. Two
people may live together even if one or both have additional
separate living quarters. Domestic Partners do not cease to live
together if one leaves the shared living quarters but intends to
return.

(d) "Basic Living Expenses" Defined. "Basic living
expenses" means the cost of basic food, shelter and any other
expenses of a Domestic Partner which are paid at least in part by
a program or benefit for which the partner qualified because of
the Domestic Partnership. The individuals need not contribute
equally or jointly to the cost of these expenses as long as they
agree that both are responsible for the cost.

(e) "Declaration of Domestic Partnership" Defined. A
Declaration of Domestic Partnership is a form, provided by the
County Clerk, in which two people agree to be jointly responsible
for basic living expenses incurred during the Domestic
Partnership and that all the other qualifications for Domestic
Partners are met when the Declaration is signed. The form shall
be contained in a booklet or packet with the informational

SUPERVISOR BRITT

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

PAGE NO. 2
05/22/89

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

JUN 12 1989

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

materials described in paragraph (f). The form will require each partner to provide his or her primary residence address. The form must be signed under penalty of perjury. Unless it is filed with the City, the form must be witnessed and notarized. The City Attorney shall prepare appropriate forms.

(f) Informational Material. The San Francisco Human Rights Commission shall prepare informational material which will describe ways individuals in committed relationships may give their relationships the legal effect they would like them to have. The informational material shall state that the City is not providing legal advice and assumes no responsibility for the accuracy of the information provided.

SEC. 4003. ENDING DOMESTIC PARTNERSHIPS

(a) Termination. A Domestic Partnership ends when:

- (1) the partners no longer meet one or more of the qualifications for Domestic Partnership; or
- (2) one partner sends the other a written notice that he or she has ended the partnership; or
- (3) one of the partners dies.

(b) Notice of Termination.

- (1) To Domestic Partners. When a Domestic Partnership ends, the partners must execute a notice of termination naming the partners and stating that the partnership has ended (hereafter "Notice of Termination"). The Notice of Termination must be dated and signed under penalty of perjury by at least one of the partners. If the Declaration of Domestic Partnership for the partnership was filed with the County Clerk, the Notice of Termination must be filed with the Clerk; in all other cases, the Notice of Termination must be notarized and a

SUPERVISOR BRITT

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

PAGE NO. 3
05/22/89

copy given to whomever witnessed the Declaration of Domestic Partnership.

- (2) To Third Parties. A Domestic Partner who has given a copy of a Declaration of Domestic Partnership to any third party in order to qualify for any benefit or right must, whenever the Domestic Partnership ends, give that third party a copy of the Notice of Termination. If that partner has died, the surviving partner must give the notice of termination to those third parties whom she or he knows were given a copy of the Declaration by the deceased partner in order to qualify for a benefit or right. The Notice must be sent within 60 days of the termination of the Domestic Partnership.
- (3) Failure to Give Notice. Failure to give notice as required by this subsection will neither prevent nor delay termination of the Domestic Partnership. Anyone who suffers any loss as a result of failure to send either of these notices may sue the partner who has failed to send the required notice.

SEC. 4004. LEGAL EFFECT OF DECLARATION OF DOMESTIC PARTNERSHIP

- (a) Rights and Duties Created. Neither this Article nor the filing of a Statement of Domestic Partnership shall create any legal rights or duties from one of the parties to the other other than the legal rights and duties specifically created by this Chapter or other ordinances or resolutions of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors which specifically refer to Domestic Partnership.
- (b) Duration of Rights and Duties. Once a Domestic Partnership ends, the partners will incur no further obligations to each other under this Article.

SUPERVISOR BRITT

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

PAGE NO. 4
05/22/89

SEC. 4005. ESTABLISHING EXISTENCE OF DOMESTIC PARTNERSHIP

(a) Domestic partners may establish the existence of their Domestic Partnership by either:

(1) Presenting an original Declaration of Domestic Partnership to the County Clerk, who will file it and give the partners a certificate showing that the Declaration was filed by the Clerk; or

(2) Having a Declaration of Domestic Partnership notarized and giving a copy to the person who witnessed the signing. (See Section 4002(e) of this Article.)

(b) The County Clerk shall only accept for filing Declarations of Domestic Partnership submitted by Domestic Partners who have a residence in San Francisco, or where one of the partners works in San Francisco.

(c) Amendments to the Declaration. A Partner may amend a Declaration of Domestic Partnership filed with the County Clerk at any time to show a change in his or her primary residence address.

(d) New Declarations of Domestic Partnership. No person who has created a Domestic Partnership may create another until six months after a Statement of Termination has been signed and either (i) filed with the County Clerk if the Declaration creating the partnership was filed or (ii) notarized; provided, however, that if the Domestic Partnership ended because one of the partners died, a new Declaration may be filed anytime after the Notice of Termination has been filed or notarized.

(e) Evidence of Domestic Partnership. Anyone who requires a person to provide evidence of the existence of a Domestic Partnership must accept (but may choose not to require) as complete proof a copy of a Declaration of Domestic Partnership.

SUPERVISOR BRITT

PAGE NO. 5
05/22/89

SEC. 4006. RECORDS, COPIES, FILING FEES

(a) County Clerk's Records. The County Clerk shall keep a record of all Declarations of Domestic Partnership, Amendments to Declarations of Domestic Partnership and all Notices of Termination received by the Clerk. The records shall be maintained so that Amendments and Notices of Termination are filed with the Declaration of Domestic Partnership to which they pertain.

(b) Filing Fees. The County Clerk shall charge a fee of \$35 for filing Declarations of Domestic Partnership and shall charge a fee of \$7 for providing certified copies of Declarations, Amendments or Notices of Termination. There will be no charge for filing Amendments or Notices of Termination.

SEC. 4007. VISITATION IN HEALTH CARE FACILITIES

(a) Patient Designation. Where a health care facility restricts a patient's visitors, the health care facility shall allow every patient to name those individuals whom the patient wishes to allow to visit, unless:

(1) no visitors are allowed; or

(2) the facility determines that the presence of a particular visitor named by the patient would endanger the health or safety of a patient or patients, or would endanger the primary operations of the facility.

(b) Domestic Partners Who Do Not Make Designations. If a patient with whom visiting is restricted has not made the designation provided for in subsection (a), and if the patient has not indicated that she or he wishes no visitors, the facility must allow the patient's Domestic Partner, the children of the patient's Domestic Partner, or the Domestic Partner of the patient's parent or child to visit, unless:

SUPERVISOR BRITT

PAGE NO. 6
05/22/89

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

(1) no visitors are allowed; or
(2) the facility determines that the presence of a particular visitor would endanger the health or safety of a patient or patients, or would endanger the primary operations of the facility.

(c) Health Care Facility Defined. A "Health Care Facility" is any clinic, health dispensary or health facility licensed under Division 2 of the California Health and Safety Code, any mental hospital, drug abuse clinic or detoxification center.

SEC. 4008. RETALIATION
No person who seeks the benefit of this Article shall be discriminated against in any way for seeking that benefit. No person who assists someone else in obtaining the benefit of this Article shall be discriminated against in any way for such assistance.

SEC. 4009. ENFORCEMENT.

(a) Civil Service Commission and Human Rights Commission. This Article may be enforced by the Civil Service Commission insofar as the actions, decisions, policies and practices at issue pertain to employees of the City and County in their capacity as employees. In all other respects, this Article may be enforced by the San Francisco Human Rights Commission pursuant to Sections 12A.5 and 12A.9 of the Administrative Code.

(b) Civil Action. This Article may be enforced by a civil action. A complaint to the Human Rights Commission is not a prerequisite to enforcement in a civil action. The plaintiff in such an action shall be entitled to recover only compensatory damages and no punitive damages.

/ / /

SUPERVISOR BRITT

PAGE NO. 7
05/22/89

(c) Remedies. Any court that finds that this Article has been violated or will be violated may use all the powers which it has to remedy or prevent a violation.


(d) Statute of Limitations. Any action to enforce this Article must be commenced no later than two years after the claimed violation.

SEC. 4010. OTHER LAWS.

Nothing in this ordinance shall be construed to interfere in or mandate the exercise of discretion regarding matters over which any board or commission of the City and County has exclusive charter authority; or to conflict with any rights or requirements established by charter, state or federal law, including, but not limited to, the rights and obligations attendant to marriage under state and federal laws. Nothing in this ordinance shall be deemed to alter or to require the alteration of eligibility requirements for social service, public health, and other entitlement programs provided or administered by the City and County. Nothing in this ordinance shall be deemed to alter any existing memorandum of understanding to which the City and County is a party.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

By: 
Deputy City Attorney

(As amended at Board meeting of 5/22/89)

03639

SUPERVISOR BRITT

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

PAGE NO. 8
05/22/89

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading

May 22, 1989

§ Finally Passed

§ May 30, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt

Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy

Maier Nelder Walker Ward

§ Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt

§ Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh

§ Kennedy Nelder Ward

Absent: Supervisor Hongisto

§ Absent: Supervisors Maier Walker

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

File No.
216-89-1

JUN 5 1989

Date Approved

Mary Anne Burke
Secretary
Anthony C. ...
Mayor

AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 1061 ENTITLED "REGULATING THE WIDTH OF SIDEWALK" BY ADDING THERETO SECTION 1495 RE-ESTABLISHING THE OFFICIAL WIDTH OF SIDEWALK ON BOTH SIDES OF 10TH STREET BETWEEN BRYANT AND DIVISION STREETS; FINDING THAT THE PROPOSED CHANGE IS IN CONFORMITY WITH THE MASTER PLAN AND HAS NO EFFECT ON THE EIGHT PRIORITY POLICIES OF CITY PLANNING CODE SECTION 101.1.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco:

SECTION 1. Ordinance No. 1061 entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalk", be and is hereby amended in accordance with communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered as follows:

SECTION 1495. The Width of Sidewalk on 10th Street between Bryant and Division Streets shall be 10 feet on the east side and 15 feet on the west side.

SECTION 2. The Department of City Planning in its report dated September 2, 1988 declared that the above-described re-establishment of official sidewalk width is in conformity with the Master Plan, and made a finding that the proposed change in official sidewalk width would have no effect on the eight priority policies of City Planning Code Section 101.1.

The San Francisco Board of Supervisors adopts as its own the finding made by the Department of City Planning that the project intended by the proposed change of official sidewalk would have no effect on the eight priority policies of City Planning Code Section 101.1.

The petitioner shall comply with the following conditions:

- 1) Coordinate with the Pacific Gas and Electric Company for relocation or adjustment of their facilities, if necessary, in the affected area.
- 2) Coordinate with the San Francisco Water Department for relocation or adjustment of existing water services in the affected area.

- 3) Coordinate with the Clean Water Program for the satisfactory relocation of four (4) existing catchbasins in the affected area.

(See file for departmental approvals)

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

By B. A.
Deputy City Attorney

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

JUN 22 1989

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

S Finally Passed
 S
 S May 30, 1989
 S
 S
 S
 S Ayes: Supervisors Alboto, Britt
 S Gonzalez, Hallinan, Hongisto, Hsieh
 S Kennedy, Neider, Ward
 S
 S Absent: Supervisors Maher, Walker

RO #8279

[SETTLEMENT OF LAWSUIT]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF JEFFREY SELDIN, BRUCE FELD, THERESA FUNG, GEORGE RADUNOVICH, NANCY LEAF, JUSTIN HERNANDEZ, DINO MCCORMICK, KAREN JONES, ELIZABETH OPOCENSKY AND DIANNE TYRELL AGAINST THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO by the total payment of \$90,000.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco: Section I. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled "FRANCIS LINDH, et al. v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al.," Superior Court No. 836-870, by the payment of \$90,000.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND RECOMMENDED:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

Kimberly A. Reiley
KIMBERLY A. REILEY
Chief Trial Deputy

RECOMMENDED:

SAN FRANCISCO POLICE DEPARTMENT

Frank Jordan
FRANK JORDAN
Chief of Department

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

Sam Yockey
SAM YOCKEY
Controller
01 001 97 09
309781 1450 \$90,000.00

APPROVED:

POLICE COMMISSION

Willie Frazier
WILLIE FRAZIER
Secretary

*APR 26 1989

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading \$ Finally Passed
May 22, 1989 \$ May 30, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy
Nelder Walker Ward

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh
Kennedy Nelder Ward

Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Maher

Absent: Supervisors Maher Walker

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Marie Anne Baker
Marie Anne Baker
Clerk

JUN 5 1989

File No.
45-89-17

Date Approved

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

JUN 05 1989

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

[SETTLEMENT OF LAWSUIT]

1 AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF MARY JANE LOPEZ AND
 2 EDWARD L. LOPEZ V. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, ET AL.,
 3 SUPERIOR COURT NO. 841-589, by payment of the amount of \$22,500.

4
 5 Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San
 6 Francisco:

7 The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action
 8 entitled "MARY JANE LOPEZ AND EDWARD L. LOPEZ V. CITY AND COUNTY
 9 OF SAN FRANCISCO, ET AL., Superior Court No. 841-589, by the
 10 payment of \$22,500.

11
 12 APPROVED AS TO FORM
 13 AND RECOMMENDED:

14 LOUISE H. RENNE, City Attorney

15 RECOMMENDED:

16 DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

17 By:

18 KIMBERLY A. REILEY
 Chief Trial Deputy

19 RICHARD J. EVANS
 Director

20 FUNDS AVAILABLE:

21 APPROVED:

22 Samuel D. Grockey
 Samuel Grockey
 Controller

23 [Signature]
 RUDOLPH NOTENBERG
 Chief Administrative Officer

24 01 001 97 09 90
 309674 1450 \$22,500.00

25 APR 26 1989

26 0557n

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading § Finally Passed

May 22, 1989 § May 30, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt §
 Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy §
 Neider Walker Ward §

§ Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
 § Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh
 § Kennedy Neider Ward

Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Maher §

§ Absent: Supervisors Maher Walker

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
 was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors
 of the City and County of San Francisco

JUN 5 1989

File No.
 45-89-18

Date Approved

Acting Clerk

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

MAY 22 1989

SAN FRANCISCO
 PUBLIC LIBRARY

(Animal Care and Control)
DELETING SECTION 16.9 OF CHAPTER 16, ARTICLE I OF THE SAN FRANCISCO
ADMINISTRATIVE CODE REGARDING DESIGNATION OF THE POUNDKEEPER;
AMENDING SECTIONS 41 THROUGH 41.5, 41.9, AND 41.10 OF PART II,
CHAPTER V, ARTICLE I OF THE SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE (HEALTH
CODE) TO ESTABLISH AN ANIMAL CARE AND CONTROL DEPARTMENT UNDER THE
JURISDICTION OF THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER, TO ADD TWO MEMBERS
TO THE COMMISSION OF ANIMAL CONTROL AND WELFARE, AND TO INCREASE AND
ADD FEES AND DEPOSITS; ADDING SECTION 10.169-6 OF THE SAN FRANCISCO
ADMINISTRATIVE CODE TO ESTABLISH A \$2,000 CASH REVOLVING FUND FOR
THE ANIMAL CARE AND CONTROL DEPARTMENT; AMENDING PART III OF THE SAN
FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE BY AMENDING SECTION 221.3 AND AMENDING THE
SAN FRANCISCO ADMINISTRATIVE CODE BY ADDING SECTION 10.117-87 TO
ESTABLISH THE SAN FRANCISCO ANIMAL CONTROL AND WELFARE FUND AND TO
AUTHORIZE THE ACCEPTANCE OF DONATIONS.

NOTE: Additions are underlined;
deletions are in ((double parentheses)).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Chapter 16, Article I of the San Francisco
Administrative Code is hereby amended by deleting section 16.9:

((Sec. 16.9. POUNDKEEPER DESIGNATED. The San Francisco
Society For The Prevention of Cruelty To Animals, a corporation
incorporated and organized under the laws of the State, is hereby
appointed Poundkeeper of the City and County and as such Poundkeeper
it shall have charge of the public pound.))

/ /
/ /

Section 2. Sections 41 through 41.5, 41.9 and 41.10 of Part
II, Chapter V, Article I of the San Francisco Municipal Code (Health
Code) are hereby amended to read as follows:

SEC. 41. DEFINITIONS. As used in Sections 41.1 through 41.13,
inclusive, of this Article, the following terms shall have the
following respective meanings:

(a) "At large" shall mean any dog off the premises of its
owners and not under restraint by a leash, rope or chain of not more
than eight (8) feet in length, and any other animal not under
physical restraint.

(b) "Animal" shall mean and include any bird, mammal,
reptile, or other dumb creature; except fish.

(c) "City and County" shall mean the City and County of San
Francisco.

(d) "Dog" shall include female as well as male dogs.

(e) "Health Officer" shall mean the Director of the
Department of Public Health of the City and County, or any employee
of said Department or other person authorized by said officer to act
on his or her behalf.

(f) "Hoofed Animal" shall mean and include horse, mare,
gelding, mule, burro, sheep, cow, goat or any other animal with a
hoofed foot.

(g) "Owner" shall mean any person who possesses, has title to
or an interest in, harbors or has control, custody or possession of
an animal, and the verb forms of "to own" shall include all those
shades of meaning.

(h) "Person" shall mean and include corporations, estates,
associations, partnerships and trusts, as well as one or more
individual human beings.

CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER

47045
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

SAN FRANCISCO

(i) "Barking Dog" is defined as a dog that barks, bays, cries, howls or makes any other noise continuously and incessantly for a period of 10 minutes to the disturbance of any other person.

(j) "Animal Care and Control Department" shall mean the department under the Chief Administrative Officer authorized to perform the functions described in sections 41.4 and 41.5 of this Article and any other ordinance or law that delegates such authority to the Animal Care and Control Department or its director.

(k) "Animal Control Officer" or "Animal Care and Control Officer" shall mean the director of the Animal Care and Control Department.

SEC. 41.1 COMMISSION OF ANIMAL CONTROL AND WELFARE; MEMBERSHIP; APPOINTMENT; TERM. There is hereby established a Commission to be known as the Commission of Animal Control and Welfare of the City and County of San Francisco (hereinafter called "Commission"), consisting of (nine) eleven members.

The Commission of Animal Control and Welfare shall consist of the Director of the Animal Care and Control Department or his or her designated representative, ((six)) seven members to be appointed by the Board of Supervisors and one City Department representative member appointed by each of the following: the Director of the Department of Public Health or his or her designated representative, the Chief of Police or his or her designated representative, and the General Manager of the Recreation and Park Department or his or her designated representative. The members appointed by the Board of Supervisors shall be ((five)) six members representing the general public having interest and experience in animal matters and one licensed veterinarian practicing in San Francisco. Each member of the Commission of Animal Control and Welfare of the City and County of San Francisco shall be a resident of the City and County of San Francisco.

CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER

Page 3 of 13

41048

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

03/17/94

Three of the members who are first appointed by the Board of Supervisors shall be designated to serve for terms of one year and three for two years from the date of their appointment. Thereafter, members shall be appointed as aforesaid for a term of two years, except that all of the vacancies occurring during a term shall be filled for the unexpired term. A member shall hold office until his or her successor has been appointed and has qualified. The Commission shall elect a chairman from among its appointed members. Any member who misses three regularly scheduled meetings of the Commission during each two-year term without the express approval of the Commission given at a regularly scheduled meeting will be deemed to have resigned from the Commission.

The term of office as chairman of the Commission shall be for the calendar year or for the portion thereof remaining after each such chairman is elected. No member of the Commission shall receive compensation for serving thereon.

No two individuals on the Commission shall be representatives, employees or officers of the same group, association, corporation, organization, or City Department.

SEC. 41.2 POWERS AND DUTIES. In addition to any other powers and duties set forth in this Article, the Commission shall have the power and duty to:

(a) Hold hearings and submit recommendations regarding animal control and welfare to the Board of Supervisors and the Chief Administrative Officer.

(b) Study and recommend requirements for the maintenance of animals in public, private, and commercial care.

(c) ((Make recommendations to the Chief Administrative Officer and to the Board of Supervisors concerning specific terms to be

CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER

Page 4 of 14

41048

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

03/17/94

1 included in any conflict between the City and the
2 officer.
3 (d))) Work with the Tax Collector to develop((ment)) and
4 maintain dog licensing procedures and make recommendations on fees.

5
6 SEC. 41.3. REPORTS. The Commission shall render a written
7 report of its activities to the Board of Supervisors quarterly.

8 Such report shall include:

9 (a) Recommendations to the Board of Supervisors, ((and to))
10 the Mayor, and the Chief Administrative Officer for the development
11 of policies and procedures which will further the objectives of
12 animal welfare and control.

13 (b) Recommendations to the Board of Supervisors, ((and to))
14 the Mayor, and the Chief Administrative Officer of additional
15 legislation deemed by the Commission to be necessary for animal
16 welfare and control.

17 (c) Recommendations of actions to be taken by any agency,
18 board, officer of this City and County for the purposes of
19 furthering the objectives of animal welfare and control.

20
21 SEC. 41.4. ((ANIMAL CONTROL CENTER; ESTABLISHMENT; APPOINTMENT
22 OF ANIMAL CONTROL OFFICER. An Animal Control Center is hereby
23 provided, and the same shall be established and located at such
24 place in the City and County as shall be fixed from time to time by
25 the Animal Control Officer hereinafter provided for. The Board of
26 Supervisors shall appoint a suitable person, firm or corporation as
27 Animal Control Officer who shall have charge of the Animal Control
28 Center hereby provided and established. The Animal Control Officer
29 shall serve for such period of time and shall receive such
30 compensation as shall be established by contract executed in behalf

CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER

47042
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Page 5 of 13

03/17/89

approved by the Board of Supervisors.))

ANIMAL CARE AND CONTROL DEPARTMENT; ESTABLISHMENT; APPOINTMENT OF
ANIMAL CONTROL OFFICER; POWERS AND DUTIES OF ANIMAL CARE AND CONTROL
DEPARTMENT.

(a) Effective July 1, 1989, there is hereby established an
Animal Care and Control Department under the jurisdiction of the
Chief Administrative Officer. The department shall consist of a
director and such employees and assistants as may be necessary to
carry out the work and functions of the department. The Chief
Administrative Officer shall appoint an Animal Control Officer who
shall serve at the pleasure of the Chief Administrative Officer as
the director of the Animal Care and Control Department.

(b) The Animal Care and Control Department shall have the
following functions:

(1) To operate an animal shelter;

(2) To provide nourishment and medical care for animals in
its care; basic health screening for all animals and a disease
control program for the facility; vaccination of animals; euthanasia
of animals by barbiturate injection or other humane methods; sale of
dog licenses; volunteer programs; information on animal control
laws, pet owner responsibilities and pet care; and maintenance of
records of all animal control activities;

(3) To enforce the provisions of this Article and any
other ordinances and laws that pertain to the care and control of
animals;

(4) To charge and collect the fees, fines and deposits as
required by this Article and any other ordinances and laws that
pertain to the care and control of animals; and

CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER

47042
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Page 6 of 13

03/17/89

(5) To carry out the duties and functions of the Animal

Control Officer as defined in Article I of this Code, section 985 of this Code, sections 215 through 223 of Part III of the Municipal Code, and any other ordinances and laws pertaining to the care and control of animals.

SEC. 41.5. ANIMAL CONTROL OFFICER; POWERS AND DUTIES;

((BOND;)) BADGES.

(a) The Animal Control Officer shall have the following powers and duties:

1. To enforce the provisions of Sections 41.1 through 41.13, inclusive of this Article and the licensing provisions of Section 215 through 222, inclusive, of Part III of ((this)) the San Francisco Municipal Code, and to impound any animal at large in violation thereof.

2. To cooperate with the Health Officer in the enforcement of animal quarantine directives.

3. To keep a record of the number, description, and disposition of all animals impounded or otherwise taken into custody, showing in detail in the case of each animal the date of receipt, the date and manner of disposal, the name of the person reclaiming, redeeming, or purchasing said animal; the fees, charges and proceeds of sales received, and such additional records as the Controller of the City and County may prescribe. Such records shall not be removed except upon written order of a court of competent jurisdiction or other duly constituted authority.

4. To appoint Deputy Animal Control Officers whose authority shall be the same as that of the Animal Control Officer as herein set forth.

CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER

470048
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Page 7 of 13

03/17/8

It shall be unlawful for any person to oppose, resist, or otherwise interfere with the Animal Control Officer or his or her duly authorized deputies or agents in the performance of the duties herein set forth.

(b) ((The Animal Control Officer, within five days after his appointment, and before entering upon the discharge of official duties, shall give and execute to the City and County his official bond in the sum of \$5,000, conditioned upon the faithful performance of his official duties as such Animal Control Officer, with two or more sureties to be approved by the Mayor and Controller of the City and County, which official bond, when approved, shall be recorded, at the expense of the Animal Control Officer, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County in the Record of Official Bonds, and shall thereafter be filed and kept in the office of the Controller of the City and County.))

((c)) The Animal Control Officer and his or her deputies, while engaged in the execution of ((their)) duties that involve field patrols, emergency response activities, impoundment of animals, issuance of citations, enforcement of animal quarantine directives, and any other activities related to the enforcement of animal care and control laws shall wear in plain view a badge, having in the case of the Animal Control Officer the words "Animal Care and Control Officer" and in the case of any Deputy Animal Control Officer the words "Deputy Animal Care and Control Officer" engraved thereon.

SEC 41.9. DISPOSITION OF ANIMALS.

(a) In the discretion of the Animal Control Officer, except as otherwise provided in Sections 41.1 through 41.13, inclusive, of this Article, any animal which has been impounded or taken into

CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER

470048
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Page 8 of 13

03/17/8

the applicable holding period specified in Section 41.7 hereof, may be sold at private sale or public auction, destroyed or otherwise disposed of by the Animal Control Officer. Any animal sold by the Animal Control Officer shall be sold upon the collection of no less than the following fees for each animal:

(1) For each dog the sum of \$3, ((plus a spay/neuter deposit as established by contract with the Animal Control Officer.)) plus, if applicable, the dog license fee provided for in Section 215 of Part III of the San Francisco Municipal Code; provided, however, that the Animal Control Officer shall release a dog to the person who surrendered said dog upon payment of the dog license fee provided for in Section 215 of Part III of the Municipal Code.

(2) For each cat the sum of \$3 ((, plus a spay/neuter deposit as established by contract with the Animal Control Officer)).

(3) For each hoofed animal, the sum of \$25.
(4) For each rabbit, bird or similar small animal, the sum of ((\$1)) \$3.

(5) If the purchaser of a dog or cat is 65 years of age or older, the fee to be paid for each dog or cat shall be 50 percent of the applicable sums set forth in the Subparagraphs (a)1 and (a)2 above.

(b) It shall be unlawful for the Animal Control Officer or anyone in such Officer's employ to knowingly sell or give any animal impounded or otherwise taken into custody to any person, medical college or university for purposes of animal experimentation; or for any of the above to induce by or through fraud, misrepresentation, coercion or threats any violation of this section.

/ /

CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER

47048
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Section, the receipt signed by the Animal Control Officer or such Officer's agent shall be valid title to the purchaser.

(d) Any animal impounded or otherwise taken into custody by the Animal Control Officer, which, as determined by a licensed veterinarian, is suffering excessively, or is dangerous to keep impounded, shall be forthwith destroyed by the Animal Control Officer.

SEC. 41.10 CHARGES AND FEES. The Animal Control Officer shall charge and collect the following fees from the owner of any animal impounded or otherwise taken into custody:

(a) Redemption Fees:
For each dog the sum of \$15.00
For each cat the sum of ((\$ 8.00)). \$10.00
For each hoofed animal the sum of \$25.00
For each rabbit, bird or other animal
the sum of ((\$ 1.00)). \$ 5.00

(b) In the event that the Animal Control Officer shall determine that payment of the redemption fee by the owner of ((a dog)) an animal which is impounded or otherwise taken into custody would cause extreme financial difficulty to said owner, the Animal Control Officer may, at his or her discretion, waive the redemption fee for ((said dog)) the animal.

(c) Voluntary lifetime cat registration fee
For each cat the sum of \$ 5.00
(d) Spay/Neuter Deposit Fee:
For each dog the sum of \$20.00
For each cat the sum of \$20.00

/ /

CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER

47048
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

(e) For feeding and providing ordinary care for animals, the following sums, per day:

For each dog the sum of \$ 2.00
For each cat the sum of((\$ 1.50)) \$ 2.00
For each hoofed animal the sum of \$ 5.00
For each rabbit, bird or other animal
the sum of((\$ 1.00)) \$ 2.00

(f) For extraordinary care or expense provided for an animal, an additional fee consisting of the actual expense incurred shall also be charged.

Section 3. Chapter 10, Article XV of the San Francisco Administrative Code is hereby amended by adding Section 10.169-6, which shall read as follows:

Sec. 10.169-6 Cash Revolving Funds - Animal Care and Control Department; \$2,000.

Section 4. Part III of the San Francisco Municipal Code is hereby amended by amending section 221.3, to read as follows:

SEC. 221.3. SPECIAL ANIMAL CONTROL AND WELFARE FUND.

All fees collected by the Tax Collector for dog licenses, dog kennel licenses, cat registrations, and business licenses for such businesses as maintain animals in the course of business, pursuant to Section 215, 220 and 221 of this Article, and all fees and donations collected by the Department of Animal Care and Control ((Director of Animal Control)) for the sale, ((or)) redemption or surrender of impounded animals and for the care and feeding thereof, as provided in Section 41.10 ((61 and 63)) of Part II, Chapter V

CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

(Health Code), of the San Francisco Municipal Code shall be paid or delivered into the San Francisco Animal Control and Welfare Fund established by Section 10.117-87 ((10.190)) of the San Francisco Administrative Code.

Section 5. The San Francisco Administrative Code is hereby amended by adding Section 10.117-87, which shall read as follows:

NOTE: This section is entirely new

SEC. 10.117-87. SAN FRANCISCO ANIMAL CONTROL AND WELFARE FUND.

(a) Establishment of Fund. There is hereby established a special fund for the purpose of receiving all fees and donations of money which may be collected by the Animal Care and Control Department for the sale, redemption or surrender of impounded animals and for the care and feeding thereof and all fees collected by the Tax Collector for dog licenses, dog kennel licenses, cat registrations, and business licenses pursuant to Section 215, 220 and 221 of Part III, San Francisco Municipal Code. Said special fund shall be known and designated as the San Francisco Animal Control and Welfare Fund.

(b) Acceptance of Gifts. All donations of money which may be offered to the San Francisco Animal Control and Welfare Fund are hereby accepted for such purposes. Any grants, gifts and bequests from private sources for this purpose shall be deposited into said special fund.

(c) Expenditure of Monies. The monies in this fund shall be expended, in accordance with the budgetary and fiscal provisions of the Charter, solely for the purposes of defraying the cost of operating the Animal Care and Control Department exclusive of personnel costs.

(d) Interest. Interest earned from the Animal Control and Welfare Fund shall become part of the principal thereof, and shall not be expended for any purpose other than that for which said fund is established.

CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

(e) For feeding and providing ordinary care for

animals, the following sums, per day:

For each dog the sum of \$ 2.00
For each cat the sum of ((\$ 1.50)) \$ 2.00
For each hoofed animal the sum of \$ 5.00
For each rabbit, bird or other animal
the sum of ((\$ 1.00)) \$ 2.00

(f) For extraordinary care or expense provided for an animal,
an additional fee consisting of the actual expense incurred shall
also be charged.

Section 3. Chapter 10, Article XV of the San Francisco
Administrative Code is hereby amended by adding Section 10.169-6,
which shall read as follows:

Sec. 10.169-6 Cash Revolving Funds - Animal Care and Control
Department; \$2,000.

Section 4. Part III of the San Francisco Municipal Code is
hereby amended by amending section 221.3, to read as follows:

SEC. 221.3. SPECIAL ANIMAL CONTROL AND WELFARE FUND.
All fees collected by the Tax Collector for dog licenses, dog kennel
licenses, cat registrations, and business licenses for such
businesses as maintain animals in the course of business, pursuant
to Section 215, 220 and 221 of this Article, and all fees and
donations collected by the Department of Animal Care and Control
((Director of Animal Control)) for the sale, ((or)) redemption or
surrender of impounded animals and for the care and feeding thereof,
as provided in Section 41.10 ((61 and 63)) of Part II, Chapter V

Page 11 of 13

CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

(Health Code), of the San Francisco Municipal Code shall be paid or
delivered into the San Francisco Animal Control and Welfare Fund
established by Section 10.117-87 ((10.190)) of the San Francisco
Administrative Code.

Section 5. The San Francisco Administrative Code is hereby
amended by adding Section 10.117-87, which shall read as follows:

NOTE: This section is entirely new

SEC. 10.117-87. SAN FRANCISCO ANIMAL CONTROL AND WELFARE FUND.

(a) Establishment of Fund. There is hereby established a special
fund for the purpose of receiving all fees and donations of money
which may be collected by the Animal Care and Control Department for
the sale, redemption or surrender of impounded animals and for the
care and feeding thereof and all fees collected by the Tax Collector
for dog licenses, dog kennel licenses, cat registrations, and business
licenses pursuant to Section 215, 220 and 221 of Part III, San
Francisco Municipal Code. Said special fund shall be known and
designated as the San Francisco Animal Control and Welfare Fund.

(b) Acceptance of Gifts. All donations of money which may be
offered to the San Francisco Animal Control and Welfare Fund are hereby
accepted for such purposes. Any grants, gifts and bequests from
private sources for this purpose shall be deposited into said special
fund.

(c) Expenditure of Monies. The monies in this fund shall be
expended, in accordance with the budgetary and fiscal provisions of
the Charter, solely for the purposes of defraying the cost of operating
the Animal Care and Control Department exclusive of personnel costs.

(d) Interest. Interest earned from the Animal Control and Welfare
Fund shall become part of the principal thereof, and shall not be
expended for any purpose other than that for which said fund is
established.

CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Page 12 of 13

(e) Accumulation of Monies in Fund. The balance remaining in the Animal Control and Welfare Fund at the close of any fiscal year shall be deemed to have been provided for a specific purpose within the meaning of Charter Section 6.306 and shall be carried forward and accumulated in said fund for the purposes recited herein.

APPROVED AS TO FORM: RECOMMENDED:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

Carla R. Smith
Chief Administrative Officer

By: *Frederic R. Kelly*
Deputy City Attorney

CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER
47048
Page 13 of 13
03/17/89

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading	5	Finally Passed
May 22, 1989	5	May 30, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alloto Britt
Gonzalez Hallinan Helen Kennedy
Maher Walker Ward

Noes: Supervisors Neider

Absent: Supervisors Hongisto

Ayes: Supervisors Alloto Britt
Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Helen
Kennedy Ward

Noes: Supervisors Neider

Absent: Supervisors Maher Walker

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

File No. JUN 5 1989
97-89-14
Date Approved
Michael Burke
Clerk
Carl Jones
Mayor

FILE NO. 101-88-117

ORDINANCE NO. 183-89

RO #8267 SA # 164

(Government Funding)

APPROPRIATING \$5,000,000 FOR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECT (CHINATOWN PARKING

PROJECT), PARKING AUTHORITY, FOR FISCAL YEAR 1988-89; PLACING \$4,450,000 ON RESERVE.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1988-89

as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT	
		DEBIT	CREDIT
02-071	Off-Street Parking		
G.L. 312 (003327)	Off-Street Parking Fund		\$5,000,000
3600-00	Parking Authority		
20-2030 (353144)	Capital Improvement Project		
Project 208-01	Chinatown Parking Project		\$5,000,000

Section 2. Funds in the amount of \$4,450,000 are hereby placed on reserve and are to be released by the Finance Committee pending an agreement of the owner to sell the Vallejo Street parcel to the City or pending the outcome of any required condemnation proceedings.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

BY: [Signature]
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

[Signature]
CONTROLLER

1p 0054R-3

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

JUN 22 1989

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading

\$ Finally Passed

May 22, 1989

\$ May 30, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy Maher
Nelder Walker Ward

\$ Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh
Kennedy Nelder Ward

Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez
Hongisto

\$ Absent: Supervisors Maher Walker

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors
of the City and County of San Francisco

File No.
101-88-117

JUN 5 1989

Date Approved

Mayor

[Signature]
Clerk

FILE NO. 101-88-118

ORDINANCE NO. 184-89

RO # 8268 SA #165

(Government Funding)

APPROPRIATING \$3,500,000 FOR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS (UPPER FILLMORE PROJECT), PARKING AUTHORITY, FOR FISCAL YEAR 1988-89; PLACING \$3,450,000 ON RESERVE.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1988-89

as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT	
		DEBIT	CREDIT
02-071	Off-Street Parking		
G.L. 490 (003327)	Off-Street Parking Fund		\$3,500,000
36-00-00	Parking Authority		
20 2030 (353144)	Capital Improvement Project		
Project 209 01	Upper Fillmore Project		\$3,500,000

Section 2. Funds in the amount of \$3,450,000 are hereby placed on reserve to be released by the Finance Committee pending an agreement by the owner to sell the California Street parcels to the City of pending the outcome of any required condemnation proceedings.

APPROVED AS TO FORM: practicing

LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

BY: B. L. T.
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

CONTROLER

Samuel D. York

1p 0654R 4

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading \$ Finally Passed

May 22, 1989 \$ May 30, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy Maher
Nelder Walker Ward

\$ Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
\$ Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh
\$ Kennedy Nelder Ward

Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez
Hongisto

\$ Absent: Supervisors Maher Walker
\$

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors
of the City and County of San Francisco

JUN 5 1989

File No.
101-88-118

Date Approved

Mary Louise Walker
Acting Clerk

Mayor
Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 101-88-122 ORDINANCE NO. 185-89
(Government Funding) RO #8264 SA #159 J 1/1/89

\$420,176

APPROPRIATING \$464,553 FOR FEES AND COMPENSATION, SUPERIOR COURT, FOR FISCAL YEAR
1989-90.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1988-89 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT	
		DEBIT	CREDIT
01-001	General Fund		
C.L.496(000026)	Unappropriated Revenue Reserved		
Subsidiary(0000006)	General Reserve	\$ 420,176	
10-01-00	Superior Court		\$ 464,553
02-0400(316083)	Fees and Compensation		

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

BY:

DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

CONTROLLED

EO:chl(1011P/29)

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

1989

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading \$ Finally Passed

May 22, 1989 \$ May 30, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy Maher
Neider Walker Ward

\$ Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
\$ Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh
\$ Kennedy Neider Ward

Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez
Hongisto

\$ Absent: Supervisors Maher Walker

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors
of the City and County of San Francisco

Mayor
Clerk

JUN 5 1989

File No.
101-88-122

Date Approved

Mayor

(Government Funding)

RO #8277 SA #160

APPROPRIATING \$15,276,941 FOR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECT (GRIFFITH PUMP STATION, YOSEMITE AND SUNNYDALE CONSTRUCTION), CLEANWATER PROGRAM, FOR FISCAL YEAR 1988-89.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1988-89 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT		
		DEBIT	CREDIT	
35-895	1976 Sewer Revenue Bond Fund			
G.L. 495 (035006)	Use of Surplus	\$12,097,269		
90-05-00	Clean Water Program			
20-2030 (543363)	Capital Improvement Project			
Project 005-00	Griffith Pump Station (Yosemite - Sunnydale Construction)		\$12,097,269	
35-551	Clean Water Grants			
90-05-00	Clean Water Program			
65-6501 (042416)	Direct Grants - Federal/State	\$3,179,672		
20-2030 (543397)	Capital Improvement Project			
Project 005-00	Griffith Pump Station (Yosemite - Sunnydale Construction)		\$3,179,672	
				\$15,276,941
				\$15,276,941

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

BY:

DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

CONTROLLER

WPPADM-916

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

JUN 5 1989

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading \$ Finally Passed

May 22, 1989 \$ May 30, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy
Nelder Walker Ward

\$ Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
\$ Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh
\$ Kennedy Nelder Ward

Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Maher

Absent: Supervisors Maher Walker

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors
of the City and County of San Francisco

File No.
101-88-123

JUN 5 1989

Date Approved

Mayor

Controller

Mayor

(Government Funding)

EO #8275 SA #138

APPROPRIATING \$350,000 FOR PERMANENT SALARIES - PLATFORM AND TRAINING, MUNICIPAL RAILWAY, FOR FISCAL YEAR 1988-89.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1988-89 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	DEBIT	AMOUNT	CREDIT
01-001	General Fund			
G.L. 496(000026)	Unappropriated Revenue Reserved			
Subsidiary(000006)	General Reserve	\$ 350,000		
99-00-00	General Fund Unallocated			
48-4830 (841601)	Contribution Transfer to #31-001 - Municipal Railway Operating; #35-01-00 - Municipal Railway		350,000	
Total		\$ 350,000	\$ 350,000	
31-001	Municipal Railway Operating			
35-01-00	Municipal Railway			
98-9701 (196113)	Contribution Transfer from #01-001 - General Fund, #99-00-00 General Fund Unallocated	\$ 350,000		
01-0040 (560664)	Platform Salaries		\$ 350,000	
Total		\$ 350,000	\$ 350,000	

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. REMME, CITY ATTORNEY

BY:

DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

CONTROLLER

EO:chl(89GEN30)

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

JUN 30 1989

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading \$ Finally Passed

May 22, 1989 \$ May 30, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy Maher
Nelder Walker Ward

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh
Kennedy Nelder Ward

Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez
Hongisto \$
Absent: Supervisors Maher Walker \$

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors
of the City and County of San Francisco

JUN 5 1989

File No.
101-88-124

Date Approved

Mayor

1 (Government Funding)
2 APPROPRIATING \$628,672 FOR PERMANENT SALARIES - CRAFT, HOLIDAY PAY AND TEMPORARY
3 SALARIES AND RESCINDING \$628,672 FROM PERMANENT SALARIES - MISCELLANEOUS, RELATED
4 MANDATORY FRINGE BENEFITS AND HOLIDAY PAY, JUVENILE COURT, FOR FISCAL YEAR
5 1988-89.

6
7 Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:
8 Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1988-89 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT	
		DEBIT	CREDIT
01-001	General Fund		
01-0010 (319509)	Permanent Salaries-Miscellaneous	\$99,017	
04-0600	Retirement	8,230	
01-0010 (319558)	Permanent Salaries-Miscellaneous	251,033	
03-0120	Holiday Pay	16,000	
04-0600	Retirement	73,876	
01-0010 (319566)	Permanent Salaries-Miscellaneous	133,640	
03-0120	Holiday Pay	7,500	
04-0600	Retirement	39,376	
01-0030 (319574)	Permanent Salaries-Craft		\$28,578
03-0120 (329509)	Holiday Pay		1,000
01-0030 (319558)	Permanent Salaries-Craft		2,811
02-0200 (319558)	Temporary Salaries		482,656
02-0200 (319566)	Temporary Salaries		113,627
	Total	\$628,672	\$628,672

25
26
27 APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY
28
29 BY: *[Signature]* DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY
30
FUND AVAILABLE:
[Signature] CONTROLLER

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
Passed for Second Reading \$ Finally Passed
May 22, 1989 \$ May 30, 1989
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt \$ Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy \$ Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh
Nelder Walker Ward \$ Kennedy Nelder Ward
Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Maher \$ Absent: Supervisors Maher Walker

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors
of the City and County of San Francisco

File No. JUN 5 1989
101-88-125
Date Approved
Mayor
[Signature]
Assistant Clerk

FILE NO. 101-88-128

ORDINANCE NO. 189-89

RO #8284 SA #163

1 (Government Funding)

2 /17/89 \$366,791

3 APPROPRIATING \$369,999 FOR OVERTIME, HOLIDAY PAY AND MATERIAL AND SUPPLIES.

4 SHERIFF DEPARTMENT, FOR FISCAL YEAR 1988-89.

5 Be It ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

6 Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1988-89 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	CREDIT
01-001	General Fund		
10 G.L. 496 (000026)	Unappropriated Revenue Reserved	\$366,791	
11 Subsidiary (0000006)	General Reserve	~~\$369,999~~	
12 06-00-00	Sheriff - County Jail		29,873
13 03-0100 (306589)	Overtime		\$ 31,390
14 03-0120	Holiday Pay		1,605
15 11-1369	Institutional Linen		116,088
16 11-1371	Food Stuffs		135,830
17 11-1399	Other Supplies		85,000
18 Total		\$366,791	\$366,791
		~~4369,999~~	~~4369,999~~

19 APPROVED AS TO FORM:
20 LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

21 BY: Richard R. Lally
22 DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

23 ac (915ADM2)

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

Samuel M. Gregory
CONTROLLER

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

JUN 5 1989

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading \$ Finally Passed
May 22, 1989 \$ May 30, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt \$
Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy \$
Maher Walker Ward \$

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt \$
Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh \$
Kennedy Nelder Ward \$

Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Nelder \$ Absent: Supervisors Maher Walker

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors
of the City and County of San Francisco

Manuel A. Baker
Clerk

[Signature]
Mayor

JUN 5 1989

Date Approved

File No.
101-88-128

AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 141-89 (SALARY STANDARDIZATION ORDINANCE FOR FISCAL YEAR 1989-90, CHARTER SECTIONS 8.400, 8.401 and 8.407) BY CORRECTING CLERICAL ERRORS AND SECTION XI.A AND B ADJUSTMENTS REFLECTED IN SECTION XIV.B, SCHEDULE OF PAY EQUITY ADJUSTMENTS AND IN SECTION XIV.C, COMPOSITE SCHEDULE OF COMPENSATION INCLUDING PAY EQUITY ADJUSTMENTS; EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 1989.

Be It ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco;

Section 1. Ordinance No. 141-89 (Salary Standardization Ordinance for fiscal year 1989-90, Charter Sections 8.400, 8.401 and 8.407) is hereby amended by correcting clerical errors in Section XIV.B, Schedule of Pay Equity Adjustments, so that the adjustments to the classifications in this section shall read as follows:

Class	Title	Type	Schd	Step 1	F	Step 5
1424	CLERK TYPIST.....	1	1.8	64.00	B	77.00
1458	LEGAL SECRETARY I.....	1	1.7	87.00	B	105.00
1487	FINANCIAL AIDS ASSISTANT.....	1	1.7	78.00	B	94.00
1602	CALCULATING MACHINE OPER (KEY DRIVE)....	1	1.8	65.00	B	80.00
1652	SENIOR ACCOUNTANT.....	1	1.7	92.00	B	113.00
1720	DATA ENTRY OPERATOR.....	1	0.6	21.00	B	24.00
1727	SUPERVISING DATA ENTRY OPERATOR.....	1	0.6	26.00	B	32.00
1833	TAPE LIBRARIAN, EDP.....	1	0.6	21.00	B	24.00
1855	SENIOR CONTROL CLERK, EDP.....	1	0.6	26.00	B	32.00
1874	SENIOR PROGRAMMER ANALYST.....	1	0.5	36.00	B	44.00
2390	CENTRAL SUPPLY PROCESS AND DISTR TECH...	1	1.7	72.00	B	89.00
2548	OCCUPATIONAL THERAPIST.....	1	1.7	102.00	B	125.00
2556	PHYSICAL THERAPIST.....	1	1.7	102.00	B	125.00
2585	HEALTH WORKER I.....	1	1.8	61.00	B	73.00
2588	HEALTH WORKER IV.....	1	1.7	85.00	B	102.00

2591	HEALTH PROGRAM COORDINATOR II.....	1	1.7	114.00	B	138.00
2706	HOUSEKEEPER.....	1	1.8	56.00	B	69.00
2780	LAUNDRY WORKER SUPERVISOR.....	1	1.7	75.00	B	91.00
2905	SENIOR ELIGIBILITY WORKER.....	1	1.7	78.00	B	94.00
2908	HOSPITAL ELIGIBILITY WORKER.....	1	1.7	78.00	B	94.00
3204	SWIMMING POOL CASHIER - CLERK.....	2	0.9	33.00	B	39.00
4202	ASSESSMENT CLERK.....	1	1.8	65.00	B	80.00
4210	ASST CHIEF TECHNICAL AND ASSESSMENT SERV	1	1.8	121.00	B	145.00
4320	CASHIER I.....	1	1.8	64.00	B	77.00
5277	PLANNER I.....	1	0.8	42.00	B	50.00
5287	TRANSIT PLANNER I.....	2	0.8	42.00	B	50.00
7410	AUTOMOTIVE SERVICE WORKER.....	1	0.6	26.00	B	32.00
8112	ASSISTANT COURT CLERK.....	1	1.7	92.00	B	113.00
8173	LEGAL ASSISTANT.....	1	1.7	102.00	B	126.00
8450	CRIMINAL JUSTICE SPECIALIST I.....	1	1.8	97.00	B	119.00
9117	PRINCIPAL FARE COLLECTIONS RECEIVER.....	1	1.7	102.00	B	126.00
9124	SENIOR TRANSIT INFORMATION CLERK.....	1	1.7	89.00	B	108.00

Section 2. Ordinance No. 141-89 (Salary Standardization Ordinance for fiscal year 1989-90, Charter Sections 8.400, 8.401 and 8.407) is hereby amended to reflect compensation in Section XIV.B, Schedule of Pay Equity Adjustments, based on findings of the General Manager, Personnel pursuant to Section XI.B of the 1989-90 Salary Standardization Ordinance so that the adjustments to the classifications in this section shall read as follows:

Class	Title	Type	Schd	Step 1	F	Step 5
1664	PATIENT ACCOUNTS MANAGER.....	1	1.6	119.00	B	145.00
2822	HEALTH EDUCATOR.....	1	1.6	115.00	B	142.00
2823	MENTAL HEALTH EDUCATOR.....	1	0.8	56.00	B	70.00

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

2 DOCUMENTS DEPT.

1000

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

1	2824	CHIEF, BUREAU OF HEALTH EDUCATION.....	2	1.0	87.00	B	106.00
2	2825	SENIOR HEALTH EDUCATOR.....	2	1.5	118.00	B	147.00
3	2922	SENIOR MEDICAL SOCIAL WORKER.....	1	0.7	48.00	B	60.00
4	2924	MEDICAL SOCIAL WORK SUPERVISOR.....	1	0.7	53.00	B	65.00
5	2925	CHIEF, MEDICAL SOCIAL SERVICES.....	2	0.2	16.00	B	20.00
6	2932	SENIOR PSYCHIATRIC SOCIAL WORKER.....	1	0.7	48.00	B	60.00
7	2942	SENIOR CHILD WELFARE WORKER.....	1	0.7	48.00	B	60.00
8	2944	CHILD WELFARE SUPERVISOR.....	2	0.7	53.00	B	65.00
9	2992	CONTRACT COMPLIANCE OFFICER I.....	1	0.9	67.00	B	83.00
10	3634	LIBRARIAN III.....	1	0.9	68.00	B	85.00
11	3658	MEDICAL LIBRARIAN.....	2	0.9	68.00	B	85.00

Section 3. Ordinance No. 141-89 (Salary Standardization Ordinance for fiscal year 1989-90, Charter Sections 8.400, 8.401 and 8.407) is hereby amended by correcting Section XIV.C, Composite Schedule of Compensation Including Pay Equity and Section XI Adjustments, to reflect the corrections made in Sections 1 and 2 of this ordinance, so that the schedules of compensation for the classifications in this section shall read as follows:

																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																					</
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	----

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

3

1	1727	SUPERVISING DATA ENTRY OPERATOR...	47.2	925-1120	2004- 2427	29,120
2	1833	TAPE LIBRARIAN, EDP.....	42.2	731- 882	1584- 1911	22,932
3	1855	SENIOR CONTROL CLERK, EDP.....	47.2	925-1120	2004- 2427	29,120
4	1874	SENIOR PROGRAMMER ANALYST.....	Z 57.8	1535-1862	3326- 4034	48,412
5	2390	CENTRAL PROCESSING AND				
6		DISTRIBUTION TECHNICIAN.....	47.4	934-1131	2024- 2451	29,406
7	2548	OCCUPATIONAL THERAPIST.....	Z 54.5	1310-1588	2838- 3441	41,288
8	2556	PHYSICAL THERAPIST.....	Z 54.5	1310-1588	2838- 3441	41,288
9	2585	HEALTH WORKER I.....	42.6	745- 899	1614- 1948	23,374
10	2588	HEALTH WORKER IV.....	50.6	1088-1316	2357- 2851	34,216
11	2591	HEALTH PROGRAM COORDINATOR II....	Z 56.6	1450-1756	3142- 3805	45,656
12	2706	HOUSEKEEPER.....	41.2	697- 842	1510- 1824	21,892
13	2780	LAUNDRY WORKER SUPERVISOR.....	48.1	966-1169	2093- 2533	30,394
14	2822	HEALTH EDUCATOR.....	Z 58.1	1558-1890	3376- 4095	49,140
15	2823	MENTAL HEALTH EDUCATOR.....	Z 58.1	1558-1890	3376- 4095	49,140
16	2824	CHIEF, BUREAU OF HEALTH EDUCATION.	Z 61.5	1835-2230	3976- 4832	57,980
17	2825	SENIOR HEALTH EDUCATOR.....	Z 60.0	1706-2074	3696- 4494	53,924
18	2905	SENIOR ELIGIBILITY WORKER.....	48.9	1003-1214	2173- 2630	31,564
19	2908	HOSPITAL ELIGIBILITY WORKER.....	48.9	1003-1214	2173- 2630	31,564
20	2922	SENIOR MEDICAL SOCIAL WORKER.....	Z 57.1	1484-1800	3215- 3900	46,800
21	2924	MEDICAL SOCIAL WORK SUPERVISOR....	Z 58.3	1573-1909	3408- 4136	49,634
22	2925	CHIEF MEDICAL SOCIAL SERVICES.....	Z 59.8	1690-2053	3662- 4448	53,378
23	2932	SENIOR PSYCHIATRIC SOCIAL WORKER..	Z 57.1	1484-1800	3215- 3900	46,800
24	2942	SENIOR CHILD WELFARE WORKER.....	Z 57.1	1484-1800	3215- 3900	46,800
25	2944	CHILD WELFARE SUPERVISOR.....	Z 58.6	1596-1936	3458- 4195	50,336
26	2992	CONTRACT COMPLIANCE OFFICER I.....	Z 58.4	1580-1918	3423- 4156	49,868
27	3204	SWIMMING POOL CASHIER-CLERK.....	43.7	785- 947	1701- 2052	24,622
28	3634	LIBRARIAN III.....	Z 59.0	1626-1975	3523- 4279	51,350
29	3658	MEDICAL LIBRARIAN.....	Z 59.0	1626-1975	3523- 4279	51,350
30	4202	ASSESSMENT CLERK.....	44.4	810- 979	1755- 2121	25,454

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

4

4320	CASHIER I.....	43.7	785- 947	1701- 2052	24,622	
5277	PLANNER I.....	50.8	1099-1329	2381- 2880	34,554	
5287	TRANSIT PLANNER I.....	50.8	1099-1329	2381- 2880	34,554	
7410	AUTOMOTIVE SERVICE WORKER.....	47.4	934-1131	2024- 2451	29,406	
	ASSESSMENT SERVICES.....	56.6	1450-1756	3142- 3805	45,656	

65 CENTS PER HOUR ADDITIONAL WHEN

PERFORMING EMERGENCY ROAD REPAIR

DUTIES INVOLVING MINOR MECHANICAL

REPAIRS.

8112	ASSISTANT COURT CLERK.....	52.3	1180-1429	2557-	3096	37,154
------	----------------------------	------	-----------	-------	------	--------

8173	LEGAL ASSISTANT.....	54.6	1316-1596	2851- 3458	41,496
------	----------------------	------	-----------	------------	--------

8450	CRIMINAL JUSTICE SPECIALIST I.....	52.3	1180-1429	2557-3096	37,154
------	------------------------------------	------	-----------	-----------	--------

9117 PRINCIPAL FARE COLLECTIONS

RECEIVER.....	54.6	1316-1596	2851-	3458	41,496
---------------	------	-----------	-------	------	--------

9124	SENIOR TRANSIT INFORMATION CLERK..	51.6	1141-1381	2472- 2992	35,906
------	------------------------------------	------	-----------	------------	--------

Section 4. In enacting Section 1 of this ordinance, this Board of

Supervisors finds and determines that the pay equity distributions listed in Section XIV.B of the Salary Standardization Ordinance for 1989-90 did not accurately reflect the agreement negotiated by the City and County of San Francisco with its employee organizations through clerical errors. In enacting Section 2 of this ordinance, this Board of Supervisors finds and determines that Section XI.B of the Salary Standardization Ordinance for 1989-90 requires the General Manager, Personnel to make specified findings and that the pay equity distributions set forth herein based on such findings shall be appropriately listed in Section XI.B of the Salary Standardization Ordinance for 1989-90. Section 3 of this ordinance is a composite of Sections 1 and 2 and corrects Section XIV.C, Composite Schedule of Compensation Including Pay Equity. The corrections as herein provided reflect the intention of this Board in adopting

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

By: Deputy City Attorney

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading

May 22, 1989

Finally Passed

May 30, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Brito Gonzalez
Hallinan Maher Neider Walker Ward

Ayes: Supervisors Alloto Brito
Gonzalez Hallinan Honglato
Kennedy Neider Ward

Absent: Supervisors Alloto Honglato
Hsieh Kennedy

Noes: Supervisor Hsieh
Absent: Supervisors Maher Walker

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors
of the City and County of San Francisco

JUN 5 1989

JUN 5 1989

Date Approved

Mayor

File No.
106-89-2

Maylene Baker
City Clerk

Anthony
Mayor

1 [DRY CLEANERS - PRICE POSTING]

2 AMENDING PART II, CHAPTER VIII OF THE SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE
3 (POLICE CODE) BY ADDING SECTION 667 THERETO, TO REQUIRE THE POSTING
4 OF PRICES IN DRY CLEANERS AND LAUNDRIES.

5
6 NOTE: The entire Section is new.
7

8 Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

9
10 Section 1. Part II, Chapter VIII of the San Francisco
11 Municipal Code (Police Code) is hereby amended by adding Section 667
12 thereto, to read as follows:

13
14 SEC. 667. SIGNS -- DRY CLEANING AND LAUNDRY SERVICES -- PRICES.

15 (a) Every person, firm, partnership, association, franchise,
16 or corporation which owns, operates, manages, leases, or rents a
17 business offering to perform the service of dry cleaning or
18 laundering articles made of fabric shall post or cause to be posted
19 or displayed and maintain at said premises at least one sign, banner
20 or other advertising medium which is clearly visible at the point of
21 sale.

22 Each said sign, banner or other advertising medium shall
23 indicate thereon the actual price per article of clothing at which
24 each dry cleaning or laundering service is being offered at said dry
25 cleaning or laundry establishment. Whenever the listed charge is
26 increased for special or nonstandardized handling that may be
27 necessary, a price range shall be stated for each garment and the
28 actual price charged shall not exceed the maximum price of the range.
29 Notice of such pricing policy shall also be stated in the posting.
30 / /

2 Government Code of the State of California, violation of the
3 provisions of this section by any person, whether an individual,
4 corporation or other business entity and whether as principal,
5 agent, employee or otherwise, shall be an infraction. Every
6 violation is punishable by (1) a fine not exceeding \$100.00 for a
7 first violation; (2) a fine not exceeding \$200.00 for a second
8 violation; (3) a fine not exceeding \$500.00 for a third or more
9 violation of the same ordinance within one year.

10
11 Section 2. The General Manager of the Public Utilities Commission
12 shall ensure that notice of the requirements set forth in the
13 provisions of this Section be included as an insert in the mailing
14 of utility charges by the Water Department of the City and County of
15 San Francisco to all dry cleaning and laundry business
16 establishments operating in San Francisco. Such notice shall be
17 printed in the English, Spanish, Chinese, Japanese, and Tagalog
18 languages, completed within sixty (60) days of the adoption of this
19 ordinance.

20 APPROVED AS TO FORM:

21 LOUISE H. RENNE, City Attorney

22 DOCUMENTS DEPT.

23 12/1/89

24 By: 3401
Deputy City Attorney

25 SAN FRANCISCO
26 PUBLIC LIBRARY

27 SUPERVISOR HONGISTO

28 PAGE NO. 2

29 BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
30 4388g

05/10/89

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading

§ Finally Passed

May 22, 1989

§ May 30, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alloto Gonzalez
Hallinan Heide Kennedy Maher
Nelder Walker Ward

Absent: Supervisors Brito Hongisto

§ Ayes: Supervisors Alloto Brito
Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Heide
Kennedy Nelder Ward
§ Absent: Supervisors Maher Walker

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors
of the City and County of San Francisco

JUN 5 1989

Paul J. Rieck
Clerk

JUN 5 1989

Date Approved

Art Aguirre
Mayor

File No.
121-89-5

1 [Cabaret Regulations]

2 AMENDING ARTICLE 15.2 OF THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE CODE BY AMENDING
3 SECTION 1070. THEREOF, PROVIDING THAT A CABARET SHALL BE DEFINED AS
4 EVERY PREMISE TO WHICH PATRONS ARE ADMITTED OR ALLOWED TO REMAIN ON
5 THE PREMISES BETWEEN THE HOURS OF 2:00 A.M. AND 6:00 A.M. WHICH
6 SERVES FOOD, BEVERAGES OR FURNISHES ENTERTAINMENT, AND BY AMENDING
7 SECTION 1070.16 TO PROVIDE A ONE-HALF HOUR GRACE PERIOD ALLOWING
8 MINORS BETWEEN THE AGES OF 18 AND 21 TO REMAIN IN CABARETS AFTER
9 2:00 A.M. UNTIL 2:30 A.M.

10 Note: Additions are indicated by underlining;
11 deletions are indicated by ((double parentheses)).

12 Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

13 Section 1. Article 15.2 of the San Francisco Police Code is
14 hereby amended by amending Section 1070. thereof, to read as follows:

15 SEC. 1070. DEFINITIONS. For the purpose of this Article, the
16 following words and phrases shall mean and include:

17 (a) Cabaret. Every premise to which patrons or members are
18 admitted or which allows patrons or members to remain on the
19 premises between the hours of 2:00 a.m. and 6:00 a.m. which serves
20 food, beverages, or food and beverages, including but not limited
21 to, alcoholic beverages, for consumption on the premises or wherein
22 entertainment as defined in subsections (b) and (c) is furnished or
23 occurs upon the premises.

24 (1) Dance Academies. A cabaret shall also include a dance
25 academy wherein students are admitted between the hours of 2:00 a.m.
26 and 6:00 a.m., and instruction is given in ballroom or other types
27 of dancing, whether given to the students in groups or individually.

(b) Entertainment. Any act, play, review, pantomime, scene,

2 song, dance act, song and dance act, or poetry recitation, conducted
3 or participated in by any professional entertainer in or upon any
4 premises to which patrons or members are admitted. "Entertainment"
5 also includes a fashion or style show, except when conducted by a
6 bona fide nonprofit club or organization as part of the social
7 activities of such club or organization, and when conducted solely
8 as a fund-raising activity for charitable purposes. (The term
9 "professional entertainer" as used herein means a person or persons
10 who engage in the presentation of entertainment for livelihood or
11 gain.)

12 "Entertainment," in addition, is defined to mean and include
13 the playing upon or use of any instrument that is capable of or can
14 be used to produce musical sounds or percussion sounds, including
15 but not limited to, reed, brass, percussion or string-like
16 instruments; provided, further, that "entertainment" is defined to
17 mean any instrument or device capable of producing or reproducing
18 sound, which device is located in a premises open between the hours
19 of 2:00 a.m. and 6:00 a.m.

20 (c) Informal Entertainment. Any act, play, review,
21 pantomime, scene, song, dance act, song and dance act, or poetry
22 recitation, conducted or participated in by any nonprofessional
23 person or persons in or upon any premises to which patrons or
24 members are admitted.

25 (d) Person. An individual, firm, partnership, joint venture,
26 association, social club, fraternal organization, joint stock
27 company, corporation, estate, trust, business trust, receiver,
28 syndicate, or any other group or combination acting as a unit
29 excepting the United States of America, the State of California, and
30 any political subdivision of either thereof.

1899g/10-13

PAGE NO. 2

05/12/89

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

JOHN FRANCISCO
DISTRICT ATTORNEY

(e) Operator. Any person operating a cabaret in the City and County of San Francisco, including, but not limited to, the owner or proprietor of such premises, lessee, sublessee, mortgagee in possession, licensee or any other person operating such place of entertainment or amusement.

(f) Bona Fide Nonprofit Club or Organization. Any fraternal, charitable, religious or benevolent, or any other nonprofit organization having a regular membership association primarily for mutual social, mental, political and civic welfare, to which admissions limited to members and guests and revenue accruing therefrom to be used exclusively for the benevolent purpose of said organization and which organization or agency is exempt from taxation under the Internal Revenue laws of the United States as a bona fide fraternal, charitable, religious, benevolent or nonprofit organization.

(g) Admission Charge. Any charge for the right or privilege to enter any cabaret including a minimum service charge, a cover charge or a charge made for the use of seats and tables, reserved and otherwise.

(h) Tax Collector. Tax Collector of the City and County of San Francisco.

(i) "Bona Fide Public Eating Place." A place which is regularly and in bona fide manner used and kept open for the serving of meals to guests for compensation and which has suitable kitchen facilities connected therewith, containing conveniences for cooking an assortment of foods which may be required for ordinary meals, the kitchen of which must be kept in a sanitary condition with the proper amount of refrigeration for keeping of food on said premises and must comply with all the regulations of the Department of Public Health. "Meals" mean the usual assortment of foods commonly ordered

1899g/10-13

PAGE NO. 3

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

05/12/89

at various hours of the day; the service of such food and victuals only as sandwiches or salads shall not be deemed a compliance with this requirement. "Guests" shall mean persons who, during the hours when meals are regularly served therein, come to a bona fide public eating place for the purpose of obtaining, and actually order and obtain at such time, in good faith, a meal therein. Nothing in this Section, however, shall be construed to require that any food be sold or purchased with any beverage.

Section 2. Article 15.2 of the San Francisco Police Code is hereby amended by amending Section 1070.16 thereof, to read as follows:

SEC. 1070.16. MINORS. Except as provided in this Section, ((N))no person under 21 years of age shall enter, be, or remain in or on any premises operated as a cabaret. A licensee shall not permit such a person to enter, be, or remain in or on any such premises except on Friday and Saturday nights there shall be a one-half hour grace period, until 2:30 a.m., for the departure from cabarets of persons 18 to 21 years of age.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:


LOUISE H. RENNE, City Attorney

By: Thelma R. Sakey
Deputy City Attorney

1899g/10-13

PAGE NO. 4
05/12/89

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS



Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading \$ Finally Passed

May 22, 1989 \$ May 30, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Gonzalez
Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy Maher
Nelder Walker Ward

\$ Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh
Kennedy Nelder Ward

Absent: Supervisors Britt Hongisto

\$ Absent: Supervisors Maher Walker

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors
of the City and County of San Francisco

File No.
121-88-9

JUN 5 1989

Date Approved

May Anne Sullivan
Clerk

Mayor

from or to any building or structure located on such public-

1

ORDINANCE NO. 193-87

FILE NO. 124-57-4

1 AMENDING PART II, CHAPTER XI, SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE
2 (TRAFFIC CODE) BY ADDING PARAGRAPH (e) TO SECTION 28.1
3 EXEMPTING SCHOOL BUSES FROM RESTRICTED TRAFFIC STREETS

4 Note: Additions are underlined.

5 Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San
6 Francisco:

7 Section 1. Article 3, Part II, Chapter XI of the
8 San Francisco Municipal Code (Traffic Code) is hereby amended
9 by adding Paragraph (e) to Section 28.1 as follows:

10 Sec. 28.1. RESTRICTED TRAFFIC STREETS

11 It shall be unlawful for any person to operate a vehicle with
12 gross weight in excess of 6,000 pounds upon the streets
13 designated in Section 28.1.1 et seq. of this Code.

14 The term "vehicle" as used herein means a device by
15 which any person or property may be propelled, moved or drawn
16 up a street.

17 The Department of Public Works shall place and
18 maintain, or cause to be placed and maintained, appropriate
19 signs at such places on the restricted streets and on such
20 streets leading thereto as will, in its judgment, best serve
21 to give notice to the prohibition contained herein.

22 The provisions hereof shall not be applicable to:

23 (a) Any vehicle which is subject to the provision
24 of Sections 1031 to 1036, inclusive, of the Public Utilities
25 Code of the State of California;

26 (b) Any commercial vehicles coming from an unres-
27 tricted street having ingress and egress by direct route to
28 and from that portion of the restricted streets set forth
29 below, when necessary for the purpose of making pickups of
30 refuse, pickups or deliveries of goods, wares and merchandise

1 from or to any building or structure located on such restric-
2 ted street, or for the purpose of delivering materials
3 or equipment to be used in the actual and bona fide repairs,
4 alteration, remodeling or construction of such restricted
5 street, or for any building or structure upon such restricted
6 street for which a building permit has previously been ob-
7 tained therefor;

8 (c) Any vehicle owned by a public utility while
9 necessary in use in the construction, installation or repair
10 of any public utility;

11 (d) Transit vehicles operated by the Municipal
12 Railway along a regularly scheduled route.

13 (e) School buses when operated for the transportation
14 of school pupils.

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

JUN 22 1989

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

APPROVED AS TO FORM

LOUISE H. RENNE, City Attorney

DESCRIPTION APPROVED:

By:

Thayer R. Lake
Deputy City Attorney

Sam Ashraf
Deputy Director, Operations

RECOMMENDED:

Richard J. ...
Director of Public Works

APPROVED:

W.A.
Chief Administrative Officer

PUBLIC WORKS
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Page 2.

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading

§ Finally Passed

May 22, 1989

§ May 30, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
Gonzalez Hallinan Heisen Maher
Neider Walker Ward
Absent: Supervisors Hongisto
Kennedy

§ Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Heisen
Kennedy Neider Ward
§ Absent: Supervisors Maher Walker
Kennedy

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors
of the City and County of San Francisco

Paul Lee Baker
Clerk

JUN 5 1989

File No.
124-89-4

Date Approved

[Signature]
for

1 (City-owned Vehicles)

2 AMENDING PART II, CHAPTER XI, SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE

3 (TRAFFIC CODE) BY ADDING SECTION 32.6.13 THERETO, PROVIDING FOR

4 PARKING OF CITY-OWNED VEHICLES ON TREAT AVENUE.

5
6 Note: This material is new.

7 Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San
8 Francisco:

9
10 Section 1. Part II, Chapter XI of the San Francisco Municipal
11 Code (Traffic Code) is hereby amended by adding Section 32.6.13
12 thereto, to read as follows:

13
14 SEC. 32.6.13. Parking Control, Portion of Treat Avenue.

15 No person shall stop, park, or leave standing any vehicle,
16 whether attended or unattended at any time, on the west side of
17 Treat Avenue from a point approximately 38 feet north of 15th
18 Street to 66 feet northerly (66-foot zone) except official
19 City-owned vehicles displaying a permit authorizing parking in
20 this zone issued by the Director of Public Works. The permit
21 exceptions under this Section shall not apply when parking pro-
22 hibition regulations for street-cleaning purposes are in
23 effect. Violation of this Section is an obstruction to the
24 free use of property and a nuisance within the meaning of
25 Division 4, Part III of the Civil Code of California relating
26 to nuisance and the abatement thereof.

27 / / /
28 / / /
29 / / /
30 / / /

PUBLIC WORKS
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

March 30, 1989

Page 2.

DESCRIPTION APPROVED:

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, City Attorney

By: Thelma R. Loken
Deputy City Attorney

Scott Ashford
Deputy Director, Operations

RECOMMENDED:

John W. Loken
Director of Public Works

APPROVED:

W. J. Ashford
Chief Administrative Officer

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

MAY 22 1989

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading

May 22, 1989

§ Finally Passed

§ May 30, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Maher
Nelder Walker Ward

Absent: Supervisors Hongisto
Kennedy

§ Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh
Kennedy Nelder Ward
§ Absent: Supervisors Maher Walker
§

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors
of the City and County of San Francisco

JUN 5 1989

File No.
124-89-7

Date Approved

Henry Lee Barker
City Clerk
John J. [Signature]
Mayor

[Joint Fundraising Drive]
AMENDING THE SAN FRANCISCO ADMINISTRATIVE CODE BY AMENDING SECTION 16.93-3, DEFINING THE DUTIES OF THE MAYOR AND THE CONTROLLER, BY ADDING THAT THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER SHALL HAVE THE DUTY TO REVIEW APPLICATIONS TO PARTICIPATE IN THE ANNUAL FUNDRAISING DRIVE, AND TO RECOMMEND TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS WHETHER APPLICANTS QUALIFY TO PARTICIPATE.

Note: Additions or substitutions are underlined; deletions are in ((double parentheses)).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Section 16.93-3 of the San Francisco Administrative Code is hereby amended to read as follows:

SEC. 16.93-3 Duties of the Mayor, ((and)) the Controller and the Chief Administrative Officer.

a. The Mayor shall designate the City's Annual Drive Chair. The Chair will be responsible for providing staff support for the Annual Drive that occurs during the Chair's tenure.

b. The Controller of the City and County of San Francisco shall provide the technical support necessary for the collection and donor designation process.

c. The Chief Administrative Officer of the City and County of San Francisco shall review all applications to participate in the

/ /
/ /
/ /
/ /
/ /
/ /

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

JUN 22 1989

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

SUPERVISOR WALKER

4464g
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Annual Drives of the City and recommend to the Board of Supervisors whether applicants qualify to participate in the Annual Drive.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE, City Attorney

By: Wm. B. Sawyer
Deputy City Attorney

Passed for Second Reading

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

May 22, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Alton~~ Briti, Gonzalez,
Hallinan, ~~Hongisto~~ Hsieh, Kennedy, Malher,
Neider, Walker, Ward.

~~These Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors ALIOTO HONGISTO

HSIEH KENNEDY

Mary Lou Anderson ACTING
Clerk

JUN 5 1989

162-89-2

File No. Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

May 30, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto, Briti, Gonzalez,
Hallinan, Hongisto, Hsieh, Kennedy, ~~Neider~~
Neider, ~~Walker~~ Ward.

~~These Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors MAHER WALKER

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was
finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the
City and County of San Francisco.

Mary Lou Anderson ACTING
Clerk

Mayor

[Signature]

(COMMERCIAL ENERGY CONSERVATION FEE SCHEDULE)

AMENDING PART II, CHAPTER 1, OF THE SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE (BUILDING CODE) BY AMENDING SECTIONS 5333 AND 333.3 THEREOF, AND ADDING SECTION 333.4 RELATING TO A FEE SCHEDULE TO IMPLEMENT THE COMMERCIAL ENERGY CONSERVATION

ORDINANCE

NOTE: Additions or substitutions are underlined;

deletions are indicated by ((double parentheses)).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Part II, Chapter 1, of the San Francisco Municipal Code (Building Code) is hereby amended by amending Sections 5333 and 333.3 thereof to read as follows:

SEC. 5333: FEES. The Board of Supervisors shall establish reasonable fees, upon recommendation by the Director of the Department of Public Works, to pay for, but not exceed, the costs of implementing this chapter. The fees shall include:

- (a) The cost of any energy inspection performed by an authorized inspector of the Bureau of Building Inspection or its contractor;
- (b) The cost of the appeal process;
- (c) The cost of filing and processing documents at the Bureau of Building Inspection;
- (d) The cost of printing forms and informational brochures by the Bureau of Building Inspection;
- (e) The cost of enforcing this chapter;
- (f) Other expenses incurred by the City and County of San Francisco in implementing this chapter.

See Section 333.4 - Commercial Energy Conservation Fee Schedule - for applicable fees.

SEC. 333.3. RESIDENTIAL ENERGY CONSERVATION FEE SCHEDULE

Residential	Initial Inspection	Compliance Inspection
Single Family Dwellings and Two Family Dwellings	\$41.00	\$20.50
Apartment Houses and Residential Hotels up to 20 rooms	\$46.00	\$22.50
20 to 29 rooms	\$55.00	\$27.00
30 to 39 rooms	\$66.00	\$32.50
40 to 49 rooms	\$73.00	\$36.50
50 to 59 rooms	\$82.00	\$41.00
60 rooms or over	\$92.00	\$46.00

Energy Reports and Certificates: \$4.25
Filing fee for appeals: \$32.50
Certification of qualified energy inspector: \$16.25

Section 2. Part II, Chapter 1, of the San Francisco Municipal Code (Building Code) is hereby amended by adding Section 333.4 thereto to read as follows:

SEC. 333.4. COMMERCIAL ENERGY CONSERVATION FEE SCHEDULE

Initial Filing Fee: \$125.00
Compliance Filing Fee: \$25.00
Appeal Filing Fee for Superintendent's Hearing: \$40.00 per Energy Conservation Measure Appealed

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
Louise H. Renne
City Attorney

RECOMMENDED

By: *Judith A. Bayliss*
Deputy City Attorney

James H. Hays
Deputy Director and City Engineer

DESCRIPTION APPROVED

RECOMMENDED

James H. Hays
Superintendent
Bureau of Building Inspection

James H. Hays
Director of Public Works

APPROVED
W.H.
Chief Administrative Officer

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

JUL 10 1989

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading § Finally Passed

May 30, 1989 § June 5, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt §
Gonzalez Hallinan Hsieh Kennedy §
Maher Nelder Ward §
Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Ward §
Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh §
Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker §


Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Walker §

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors
of the City and County of San Francisco

File No.
114-89-2

JUN 8 1989

Date Approved


John J. Lee
Mayor

1 AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF JOVENCIO NAVARETTE vs.
 2 CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR \$7,500.00.

3
 4 BE IT ORDAINED by the People of the City and County of San
 5 Francisco:

6 Section 1. The City Attorney is authorized to settle the
 7 above-action entitled "Jovencio Navarette vs. City and County
 8 of San Francisco, et al.", Municipal Court No. 013-456 by
 9 payment of \$7,500.00.

10
 11 APPROVED AS TO FORM AND
 12 RECOMMENDED:

13 LOUISE H. RENNE

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

14 *Kimberly A. Reiley*
 15 KIMBERLY A. REILEY
 16 Chief Trial Deputy

17 *Richard J. Evans*
 18 RICHARD J. EVANS
 19 Director

20 FUNDS AVAILABLE:

APPROVED:

21 *Sam Yockey*
 22 SAM YOCKEY
 23 Controller

24 *Robert M. Rosenberg*
 25 ROBERT M. ROSENBERG
 26 Chief Administrative Officer

01 001 97 09 90
 309674 1450 \$7,500.00

MAY 02 1989

0743N

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading \$ Finally Passed
 May 30, 1989 \$ June 5, 1989
 \$

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt \$
 Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh \$
 Kennedy Nelder Ward \$

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Ward
 Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh
 Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker

Absent: Supervisors Maher Walker \$

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
 was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors
 of the City and County of San Francisco.

JUN 8 1989

File No.
 45-89-19

Date Approved

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

JUL 10 1989

SAN FRANCISCO
 PUBLIC LIBRARY

(Government Funding)

1 APPROPRIATING \$2,936,000 FOR PURCHASE POWER FOR RESALE AND ELECTRICITY
2 TRANSMISSION SERVICE CHARGE, HETCH HETCHY, FOR FISCAL YEAR 1988-89, AND PROVIDING
3 FOR RATIFICATION OF ACTION PREVIOUSLY TAKEN.

4 Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

5
6 Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1988-89
7 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	DEBIT	AMOUNT	CREDIT
33-001	Hetch Hetchy Operating Fund			
G.L. 490(044537)	Unappropriated Revenue	\$ 2,936,000		
32-00-00	Hetch Hetchy Water			
	Supply and Power			
10-1801(336016)	Purchase Power for Resale		\$ 2,202,000	
10-1811(336016)	Electricity Transmission			
	Service Charge			734,000
	Total	\$ 2,936,000	\$ 2,936,000	

8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18 Section 2. Although the City has incurred no legal obligations under the
19 charter, the Board of Supervisors does hereby appropriate the funds
20 necessary for the obligation and does hereby ratify the action
21 previously taken.

22
23 APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

24
25 BY: *Samuel D. Gorkin*
26 DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY
27 CONTROLLER

28 ED:cht(89-GEN-33)

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading \$ Finally Passed
May 30, 1989 \$ June 5, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto, Britt,
Gonzalez, Hallinan, Hongisto, Hsieh,
Kennedy, Maher, Ward

\$ Ayes: Supervisors Alioto, Britt, Ward,
Gonzalez, Hallinan, Hongisto, Hsieh,
Kennedy, Maher, Walker

Noes: Supervisors Nelder

\$ Noes: Supervisors Nelder

Absent: Supervisors Walker

\$

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors
of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Mayor

JUN 8 1989

File No.
101-88-126

Date Approved

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

JUL 10 1989

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC UTILITIES ADV.

ORDINANCE NO. 199-89

1989

FILE NO. 21-88-137

(Government Funding)

RO #0283 SA #118

5/24/89
grh

APPROPRIATING \$7,580,524 FOR OVERTIME, TEMPORARY SALARIES - MISCELLANEOUS,
TEMPORARY SALARIES - REGISTERED NURSES AND REGISTRY NURSES AND RESCINDING
\$6,703,235
\$7,580,524 FROM PERMANENT SALARIES - MISCELLANEOUS, PERMANENT SALARIES - CRAFT
PERMANENT SALARIES - REGISTERED NURSES, AND RELATED MANDATORY FRINGE BENEFITS,
PUBLIC HEALTH - CENTRAL OFFICE, COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES AND SAN FRANCISCO
GENERAL HOSPITAL, FOR FISCAL YEAR 1988-89 AND PROVIDING FOR RATIFICATION OF ACTION
PREVIOUSLY TAKEN.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1988-89
as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
01-001	General Fund		
83-01-01	Public Health - Administration		
04-0600(441006)	Retirement	8,027 \$ 8,412	
83-01-30	OVF Environmental Health	21,622	
04-0600(732412)	Retirement	22,662	
83-02-15	Health Centers		
01-0010(732404)	Permanent Salaries - Miscellaneous	37,399	
03-0100(441006)	Overtime	39,248	15,198 \$ 8,412
83-02-50	Records and Statistics		22,662
03-0100(441600)	Overtime		39,248
03-0100(732412)	Overtime		29,188 22,662
87-26-00	C.M.H.S. Adult Community		
01-0010(730515)	Permanent Salaries - Miscellaneous	10,221	
87-25-00	C.M.H.S. Adult Acute Services		
03-0100(730416)	Overtime		10,221 77,275 77,275 \$ 80,524 \$ 80,524

DEPARTMENT
AND NUMBERSOURCE OF FUNDS AND
PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATIONDEBIT
AMOUNT
CREDIT

36-001	Hospital Operating Fund		
86-01-00	S.F. General Hospital - Acute Operations		
01-0010(462580)	Permanent Salaries - Miscellaneous	2,451,605 \$ 2,775,000	
01-0030	Permanent Salaries - Craft	463,817 500,000	
01-0050	Permanent Salaries - Registered Nurses	3,710,538 4,225,000	
02-0200	Temporary Salaries - Miscellaneous		874,737 \$ 1,760,000
02-0205	Temporary Salaries - Registered Nurses		4,087,694 4,775,000 1,323,529 7,125,000
03-0100	Overtime		
10-1006	Registry Nurses		340,000 6,625,960
	Total Fund		7,500,000 6,703,235
	Total		7,580,524 7,580,524

Section 2. Although the City has incurred no legal obligations under the
Charter, the Board of Supervisors does hereby appropriate the
funds necessary for the obligation and does hereby ratify the
action previously taken.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

BY: Theodore R. Foley
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

Samuel D. Bradley, Jr.
CONTROLLER

EO:chl:lp
(89-WPPSPGM-31832)

MAY 25 1989

John H. Miller
Chairman
for

(Street Vacation)

1 ORDERING VACATION OF A PORTION OF CRESCENT AVENUE WESTERLY OF ALEMANY
2 BOULEVARD, AND ADOPTING FINDINGS PURSUANT TO CITY PLANNING CODE SECTION
3 101.1.
4

5 Be It Ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:
6 Section 1. On April 24, 1989, the Board of Supervisors adopted
7 Resolution No. 303-89, approved by the Mayor on April 26, 1989,
8 being a Resolution of A Declaration of Intention to order the vacation
9 of Crescent Avenue as shown on Department of Public Works' Drawing No.
10 SUR-988, a copy of which is on file in the Office of the Clerk of the
11 Board of Supervisors.

12 Section 2. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors did transmit to
13 the Director of Public Works a certified copy of said Resolution, and
14 the Director of Public Works did cause to be posted in the manner
15 required by law, notice of the passage of said Resolution.

16 Section 3. When said matter was considered as scheduled by the
17 Board of Supervisors at its regular meeting held in the City Hall, San
18 Francisco, on May 30, 1989, beginning at 7:00 P.M., said Board
19 heard all persons interested in said vacation.

20 Section 4. The public interest and convenience require the vacation
21 to be done as declared in said Resolution No. 303-89.

22 Section 5. The Department of City Planning reported on September 2,
23 1988 that said vacation is in conformity with the Master Plan and con-
24 sistent with the eight priority policies of City Planning Code Section
25 101.1.

26 Section 6. The Board of Supervisors adopts as its own and incorpo-
27 rates by reference herein, as though fully set forth, the findings made
28 by the Department of City Planning, by its letter dated September 2, 1988,
29 that the vacation of said portion of Crescent Avenue is consistent with
30 the eight priority policies of City Planning Code Section 101.1.

1 Section 7. The Board of Supervisors has acquired jurisdiction to
2 order said vacation subject to the conditions as set forth in the
3 Declaration of Intention to order the said vacation.
4 Section 8. The said portion of Crescent Avenue is hereby ordered
5 vacated and the underlying fee title retained by the City and County of
6 San Francisco.

7 Section 9. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed
8 to transmit to the Recorder and the Director of Public Works a certi-
9 fied copy of this Ordinance, and the Recorder and the Director of
10 Public Works are hereby directed to proceed thereafter as required by
11 law.

13 APPROVED AND RECOMMENDED:

14 William B. Lueck
15 Director of Public Works

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, City Attorney

By Julian S. Halligan
Deputy City Attorney

19 Carl J. Seltzer
20 Director of Property

Description Approved:

Jack L. ...
City Engineer

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

JUL 10 1989

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

(Real Estate)
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading

May 30, 1989

Finally Passed

June 5, 1989

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt
Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh
Kennedy Maher Nelder Ward
Absent: Supervisors Walker

Ayes: Supervisors Alioto Britt Ward
Gonzalez Hallinan Hongisto Hsieh
Kennedy Maher Nelder Walker
Absent: Supervisors Walker


I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors
of the City and County of San Francisco

JUN 8 1989

Date Approved

Mayor

File No.
88-89-3.1


Mayor



